FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Telecommunication Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Tender Notice (Single Stage-Two Envelop)
3.	Title of Procurement:	Repair/Maintenance of Washrooms and Other Misc.
		Civil Works at PTA HQ's Building, F-5/1, Islamabad
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	PF : 773/2024
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	<u>TS543866E</u>
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	8th August, 2024 by 11:00 A.M through E-PADs
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	8th August, 2024 by 11:30 A.M through E-PADs
8.	No of Bids Received:	Twelve (12 No's), 02 Technically qualified
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Bidding data clause 16.10 of published bidding
		document
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Detail is as under

	Marks			Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/
Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
M/s Robust Construction Solution (Pvt.) Ltd.	51.66 / 70	N/A	17,120,673	Accepted being most advantageous bidder as per clause 19.1 of bidding documents
M/s Synergy Engineering Services	60.66 / 70	N/A	17,473,188	2 nd Ranked
M/s Anchor Associates	46.5 / 70	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10.
M/s SBS Engineers	41.66 / 70	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10.
M/s Nayab Kokar Construction Co.	33.83 / 70	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10.
M/s Mian Shah Faraz & Sons	43 / 70	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10.
M/s AR & FD Traders	N/A	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to non-fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. Completion Letter not provided.
M/s Javed Sons & Brothers Const Firms	N/A	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to non-fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. Completion Letter non provided.
ini	í D		M	(j.A
		~		Page 1 2

	Marks		Firstand	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/
Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
M/s Buildineers Pvt Ltd.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to non-fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. Completion Letter not provided.
M/s Barkhi Construction Company Pvt Ltd	N/A	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to non-fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. Completion Letter not provided.
M/s HS Builders	N/A	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to non-fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. PEC Certificate is not Valid.
M/s Builden Engineering Construction	N/A	N/A	N/A	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to non-fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. Completion Letter not provided.

Most advantageous bidder: M/s Robust Construction Solution (Pvt.) Ltd.

Deputy Director (G. Audit) Member

Director (Administration)

Member

Director (L)tigation) Memb

Director (ICT) Member

Director (P & C) Member

Director General (CA) Convener