EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency:

COMSATS University Islamabad, Wah Campus

2 Method of Procurement:

Open Competitive Bidding subsequent to pre-qualification

3. Title of Procurement

Hiring of Engineering Consultancy Services for Master Planning, Engineering Design, Tender Documentation and Construction Supervision of Existing and New Campus Facilities of COMSATS University Islamabad, Wah Campus

4. Tender Inquiry No.: CUIW/PS/PQ/2122/01

5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):

TS455526E

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing:

October 04, 2021 & 1100 hrs

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: October 04, 2021 & 1130 hrs (Technical Proposals)

October 18, 2021 & 1130 hrs (Financial Proposals)

8. No of Bids Received:

02 Nos. Bids Received

1- M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Limited

2- M/s Master Consulting Engineers

9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation

Single Stage-Two Envelope Procedure and as per Evaluation Criteria defined in the RFP issued to prequalified consulting firms to have most advantageous bid. Proposal evaluated as per Quality and Cost Based Selection Criteria with 80% weightage to Technical

Proposal and 20% to Financial Proposal.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:

As follows

	Technical		Financial			Rule/Regulation/	
Name of Bidder	Score out of 100 (St)	Technical Score (St x T%) T=0.8	Quoted Bid (Rs.)	Financial Score (Sf x P%) P=0.2	Combined Technical and Financial Score (S = St x T% + Sf x P%)	SBD/Policy/Basis for	
/I/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Limited	98	78.4	61,125,470/-	10.73	89.13	Top Ranked (Proposal Accepted)	
M/s Master Consulting Engineers	70	56	32,786,000/-	20	76	2 nd Ranked	

Lowest Evaluated Bidder:

M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Limited has offered most advantageous bid and is ecommended for award of work.

deed Ahmed

Dr. Akmal Javed

Syed Shafiq ur Rehman Gillani

M. Tario Za

Convener

Member

Member

Member

Dr. M. Nawaz

Member

Dr. Usman Ghani

Member

Engr. Hammad Salahuddin Member

Engr. Sháhzadi Irum Member/Secretary



COMSATS University Islamabad Wah Campus

Evaluation Sheet Pre-qualification of Consultants-2nd Phase **NESPAK** MASTER CONSULTING Sub Head Criteria Total marks Total marks Marks Marks No. of years of establishment of firm 3 2 (3 Marks) Company profile and financial Firm Presence Soundness 2 2 10 (2 Marks) (10 Marks) Financial Soundness 5 3 (5 Marks) Specific/ Similar Experience 16 14 (16 Marks) In-Hand Similar Projects 4 2 (05 Marks) firm's experience 22 29 General Experience 6 6 (06 marks) (30 Marks) International Projects or Projects funded by International donor agencies/ departments 3 0 (03 marks) Project Manager 6 6 (6 Marks) Architects 2 2 (2 Marks) Structural Design Engineers 2 2 (2 Marks) Electrical Design Engineers 2 0 (2 Marks) Infrastructure/ Services Design Engineers 4 0 Personnel (4 Marks) 20 30 Geotechnical Engineers 2 2 (2 Marks) (30 Marks) Mechanical Engineers 0 2 (2 marks) Resident Engineers 4 4 (4 Marks) Quantity Surveyers 2 1 (2 Marks) Site Inspector Civil 3 3 (3 Marks) Site Inspector Electrical 1 0 (1 Marks) 2 2 Work Plan & Schedule to meet the deadline (2 marks) Quality Control Plan Approach and Methodology 2 10 9 (2 marks) (10 marks) Approach & Methodology 3 4 (4 marks) Site Visit 2 2 (2 marks) Presentation 19 19 12 (20 marks) 70 98 **Total obtained marks**

Prof. Dr. Saeed Ahmad Convener CWC

Dr. Usman Ghani Member

Mr. Hammad Salahuddin

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The evaluation committee appointed by the Client shall carry out its evaluation, applying the evaluation criteria and point system specified hereunder:

A. MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS

Provide documentary evidences to conform the following:

- a. Prequalified with CUI Wah for the assignment
- b. Valid Registration with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC)
- c. Valid Registration with Pakistan Council of Architects and Town Planners (PCATP)
- d. On Active Tax Payer's List with FBR and Sales Tax Department
- e. Non-Blacklisting with any Government department/ agencies/ authorities. An affidavit of "Non-blacklisting" of authorized signatory on judicial stamp paper to the effect that the firm has never been black-listed.
- f. Bid Security for a Lump Sum amount of Rs. 3 Million (Pak Rupees three million), in the form of CDR / Bank Draft from any scheduled Bank of Pakistan in favor of Convener, Campus Works Committee, CUI Wah Campus, shall be submitted along with the Technical Proposal.

