



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(509)/GM(P&CA)/NHA/2021/609

Islamabad, 03 November, 2021

## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
HIRING OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR INTELLIGENT  
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM & DEVELOPMENT OF  
NATIONWIDE STRATEGY AND ARCHITECTURE**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the combined Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(Sami-ur-Rehman)  
General Manager (P&CA)

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Hiring of Consultancy Services for Intelligent Transportation System & Development of Nationwide Strategy and Architecture
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(509)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS430013E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	14 <sup>th</sup> October, 2020 at 1200 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	14 <sup>th</sup> October, 2020 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at <b>Annex-I</b>
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
1- M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Data View	661	200	861	32,940,598	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2- M/s SAMPAK (Pvt.) Ltd.	454	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
3- M/s AL IMAM PMC (Pvt.) Ltd.	437	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
4- M/s ZEERUK International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Surface Mobility Consultant and M/s Apex	Non-Responsive	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
5- M/s TEACH (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s SACHAL (Pvt.) Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)



# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
6- M/s Telecom Foundation in JV with M/s Glowbug Technologies	Non-Responsive	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

**Top Ranked Bidder: M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Data View**

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Data View at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 38,211,093/-.

Signature:..... 

Official Stamp:..... *General Manager (P&CA)*  
*National Highway Authority*

*Islamabad*  
**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Bid Evaluation**

**HIRING OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR INTELLIGENT  
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM & DEVELOPMENT OF  
NATIONWIDE STRATEGY AND ARCHITECTURE**

**November, 2021**

A handwritten signature in blue ink is located in the bottom left corner of the page. The signature is stylized and appears to be a single name.

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Understanding of the Assignment	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel**</b>	<b>450</b>				
(i) Project Manager	90				
(ii) ITS Expert	90				
(iii) Sr. ITS Engineer	65				
(iv) Transportation Engineer	65				
(v) Electrical Engineer	35				
(vi) Electronics Engineer	35				
(vii) Communications Engineer	30				
(viii) Contract Engineer	15				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients***</b>	<b>100</b>				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%


Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

\*Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.

\*\*Firm affidavit for presence of personnel carries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

\*\*\*25 out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

\*\*\*\*Criteria for New firm is the one which has carried out maximum 3 projects in 6 years.



## PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm** 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings) (A+B+C)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
(i) Project Manager								
(ii) ITS Expert								
(iii) Sr. ITS Engineer								
(iv) Transportation Engineer								
(v) Electrical Engineer								
(vi) Electronics Engineer								
(vii) Communications Engineer								
(viii) Contract Engineer								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%    Very good - 90-99%  
Non-complying - 0%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

\* For Project Manager, ITS Expert, Sr. ITS Engineer, Transportation Engineer, Electrical Engineer, Electronics Engineer, Communications Engineer, Contract Engineer: M.Sc. - 100%; B.Sc. - 70%.

\*\* 6 month older employee - 100%;  
Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

