



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(579)/DIR (III)/NHA/2022/1094

Islamabad, 27th December, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, **Islamabad**

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR REHABILITATION/UPGRADATION AND WIDENING OF QUETTA – DHADHAR SECTION OF N-65 (118.32 Km) APPROX**

Reference: *PPRA Rule-35*

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO)
DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- General Manager (P&CA) NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad.

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and detailed Design for Rehabilitation/Upgradation and Widening of Quetta – Dhadhar Section of N-65 (118.32 Km) Approx
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(579)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS487419E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	21 st September, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	21 st September, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Seven (07) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1. M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. & in association with M/s Khyber Design Engineers (Sub-Consultant).	654	180	834	36,587,128	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2. M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Design Expert Consultants;	624	200	824	32,861,055	2 nd
3. M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s KASIB Associates & in association with M/s Origin Consulting Engineers	634	129	764	50,825,056	3 rd
4. M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers-ACE Ltd. & in	631	129	761	50,754,013	4 th

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and detailed Design for Rehabilitation/Upgradation and Widening of Quetta – Dhadhar Section of N-65 (118.32 Km) Approx

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
association with M/s Technical Associates (Sub-Consultant);					
5. M/s CAMEOS in JV with M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Engineering General Consultant & in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	582	155	737	42,497,337	5 th
6. M/s A.A Associates in JV with M/s Unique Associates & in association with M/s Actrow Consultant	570	86	656	76,793,685	6 th

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. & in association with M/s Khyber Design Engineers (Sub-Consultant).

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. & in association with M/s Khyber Design Engineers (Sub-Consultant) at the rebated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 42,007,901/-.

Signature:.....

Official Stamp:.....

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND
DETAILED DESIGN FOR REHABILITATION/UPGRADATION
AND WIDENING OF QUETTA – DHADHAR SECTION OF
N-65 (118.32 KM) APPROX**

December, 2022

JA

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer	100				
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer	80				
iii. Junior Structure/Bridge Engineer	60				
iv. Junior Highway Engineer	60				
v. Pavement & Drainage Engineer	50				
vi. Geologist/ Slope Stabilization Expert	45				
vii. Transport Economist	30				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer								
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer								
iii. Junior Structure/Bridge Engineer								
iv. Junior Highway Engineer								
v. Pavement & Drainage Engineer								
vi. Geologist/ Slope Stabilization Expert								
vii. Transport Economist								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