B. DETAILED REQUIREMENTS

- a. Only the bidders meeting the above mentioned mandatory requirements as per Para A. hereinabove will be considered for detailed evaluation of technical bids.
- b. Each responsive Proposal fulfilling the mandatory requirements shall be attributed a technical score (St).
- c. Firms scoring less than seventy (70) percent points shall be rejected and their financial proposals shall be returned unopened.
- d. Financial proposals of the three top-ranking qualifying consulting firms on the basis of evaluation of technical proposals shall be opened in the presence of the representatives of these firms, who shall be invited for the occasion and who care to attend.
- e. Max. marks allocated against each Sub-Head is given hereunder:

S. No.	Sub-Head	Max. Marks	
toi.	Company profile	10	
ii.	Firm's Experience	30	
iii <mark>.</mark>	Personnel	30	
iv.	Approach & Methodology	10	
V.	Presentation	20	

Sr. No.	Criteria			Marks	
	Certificate as de	ocumentary evidence)	b)	construction supervision of Higher Education Institute with Project cost more than Rs. Million. No mark will be awarded if Project cost is than Rs. 500 Million.	500
3		Consultancy mpletion Certificate/ rformance Certificate as	b)	Max. 6 Marks 01 marks will be awarded for each complete Building Project having cost more than 1,000 Million. Maximum 02 ongoing Building Projects have cost more than Rs. 1,000 Million will considered for evaluation No mark will be awarded for Project have cost less than Rs. 1,000 Million.	Rs. ving be
4	funded by Inte agencies/ depa (Attach copy of	Consultancy mpletion Certificate/ ertificate as		Max. 03 marks 01 mark will be awarded for each complete Building Project outside Pakistan or funded International Donor Agency/ Department having cost more than Rs. 1,000 Million. No mark will be awarded for Project have cost less than Rs. 1,000 Million.	d by nent
		Max Marks		30	

iii. PERSONNEL

Sr. No.	Criteria	Marks
1	Project Manager	Max. 06 Marks
	Minimum MSc. or above qualification in Civil Engineering with minimum 20 years' experience of working on building and Infrastructure development projects.	c) Full marks will be awarded to one Project Manager having minimum qualification and experience. a) No mark will be awarded, if minimum qualification and experience criteria is not met
2	Architects	Max. 02 Marks
	Minimum Bachelors of Architecture or above qualification with min. 10 years' experience	a) 01 marks for each architect b) No mark will be awarded, if minimum qualification and experience criteria is not met
3	Structural Design Engineers	Max. 02 Marks
	Minimum MSc or above qualification in Structural Engineering with minimum	a) 01 mark for each structural design engineer b) No mark will be awarded, if minimum

Sr. No.	a			
		Max Marks	30	

Note: Submit CPR Tax Challan for last 06 months of the proposed staff along with other documentary evidences in proof of permanent employment with the firm for more than 06 months. No mark shall be awarded in the absence of documentary evidence of permanent employment with the firm for min. 06 months.

iv. APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Sr. No.	Criteria		Marks
1	Work Plan & Sched deadline	ule to meet the	Max. 02 Marks a) Provide detailed activity break down structure for completion of task within the scheduled time for completion.
2	Quality Control Plan		Max. 02 Marks a) Provide brief write-up as to how the quality is ensured for deliverables, b) Certification from ISO for Quality Assurance. c) No mark shall be awarded in the absence of ISO Quality Assurance Certificate
3.	Approach & Method	ology	Max. 04 Marks a) Brief overview of Organization b) Organization Chart c) Provide brief write-up about approach and methodology to be adopted
4.	Site Visit		Max 02 Marks a) Submit Site Visit Report as a proof that the site is being visited and scope is correctly understood before submission of Proposal.
		Max Marks	10

v. Presentation

20