



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(573)/DIR (III)/NHA/2022/1093

Islamabad, 27th December, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY & DETAILED DESIGN FOR DUALIZATION OF ROAD FROM CHISTIAN TO CHAK NO. 46/3R VIA DAHRNAWALA (44.5 KM APPROX.)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO)
DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- General Manager (P&CA) NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad.

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Dualization of Road from Chistian to Chak No. 46/3R via Dahrnawala (44.5 km approx.)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(573)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS485242E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	31 st August, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	31 st August, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Eight (08) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers-ACE Ltd., & in association with M/s ZK Enterprises (Sub-Consultant).	647	176	823	13,871,538	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services.	570	200	770	12,216,538	2 nd
3) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd., in association with M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services	586	147	734	16,565,767	3 rd

Consultancy Services Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Dualization of Road from Chistian to Chak no. 46/3R via Dahrnawala (44.5 km Approx.)

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
(NIES) (Sub-Consultant). (Pvt.) Ltd. (sub-consultant)					
4) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in association with M/s HARZA Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant).	642	84	726	29,075,691	4 th
5) M/s PAVRON in JV with M/s BK Consultant (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. & in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech (Sub-Consultant)	553	Financial Proposal not opened			Dis-Qualified PPRA Rule 36(b)(v)
6) M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering Consultancy Services Punjab, M/s APEX Consulting Engineering & in association with M/s Creative Structural Engineering Solutions (Sub-Consultant).	537	Financial Proposal not opened			Dis-Qualified PPRA Rule 36(b)(v)
7) M/s Republic Engineering Corporation (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Asian Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Engineering and Environmental Services.	502	Financial Proposal not opened			Dis-Qualified PPRA Rule 36(b)(v)
8) M/s Allied Engineering Consultant (Pvt.) Ltd.	454	Financial Proposal not opened			Dis-Qualified PPRA Rule 36(b)(v)

**EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers-ACE Ltd., & in association with M/s ZK Enterprises (Sub-Consultant).

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers-ACE Ltd., & in association with M/s ZK Enterprises (Sub-Consultant) at the Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 13,871,538/-.

Signature:.....



Official Stamp:.....



****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY &
DETAILED DESIGN FOR DUALIZATION OF ROAD FROM
CHISTIAN TO CHAK No. 46/3R VIA DAHRNAWALA (44.5
KM APPROX.)**

December, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Scheduling Schedule</i>	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer	80				
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer	70				
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer	40				
iv. Hydrologist/Hydraulics Engineer	60				
v. Junior Highway Engineer	60				
vi. Junior Structural Engineer	60				
vii. Traffic/Transport Economist	40				
viii. Quantity Surveyor	15				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				



Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Dualization of Road from Chistian to Chak No. 46/3R via Dahnawala (44.5 km Approx.)

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer								
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer								
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer								
iv. Hydrologist/Hydraulics Engineer								
v. Junior Highway Engineer								
vi. Junior Structural Engineer								
vii. Traffic/Transport Economist								
viii. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

