



# TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

## AS PER RULE 35 OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT RULES, 2004

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Small and Medium Enterprises Development Authority (SMEDA)
2.	Method of Procurement:	Pre Qualification
3.	Title of Procurement:	"Pre-qualification of Training Service Providers (TSPs) for provision of Entrepreneurship & Business Management Training services for SMEs"
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	762
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS547954E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	30-09-2024 at 2:00 PM
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	30-09-2024 at 2:30 PM
8.	No. of Bids Received:	Sixteen (16)
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ As per Section 02 of Pre Qualification documents (pages 09-11);</li> <li>▪ <b>Evaluation Method:</b> Applicant applying for prequalification must obtain 60% marks to pre-qualify.</li> </ul>
10.	Details of Bid Evaluation:	Details of bids evaluation are as under

#	Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation Max. Score (100 Marks)	Rules/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as Per Rule 35 of PP Rules,2004
1	Social Innovation Academy	35	<b>Not Qualified</b> , Firm did not meet the 60 percent threshold as per the evaluation criteria mentioned in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
2	iConsultant	54	<b>Not Qualified</b> , Firm did not meet the 60 percent threshold as per the evaluation criteria mentioned in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
3	DNK Education Service Islamabad	51	<b>Not Qualified</b> , Firm did not meet the 60 percent threshold as per the evaluation criteria mentioned in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
4	Smart Researchers	54	<b>Not Qualified</b> , Firm did not meet the 60 percent threshold as per the evaluation criteria mentioned in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
5	TRACE Pakistan	76	<b>Qualified</b> as per the evaluation criteria in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
6	Institute of Rural Management	62	<b>Qualified</b> as per the evaluation criteria in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
7	National Rural Support Program (NRSP)	50	<b>Not Qualified</b> , Firm did not meet the 60 percent threshold as per the evaluation criteria mentioned in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
8	Institute of Cost & Management Accountant (ICMA)	58	<b>Not Qualified</b> , Firm did not meet the 60 percent threshold as per the evaluation criteria mentioned in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
9	Star Farms	62.7	<b>Qualified</b> as per the evaluation criteria in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
10	IBA Sukkur	67	<b>Qualified</b> as per the evaluation criteria in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
11	Resource Edge	31.76	<b>Not Qualified</b> , Firm did not meet the 60 percent threshold as per the evaluation criteria mentioned in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
12	Noman Javed Hasnain Rashid (NJHR)	56	<b>Not Qualified</b> , Firm did not meet the 60 percent threshold as per the evaluation criteria mentioned in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
13	IBA Karachi	66	<b>Qualified</b> as per the evaluation criteria in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
14	Sindh Rural Partner Organization (SRPO)	61	<b>Qualified</b> as per the evaluation criteria in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD
15	Pakistan Institute of Management (PIM)	-	Non-responsive to eligibility criteria
16	Empowerment thru Creative Integration (ECI)	96	<b>Qualified</b> as per the evaluation criteria in section 3.3 – 3.5 of SBD

11.	Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:	NIL
-----	--	-----

Signature:   
Official Stamp: 

\* Standard Bidding Document