

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(604)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2024/470 Islamabad, 15th November, 2024

DIRECTOR GENERAL

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY 1ST FLOOR FBC BUILDING NEAR STATE BANK, SECTOR G-5/2, ISLAMABAD.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): SUBJECT: CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION OF CONSTRUCTION OF YARIK-TANK ROAD (LENGTH: 35 KM) (D.I.KHAN ROAD DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE)

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(FAYYAZ AHM

GENERAL MANAGER (P&CA)

DISTRIBUTION:

ENCL: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Сору то:

- Mcmber (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision of Construction of Yarik-Tank Road (Length:35 Km) (D.I Khan Road Development Package)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(604)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS538343E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	27th May, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	27th May, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Nine (09) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

		Marks		Rule/Regulation/		
Name of Bidder	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
1) M/s Associated Consulting Engineering (ACE) Ltd. in JV with M/s Zeeruk International Consultants and in association with M/s Hadi Engg Consultants (Sub- Consultant)	630	186	816	122,943,707	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))	
2) M/s CAMEOS in JV with M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Engineering General Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Power Aim Engineering & in association with M/s Eco Vista (sub-Consultant)	581	200	781	114,442,450	2 nd	

Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision of Construction of Yarik-Tank Road (Length:35 Km) (D.I Khan Road Development Package))

Page 1 of 3

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

		Marks		Rule/Regulation/				
Name of Bidder	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
3) M/s PAVRON in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	586	Data S		Non-Responsive eet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (II) (viii)				
4) M/s Development Management Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Roghani Associates (Sub-Consultant)	582	Data S		o n-Responsive RFP, ITC Clau) ise 20.1 (II) (viii)			
5) M/s Creative Engineering Consultants in JV with M/s Asif Ali Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Creative Structural Engineering Consultants (Sub- Consultant)	580	As per Data		Non-Responsive neet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (II) (viii) 8 (III)				
 6) M/s Techno Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s HA ENG CON International (Sub-Consultant) 	566	Non-Responsive Data Sheet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (II) (vii & viii)						
 7) M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Manzoor Ahmad Arbab Associates Engineering & Technical Associates (MAA) (Sub-Consultant) 	550 Dis-Qualified	Financial Proposal was not Opened						
8) M/s Osmani & Company (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association	Non- Responsive	F	inancial P	roposal was n	ot Opened			

Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision of Construction of Yarik-Tank Road (Length:35 Km) (D.I Khan Road Development Package))

P&CP ofP

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

-			Marks			Rule/Regulation/		
	Name of Bidder	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Score (St) Score (Sf) Score		Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PF Rules, 2004.		
	with M/s Soil & Structure Consulting Pakistan (Sub- Consultant)							
9)	M/sTechnoLegalConsultant(TLC)inassociationwithM/sTUMAS(Sub-Consultant)	Non- Responsive	Financial Proposal was not Opened					

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Most Advantageous Consultant:

M/s Associated Consulting Engineering (ACE) Ltd. in JV with M/s Zeeruk International Consultants and in association with M/s Hadi Engg Consultants (Sub-Consultant)

Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like 11 to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Associated Consulting Engineering (ACE) Ltd. in JV with M/s Zeeruk International Consultants and in association with M/s Hadi Engg Consultants (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 141,320,193/- which includes Salary Cost, Direct Non-Salary Cost and all applicable Federa, Provincial and Local taxes including GST.

Signature:....

General Manager (P&CA)

National Highway Authority Official Stamp: Islamabed

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

Pacp

Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision of Construction of Yarik-Tank Road (Length:35 Km) (D.I Khan Road Development Package))

Page 3 of 3

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Final Evaluation

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF YARIK-TANK ROAD (LENGTH:35 KM) (D.I KHAN ROAD DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE)

November, 2024

Proposal Data Sheet

Section II.

Natio

Annex-I to Data Sheet

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max.	Firr		Firm	
LYADUA HUNCKIIEKIA	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Scor
1. Firms Experience	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70			Fin Rating	
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	<u>10</u>				
2-e. Work Program -	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				1
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				1
a- Design Review (Kev-Staff)	70				
i. Team Leader (Engineer)	70				1
b- Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)	355				
i. Resident Engineer	90				
ii. Material Engineer	45				
iii. Contract Specialist (Intermittent Basis)	40				
iv. Environmental Specialist (Intermittent Basis)	30				1
v. ARE (Highways)	60				
vi. ARE (Structure)	60			6	1
vii. Senior Quantity Surveyor	30				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				1
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength - justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0% Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision for Construction of Yarik - Tank Road (Length: 35 Km) (D.I Khan Road Development Package) 34

Proposal Data Sheet

Section II.

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

	POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE)	ame Academic : Qualifi Weighta	e Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for [Design Review] and 65% for [Construction Supervision]		Status with the Firm** 10% for [Design Review] and 05% for [Construction Supervision]	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
Des	ign Review Team							
i.	Team Leader (Engineer)							
Con	struction Supervision (Key-Staff)							
i.	Resident Engineer							
ii.	Material Engineer							
iii.	Contract Specialist (Intermittent Basis)							
iv.	Environmental Specialist (Intermittent Basis)						÷	
٧.	ARE (Highways)							
vi.	ARE (Structure)							
vii.	Senior Quantity Surveyor							

Rating: -Excellent - 100%Very good - 90-99%Above Average - 80-89%Average - 70-79%Below Average - 1-69%Non-complying - 0%0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.



For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Chapter 5, Clause -5.6 (Qualification and Experience Requirement of Personnel).

6 month's older employee - 100%;

Less than 6 months or associates-0% (For more than six months, salary account certificate from employer duly attested by respective bank shall be attached with the proposal.

Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision for Construction of Yarik - Tank Road (Length: 35 Km) (D.I Khan Road Development Package) 35