

### NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(636)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2024/482 Islamabad,....November, 2024

#### DIRECTOR GENERAL

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY 1ST FLOOR FBC BUILDING NEAR STATE BANK, SECTOR G-5/2, ISLAMABAD

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR SECTION WISE OPTIMIZATION OF COST HYDERABAD-SUKKUR MOTORWAY (M-6)

(SHORT TERM CONSULTANT)

Reference:

PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(FAYYAZ AHMED

GENEERAL MANAGER (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

### Copy to:

Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

General Manager (PPP), NHA, Islamabad;

Dy. Director Consultancy (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad;

# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority					
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Section Wise Optimization Of Cost for Hyderabad-Sukkur Motorway (M-6) Project (Short Term Consultant)					
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(636)					
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS544017E					
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	8th August, 2024 at 1130 hours local time					
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	8th August, 2024 at 1200 hours local time					
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received					
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I					
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below					

	Name of Bidder		Marks	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
		Technical Score (St) 80%	Financial Score (Sf) 20%	Total Score 100%	_^	
1)	M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd.	693	190	883	2,551,121	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2)	M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	647	200	847	2,424,000	2 <sup>nd</sup>
3)	M/s Umar Munshi - Associates	549 Dis-Qualified	Financial	Proposal	PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)	
4)	M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd.	[as per Clause	PPRA Rule 36(b)			

<sup>\*</sup>EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Consultancy Services for Section Wise Optimization Of Cost for Hyderabad-Sukkur Motorwaly (M-6) Project Page 1 of 2 (Short Term Consultant)

## **FINAL EVALUATION REPORT**

### (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Top Ranked Bidder:

M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd.

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 2,959,301/-.

Signature

General Manager (P&CA) National Highway Authority

Official Stamp Islamabad

\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

# **National Highway Authority**



Annex-I
Criteria
FOR
Final Evaluation Report

Consultancy Services for Section Wise Optimization of Cost for Hyderabad-Sukkur Motorway (M-6) Project (Short Term Consultant)

November, 2024

### SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Firm 1		Fin	n 2
EVALUATION CRITERIA	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	150				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	30				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	120				
2. Approach and Methodology	100				
2-a. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-b. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-c. Work Program	<u>10</u>				
3. Key Personnel	600				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/ Highway Engineer	275				
ii. Junior Highway Engineer	200				
iii. Quantity Surveyor	100				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength - justification)	50				L.U. Service
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

#### PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)	
i. Team Leader/ Highway Engineer									
ii. Junior Highway Engineer									
iii. Quantity Surveyor									

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage: X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

