

CANTONMENT BOARD FAISAL

Shahrah e Faisal Karachi, Postal Code 75350 24/7 Helpline 021-99240525 Tel 021-99240525-7 Fax 021-99240526 Web www.cbfaisal.gov.pk, FB/CantonmentBoardFaisal

EVALUATION REPORT (As per Rule 35 of PP Rules 2004)

| 1. | Name of Procuring Agency | CANTONMENT BOARD FAISAL |
|-----|-----------------------------|--|
| 2. | Method of Procurement | Single stage two envelope |
| 3. | Title of Procurement | Annual Repair / Maintenance Works for the year 2023-24 |
| 4. | Tender Inquiry No. | Tender notice published in the dailies "Express Tribune", "Dunya", Awami Awaz" and "Financial Daily" Karachi dated 04.08.2023 and 05.08.2023 |
| 5. | PPRA Ref. No. (TSE) | Tender No. TS517077E dated 07.08.2023 |
| 6. | Date & Time of Bid closing | 22/08/2023 at 1:30 pm |
| 7. | Date & Time of Bid opening | 22/08/2023 at 2:00 pm (Financial bid open on 24.10.2023 at 2:30 pm) |
| 8. | No. of Bid Received | As per detail given below |
| 9. | Criteria for Bid Evaluation | Bid in % based on MES Schedule of Rates 2021 |
| 10. | Detail of Bid(s) Evaluation | Given below: |
| 11. | Approval Authority | CBR No. 19 dated 26.10.2023 |

| S#0 | S#01: | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|---|---------|---|--|--|
| Name of Work: | | Repair / maintenance of Cantt. Fund buildings i.e. staff qtr., CB dispensary, water pumping stations, filtration plants & CB office building etc. | | | | | |
| Esti | mated | 45.00 Million | | | | | |
| Cos | t: | | | | | | |
| | | DET | TAIL OF QUOTED | RATES | | | |
| S# | | | Rates based on MES Sch. of Rates 2021 (Amended Upto Date). | Remarks | Rules/Regulation/SBD* /Policy /Basis for Rejection /Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 | | |
| 1. | 1. M/s. R-Tech Contractor | | 9.35% above | Lowest | Accepted being lowest bidder | | |
| 2. | M/s. The Rajput Associates | | 20% above | - | - | | |
| 3. | M/s. Sher Ali & Brothers | | 25% above | - | - | | |
| 4. | M/s. AL Hakeem Builders | | 31% above | - | - | | |
| 5. | M/s. O.S Construction Co | | 38% above | - | - | | |

| S#02 | 2: | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---------|---|
| Name of Work: | | Repair / maintenance / replacement of damaged sewerage lines including repair / maintenance / reconstruction of manhole in Drigh Road Cantt. Bazar | | | |
| Esti | mated Cost: | 30.00 Million. | | | |
| | | DETAI | L OF QUOTED RA | ATES | |
| S# | Name of Firm | | Rates based on MES Sch. of Rates 2021 (Amended Upto Date). | Remarks | Rules/Regulation/SBD* /Policy /Basis for Rejection /Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 |
| 1. | M/s. Haider Builders | | 16.95% above | Lowest | Accepted being lowest bidder |
| 2. | M/s. abc Contractor | | 138% above | - | - |
| 3. | M/s. Arch Construction Company | | Bidder is unqualified | - | - |

| S#03 | S#03: | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|---|--|---------|---|--|--|
| Name of Work: | | Repair / maintenance / replacement & laying of water supply lines in Drigh Road Cantt Bazar | | | | | |
| Esti | mated Cost: | 20.00 Million. | | | | | |
| | | DETAI | L OF QUOTED RA | ATES | | | |
| S# | Name of Firm | | Rates based on MES Sch. of Rates 2021 (Amended Upto Date). | Remarks | Rules/Regulation/SBD* /Policy /Basis for Rejection /Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 | | |
| 1. | M/s. TNS Enterprises | | 6.75% above | Lowest | Accepted being lowest bidder | | |
| 2. | M/s. abc Contractor | | 15.79% above | - | - | | |
| 3. | M/s. Sher Ali | & Brothers | 37.6% above | - | - | | |

