

EVALUATION REPORT
(AS PER RULE 35 OF PP RULES, 2004)

1. Name and Procuring Agency: National Transmission and Despatch Co. Ltd. (NTDC)
2. Method of Procurement: International Competitive Bidding (ICB) - Single Stage Single Envelope (SSSE)
3. Title of Procurement: Tender No. ADB-105R (Lot-II)-2021 Procurement Of Goods – Transmission Line Material For 220kV Double Circuit Transmission Line On Twin Bundle ACSR “Rail” Conductor From 500/220kV Faisalabad Grid Station To 220kV Lalian Grid Station (Approx. 56 Km) Under ADB Loan No. 3419-Pak: Second Power Transmission Enhancement Investment Program – Tranche – 3
4. Tender Inquiry No.: ADB-105R (LOT-II)-2021
5. PPRA Ref. No: TS450163E
6. Date and Time of Bid Closing: 23-06-2021 02:00 PM
7. Date and Time of Bid Opening: 23-06-2021 02:30 PM
8. No. of Bid Received:

Lot No.	Lot-I	Lot-II
No. of Bids	8	4

9. Criteria of Bid Evaluation: Evaluation and comparison of Bid as per Section-I “Instruction to Bidders” of Bidding Documents.

453545

x ntde 12/12/21
per
226953

10. Details of Bids Evaluation

Lot-I: 220kV Steel Towers & accessories

Sr.#	Name of Bidder	Marks		Quoted/Read-Out Price at Bid Opening	Evaluated Bid Price	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
		Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)			
1.	M/s. Qingdao Qiangli Steel Structure Co. Ltd., China	N/A	N/A	CNY 14,838,256.92	CNY 14,838,258.35	Responsive
2.	M/s. Zhejiang Shengda Steel Tower Co. Ltd., China	N/A	N/A	USD 2,521,345.56	USD 2,522,009.16	Responsive but Higher in price
3.	M/s. SA-RA Energy Construction Trade & Industry Co. Inc. Turkey	N/A	N/A	USD 2,613,648.19	USD 2,613,647.8	Responsive but Higher in price
4.	M/s. Changsu Fengfan Power Equipment Co. Ltd., China	N/A	N/A	USD 2,798,957.85	USD 2,798,957.85	Responsive but Higher in price
5.	M/s. Mitas Industry Turkey	N/A	N/A	USD 2,900,991.02	USD 2,900,990.05	<p>Non-Responsive</p> <p>The firm has not submitted audited financial statements of last three years and therefore, historical financial performance, average annual turnover and financial resources of the bidder cannot be substantiated. Since the bidder is ranked 5th in price, the post bid clarification was not sought for provision of audited financial statements.</p> <p>In light of above discussion, the bidder does not meet the qualification criteria mentioned in Clause 2.4 Section 3 (Evaluation and Qualification Criteria) of the bidding documents and is considered non-responsive.</p>

6.	M/s. Associated Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Lahore	N/A	N/A	USD 3,221,609.00	PKR 149,154,365 + USD 2,212,105	Responsive but Higher in price
7.	M/s. PEL - Spleen (JV) Lahore	N/A	N/A	PKR 538,247,886.00	PKR 538,247,936.00	<p>Non-Responsive</p> <p>It was determined that the Financial Resources of M/s. PEL and M/s. Spleen are PKR 26,745 Million and PKR -60.9 Million against the requirement of PKR 200.76 Million (40% of PKR 501.91 Million) for one partner and PKR 125.48 Million (25% of PKR 501.91 Million) for each partner as required under clause 2.4.3 of Section 3 of bidding documents. Therefore, M/s. Spleen does not meet the criteria of required financial resources and found non-responsive.</p> <p>In light of above discussion, the bidder does not meet the qualification criteria mentioned in Clause 2.4 Section 3 (Evaluation and Qualification Criteria) of the bidding documents and considered non-responsive.</p>

