

# **TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT**


**(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)**

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **RHO NADRA Peshawar**
2. Method of Procurement: **Single Stage – Two Envelope method**
3. Title of Procurement: **Hiring of Rental Office Building to Establish  
NRC Lissan Nawab**
4. Tender Inquiry No.: **2022/Estate/8 x DAUs**
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): **TS488821E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: **15<sup>th</sup> Sep 2022, 11:00 am**
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: **15<sup>th</sup> Sep 2022, 11:30 am**
8. No of Bids Received: **10**
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: **As per RFP**
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: **As under**

<b>Name of Bidder</b>	<b>Technical Marks (if applicable)</b>	<b>Evaluated Cost</b>	<b>Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.</b>
Mr. Faseeh ur Rehman	76	1 <sup>st</sup> advantageous Bid	As per Standard bidding documents (SBD) a requirement a building having 2000-3500 sq ft covered area is required which has been published in tender notice too, along with other various major facilities/characteristics of the building like adequate parking space, availability of wash rooms, availability of 3 x phase electricity connection and dedicated transformer etc.
Mr. Muhammad Ayub	70	2 <sup>nd</sup> advantageous Bid	As per Standard bidding documents (SBD) a requirement a building having 2000-3500 sq ft covered area is required which has been published in tender notice too, along with other various major facilities/characteristics of the building like adequate parking space, availability of wash rooms, availability of 3 x phase electricity connection and dedicated transformer etc.
Mr. Ali Asghar	64	Disqualified	Scoring less marks as per evaluation criteria which has been published in tender notice as well as mentioned in SBD. Therefore, disqualified as per PPRA Rule 33 for not fulfilling the criteria.
Mr. Muhammad Ashraf	67	Disqualified	Scoring less marks as per evaluation criteria which has been published in tender notice as well as mentioned in SBD. Therefore, disqualified as per PPRA Rule 33 for not fulfilling the criteria.
Mr. Waqar Younas	0	Disqualified	Due to offering less area than required.
Mr. Muhammad Saeed	48	Disqualified	Scoring less marks as per evaluation criteria which has been published in tender notice as well as mentioned in SBD. Therefore, disqualified as per PPRA Rule 33 for not fulfilling the criteria.
Mr. Ejaz Ahmad	0	Disqualified	Due to offering less area than required.

Name of Bidder	Technical Marks (if applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
Mr. Muhammad Haroon	0	Disqualified	Due to non-submission of special power of attorney from co-owners which is requisite document.
Mr. Abbas Basheer	67	Disqualified	Scoring less marks as per evaluation criteria which has been published in tender notice as well as mentioned in SBD. Therefore, disqualified as per PPRA Rule 33 for not fulfilling the criteria.
Mr. Umar Zaman	62	Disqualified	Scoring less marks as per evaluation criteria which has been published in tender notice as well as mentioned in SBD. Therefore, disqualified as per PPRA Rule 33 for not fulfilling the criteria.

11. All bids have been evaluated in terms of laid down technical criteria. Mr. Faseeh ur Rehman and Mr. Muhammad Ayub found most advantageous bidders to qualify the technical evaluation.

  
Assistant Director (Estate)  
**(Syed Fawad Hussain Shah)**