Evaluation Report Hiring of Firm for Audit & Certification of ISO 27001:2013 (Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

		e 35 01	PP Rules, 2004)		
1	Name of Procuring Agency	Benazir Income Support Programme (BISP)			
2	Method of Procurement	Quality & Cost Based Selection (QCBS), (Regulation 3B of the Procurement of Consultancy Service Regulations, 2010)			
3	Title of Procurement	Selection of "Hiring of Firm for Audit & Certification of IS 27001:2013".			
4	Tender Inquiry No.	1-75/Proc/BISP/ISMS/2020			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)	TS 420642E			
6	Date & Time for Submission of EOIs	6 th May	y, 2020 at 1400 hours PST		
7	Date & Time for Opening of EOIs	6 th May	6 th May, 2020 at 1430 hours PST		
6	Date & Time for Closing of Proposals	22 nd Ju	22 nd July, 2020 at 1400 hours PST		
7	Date & Time for Opening of Proposals	22 nd July, 2020 at 1430 hours PST			
8	Number of EOIs Received	Four (04)			
		S.No.	Name of Firms which submitted EOIs		
		1	M/s SGS Pakistan (Pvt) Ltd.		
		2	M/s RICI Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.		
		3	M/s DAS Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.		
		4	M/s TUV Austria BIC Pvt. Ltd.		
9	Number of EOIs shortlisted and issued RFP	Four (0			
		S.No.	Name of Shortlisted Firms		
		1	M/s SGS Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.		
		2	M/s TUV Austria BIC Pvt. Ltd.		
		3	M/s RICI Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.		
		4	M/s DAS Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.		

A

0	Number of Proposals Received	ived Three (03)				
		S.No. Nameof Firms which submitted proposals				
		1	M/s SGS Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.			
		2	M/s TUV Austria BIC Pvt. Ltd.			
		3	M/s RICI Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.			
1	Criteria for Evaluation of Technical	S.No.	Criteria	Marks		
1	Proposal	5.NO.	Criteria	Warks		
		1	Specific experience i.e. Total numbers of "ISO 27001 Audits" conducted in Pakistan by the Firm.	10		
		2	Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference (TORs). The firms were evaluated on the basis of following sub-criteria and marks:	50		
			Approach &Methodology			
		2.1	Does the proposed approach and methodology cover the high-level objectives elaborated in the TORs?	25		
		2.2	Does the proposed solution cover the road map of deliverables elaborated in Terms of Reference?	15		
			Work Plan			
		2.3	[It will be assessed that work plan is responding to the given timelines of the client, realistic, implementable and covering all the aspects/components of the assignment]	10		
		3.	Key Experts' Qualifications and Competence for the Assignment. The firms were evaluated on the basis of following sub-criteria, weightage & marks:	40		
		3.1	K-1:Lead Auditor • The proposed expert for ISO 27001 must havevalid Lead Auditor certification for ISO 27001:2013 from IRCA- UK/Equivalent;	13		

	The proposed Lead Auditor must have at least 10 years of ISO 27001 audit experience;	
	 Lead Auditor should be on the permanent/regular strength of the Certification Body. 	
	K-2:Onsite Auditor No.1: • The proposed Auditor for ISO 27001 must have Auditor certification for ISO 27001:2013 from IRCA-UK/Equivalent;	
3.2	The proposed Auditor must have at least five years of ISO 27001 audit experience.	09
	Onsite Auditor should be on the permanent/regular strength of the Certification Body.	
	K-3: Onsite Auditor No.2: • The proposed Auditor for ISO 27001 must have Auditor certification for ISO 27001:2013 from IRCA-UK/Equivalent;	
3.3	The proposed Auditor must have at least five years of ISO 27001 audit experience.	09
	Onsite Auditor should be on the permanent/regular strength of the Certification Body.	
	K-4: Onsite Auditor No.3: •The proposed Auditor for ISO 27001 must have Auditor certification for ISO 27001:2013 from IRCA-UK/Equivalent;	
3.4	The proposed Auditor must have at least five years of ISO 27001 audit experience.	09
	Onsite Auditor should be on the permanent/regular strength of the Certification Body.	
Total	100	

12	Number of Technical Proposals Qualified	Two (02)		
12	Number of Technical Troposals Quanted	S.No.	Nameof Firms which are Technically Qualified	Marks Obtained
				(St)
				Out of total 100
		1	M/s SGS Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.	83.40
		2	M/s RICI Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.	72.20

Note: Minimum qualifying marks = 70

*M/s TUV Austria BIC Pvt. Ltd.

13 Criteria for Evaluation of Financial Proposals

The lowest evaluated Financial Proposal (Fm) is given the maximum financial score (Sf) of 100. The formula for determining the financial scores (Sf) of all other Proposals is calculated as following: Sf = 100 x Fm/ F, in which "Sf" is the financial score, "Fm" is the lowest price, and "F" the price of the proposal under consideration.

Name of Firms	Proposal Price Inclusive of Indirect Tax (GST) in PKR	Financial Score (Sf)	
M/s SGS Pakistan (Pvt) Ltd.;	516,200	100	
M/s RICI Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.;	600,000	86.03	

14 Details of Evaluation of Proposals

Total Financial Score of a Firm = St (T) + Sf (P).

T is the weightage given to the Technical Proposal and P is the weightage given to the Financial Proposal. T = 0.8 and P = 0.2

St is the Technical score obtained by a Firm.

Name of Firms	Marks Obtained		Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per
	Technical Weightage Assigned (80 %)	Financial Weightage Assigned (20 %)	(Inclusive of GST) PKR	Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
M/s SGS Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.;	66.72	20.00	516,200	Top ranked firm on the basis of combined evaluation (Technical & Financial).



49.05

M/s RICI Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.;	57.76	17.21	600,000	2 nd highest ranked firm on the basis of combined evaluation (Technical & Financial).

Top Ranked Firm on the Basis of Combined Evaluation (Technical & Financial): M/s SGS Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.

(Syed Tauqeer Hussain)

Additional Director General (Procurement)
Benazir Income Support Programme