8.	M/s. Rohas - Euco Industries BHD Malaysia	N/A	N/A	USD 3,540,138.23	USD 3,540,136.65	<p>Non-Responsive</p> <p>The bidder has submitted contract/supply agreement for supply of tower material within country of origin only and therefore does not meet the requirement of experience for supplying similar equipment / goods outside the country of origin of offered equipment/goods or within Pakistan during last ten (10) years as stipulated in clause 2.3.2 (v) Section 3 of the bidding document.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Bidder does not fulfill the specified manufacturing/supply experience criteria and is considered non-responsive to the requirements of the bidding document</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder</p> <p style="text-align: right;">M/s. Qingdao Qiangli Steel Structure Co. Ltd, China at award price of CNY 14,838,258.35 (CIF Karachi)</p>						

Lot-II: ACSR Rail Conductor

Sr.#	Name of Bidder	Marks		Quoted/Read-Out Price at Bid Opening	Evaluated Bid Price	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
		Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)			
1.	M/s. Jiangsu Zhongtian Technology Co. Ltd., China (ZTT)	N/A	N/A	CNY 18,954,000	CNY 18,954,000	Responsive
2.	M/s. Henan Tong-Da Cable Co. Ltd., China	N/A	N/A	USD 3,109,600	USD 3,109,600	Responsive but Higher in Price
3.	M/s. Newage Cables Pvt. Ltd., Lahore	N/A	N/A	PKR 192,855,000 + USD 2,273,700	PKR 192,855,000 + USD 2,273,700	<p>Non-Responsive</p> <p>Type Test Reports submitted with the bid are of ACSR Drake Conductor. The bidder was asked vide post bid clarification to submit an undertaking to conduct type testing as per requirements of ITB 11.1(i)(mm) of Section-2. In response, the bidder has not submitted the undertaking for type testing.</p> <p>According to Clause 1.1.1 of Section-3 (Evaluation and Qualification Criteria), goods offered by the bidder shall be type tested in accordance with Specifications – Technical Provisions and Special Provisions of the Bidding Documents. In case the submitted type test reports are not conforming to the requirements, the bid shall not be rejected as non-responsive subject to the condition that the Bidder undertakes to perform the type</p>

						tests. In light of above, the type test reports submitted are not of goods offered and the bidder has not submitted undertaking to perform type tests, hence, the bidder is considered non-responsive
4.	M/s. Fast Cables, Lahore	N/A	N/A	PKR 544,077,950	PKR 544,077,950	<p style="text-align: center;">Non-Responsive</p> <p>The bidder has submitted the contract/purchase orders of similar or higher rating equipment/goods to establish the qualification criteria. As per documents provided, the bidder has supplied total of 626 km of similar or higher rating equipment/goods against requirement of 650 km and therefore does not meet the requirement of experience for supplying similar or higher rating equipment / goods at least equal to 100% quantities of respective lot during last ten (10) years as stipulated in clause 2.3.2 (iv) Section 3 of the bidding document.</p> <p>The supplied conductor (reference above projects) has also not been in satisfactory operation for a continuous period of at least 03 years as per requirements stipulated in Clause 2.3.4 Section-3 of bidding document.</p> <p>The bidder was asked vide post bid clarification to submit documents fulfilling the experience criteria mentioned above. The bidder in response has stated that all experience documents have already been submitted with</p>

						the bid. In view of above, the Bidder does not fulfill the specified manufacturing/supply experience criteria and specified performance criteria
	Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder					M/s. Jiangsu Zhongtian Technology Co. Ltd., China (ZTT) at award price of CNY 18,954,000 (CIF Karachi)

Lowest Evaluated Bidder

Lot-I	M/s. Qingdao Qiangli Steel Structure Co. Ltd., China
Lot-II	M/s. Jiangsu Zhongtian Technology Co. Ltd., China (ZTT)

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: **NIL**

Note: The above evaluation results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge, however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail.

Signature:.....
Chief Engineer MP&M, NTDC