

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT**  
**(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)**

- |     |                              |  |
|-----|------------------------------|--|
| 1.  | Name of Procuring Agency     | <u>National Transmission and Despatch Co. Ltd (NTDCL)</u>  |
| 2.  | Method of Procurement        | <u>Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) &amp; National Competitive Bidding (NCB)</u>  |
| 3.  | Title of Procurement         | <u>Request for Proposal for Construction Supervision Consultancy Services for 220/132kV Quaid-e-Azam Business Park Grid Station Sheikhpura under NTDC own resources.</u> |
| 4.  | Tender Inquiry No.           | <u>RFP</u>   |
| 5.  | PPRA Ref No.                 | <u>TS488295E</u>   |
| 6.  | Date & Time of Bid Closing   | <u>22/09/2022 at 11:00 A.M.</u>  |
| 7.  | Date & Time of Bid Opening   | <u>22/09/2022 at 11:30 A.M.</u>  |
| 8.  | No of Bids Received          | <u>03</u>  |
| 9.  | Criteria for Bid Evaluation  | <u>Evaluation and Comparison of Bid as per Annex-I "General Information to the Consultants" of RFP Documents</u>   |
| 10. | Details of Bid(s) Evaluation |  |

Name of the Bidders	Technical Mark (Total Marks 1000)	Technical Evaluation Result (Responsive/Non-Responsive)	Remarks/ Ranking
M/s. BARQAAB Consulting Services (Pvt.) Ltd.,	858.5	Responsive and recommended for price/financial proposal opening	1 <sup>st</sup>
M/s. NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd., Lahore.	858	Responsive and recommended for price/financial proposal opening	2 <sup>nd</sup>
M/s. EnMasse Lahore – M/s. OMS, Lahore (JV)		<b>Non-Responsive</b>	
		The JV firm is non-responsive due to following reasons:  <u>M/s EnMasse, Lahore</u>  The firm submitted multiple projects but voltage level of all projects was of 132kV instead of required 220kV AIS Grid Stations.  <u>M/s OMS, Lahore</u>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>M/s. OMS has mostly conducted construction supervision work for power plants including coal, wind and indoor GIS systems. As a proof of experience, the firm mentioned five 220 kV GIS projects instead of</li> </ul>	

y ntdc 22/11/22 (1)

	<p>AIS. Moreover, Double Busbar Scheme is implemented instead of One and Half Breaker scheme.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder mentioned three 132 kV projects instead of required 220 kV AIS Grid Stations.</li> <li>• For the other three claimed projects, the firm provided services only as “independent Engineer” for witnessing of testing/commissioning.</li> </ul> <p>Therefore, similar experience could not be established as per clause 9 (b), Annex-2 of RFP which requires experience of consultancy services of substation consisting of similar scope.</p> <p>Since M/s. EnMasse, Lahore – M/s OMS, Lahore (JV) has not provided consultancy services for construction supervision of 220kV AIS Substations in the last five years therefore it does not fulfill the requisite criteria of clause 9(b) of Annex-2 of the RFP document.</p> <p>Hence the JV firm is declared as Non-responsive.</p>
--	---

**11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share**

Nil

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Official Stamp CHIEF ENGINEER (MP&M) NTDC

***Note: The above evaluation results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail.***