| S#0 | 4: | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|-------------|---|
| Name of Work: | | Ward-I & 5 Repair / mainten Premix Carpet). | ance of Cantt. Fu | ind roads (| Job Mix Formula and |
| Esti | mated Cost: | 130.00 Million | | | |
| | | DETAI | L OF QUOTED RA | ATES | |
| S# | Name of Firm | | Rates based on MES Sch. of Rates 2021 (Amended Upto Date). | Remarks | Rules/Regulation/SBD* /Policy /Basis for Rejection /Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 |
| 1. | M/s. The Rajput Associates | | 23.98% above | Lowest | Accepted being lowest bidder |
| 2. | M/s. M.Azeem Shah | | 25% above | - | - |
| 3. | M/s. A.H Warsi & Sons. | | 28% above | - | - |

Page **2** of **4**

| S#05: | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Name of Work: | | Ward-6 & 10 | | | | |
| | | Repair / mainten | ance of Cantt. Fu | nd roads (| Job Mix Formula and | |
| | | Premix Carpet) | | , and the second | you was Formula and | |
| Esti | mated Cost: | 130.00 Million | | | | |
| | | DETAI | L OF QUOTED RA | ATES | | |
| S# | Nar | ne of Firm | Rates based on | Remarks | Rules/Regulation/SBD* | |
| | | | MES Sch. of | | /Policy /Basis for | |
| | | | Rates 2021 | | Rejection /Acceptance | |
| | | | (Amended Upto | | as per Rule 35 of PP | |
| | | | Date). | | Rules, 2004 | |
| 1 | 1. M/s. A.H Warsi & Sons. | | 24.15% above | Lowest | Accepted being lowest | |
| | | | | | bidder | |
| 2. | M/s. The Rajput Associates | | 25.99% above | - | - | |
| | Tino. The rag | | | | | |
| 3. | M/s. Haider I | Builders | 26.78% above | - | - | |

| S#06 | S#06: | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--|-----------|---|--|
| Name of Work: | | improvement wo | rks CBF nurser preparation of cul | y, parks, | r median & footpath graveyard constructed lane & zebra crossing, | |
| Esti | mated Cost: | 60.00 Million | | | | |
| | | DETAI | L OF QUOTED RA | ATES | | |
| S# | Name of Firm | | Rates based on MES Sch. of Rates 2021 (Amended Upto Date). | Remarks | Rules/Regulation/SBD* /Policy /Basis for Rejection /Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 | |
| 1. | M/s. AL-Zaman Construction | | 3.6% above | Lowest | Accepted being lowest bidder | |
| 2. | M/s. A.H. Wa | rsi & Sons | 05% above | - | - | |
| 3. | M/s. Haider Builders | | 08% above | - | - | |
| 4. | M/s. AL-Hakeem Builders | | 33.33% above | - | - | |
| 5. | M/s. S.T & Sons | | 71.55% above | - | - | |
| 6. | M/s. Arch Construction Company | | Bidder is unqualified | - | - | |

| S#0' | 7: | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------|---|
| Name of Work: | | culverts, further preplacement of str | rks CBF nurser, preparation of cul | v. narks | er median & footpath graveyard constructed lane & zebra crossing, |
| Esti | mated Cost: | 61.50 Million | | | |
| | 1 | DETAI | L OF QUOTED RA | ATES | |
| S# | Name of Firm | | Rates based on MES Sch. of Rates 2021 (Amended Upto Date). | Remarks | Rules/Regulation/SBD* /Policy /Basis for Rejection /Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 |
| 1. | M/s. S.T & Sons | | 8.55% above | Lowest | Accepted being lowest bidder |
| 2. | M/s. Haider E | Builders | 09% above | - | - |
| 3. | M/s. TNS Enterprises | | 9.25% above | - | - |
| 4. | M/s. Al-Zaman Construction Co | | 10.5% above | - | - |
| 5. | M/s. abc contractors | | 15% above | - | - |
| 6. | M/s. Bushra & | & Brothers | Bidder is unqualified | - | - |