EVALUATION REPORT (AS PER RULE 35 OF PP RULES, 2004)

Name and Procuring Agency:

2. Method of Procurement:

3 Title of Procurement:

4. Tender Inquiry No.:

5. PPRA Ref. No:

6. Date and Time of Bid Closing

7. Date and Time of Bid Opening:

8. No. of Bid Received:

9. Criteria of Bid Evaluation:

National Transmission and Despatch Co. Ltd. (NTDC)

International Competitive Bidding (ICB) - Single Stage Single Envelope (1S1E)

TENDER NO. ADB-109R-A-2021: PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT FOR ASSET MANAGEMENT NTDC (LOT-I, LOT-II & LOT-III)

ADB-109R-A-2021 (LOT-I, LOT-II & LOT-III)

TS465774E

07.02.2022 11:00 AM

07.02.2022 11:30 AM

Lot No.	Lot-I	Lot-II	Lot-III
No. of Bids	3	2	0

Evaluation and comparison of Bid as per Section-I "Instruction to Bidders" and Section – III "Evaluation and Qualification Criteria" of Bidding Documents.

10. Detail of Bid(s) Evaluation: -

LOT-I: 550 kV CIRCUIT BREAKERS

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Quoted/Read- Out Price at Bid Opening	Corrected Bid Price after discounts & corrections	Evaluated Bid Price	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 And Percentage Ranking	
1.	M/s Pinggao Group Company Ltd, China	CNY 22,829,762	CNY 22,829,762	PKR 634,667,383.6	Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder 100%	
2.	M/s CCCE-MHDC Consortium China	 The bidder has 171:2008) of be remain. The of The uncondition attached with bidder refused remain unchar ITB 11.1(i)-IV, SAS a result, pure Consortium Characterists. 	Non-Responsive The bidder was declared Non-Responsive due to the following reasons: 1. The bidder has proposed major technical deviations against the requirement of technical specifications (P 171:2008) of bidding document also refused to withdraw the same and stated that "The deviations shall remain. The offer was made based on the mentioned deviations". 2. The unconditional undertaking for type tests from the proposed manufacturer (i-e M/s Siemens China) was not attached with the bid, as per requirement of sub clause ITB 11.1(i)-IV, Section-2 of tender document. The bidder refused to provide the compliant undertaking and stated that "The undertaking for type tests shall remain unchanged. The offer was made based on the undertaking". Which deviates the requirement of clause ITB 11.1(i)-IV, Section-2 of the bidding document. As a result, pursuant to clause 31 of Section-I & II of the bidding document, the bid of M/s CCCE-MHDC Consortium China is considered as Non-responsive. Moreover, the bidder is 16% higher than that of lowest responsive bidder.			

3.	M/s Hitachi Energy Sweden AB High Voltage Products Circuit Breakers Sweden	Non-Responsive The bidder was declared Non-Responsive due to the following reasons: The bidder has submitted major technical & commercial deviations along with the bid in the form of comments/deviations to technical specification, General conditions of contract (GCC) and Special Conditions of Contract (SCC), against the tender requirement and specifications. Also the Bidder did not withdraw the same unconditionally through the post bid clarification. In view of above discussion, pursuant to clause 31.2 & 31.4 of Section-1 of the bidding document, the bid of M/s Hitachi Energy Sweden AB was declared Non-responsive. Moreover, the bidder is 39% higher than that of lowest responsive bidder.		
	Lowest Evaluated	d Responsive Bidder	M/s Pinggao Group Company Ltd, China at Award Price (CIF) CNY 22,829,762/-	

LOT-II: 245 kV CIRCUIT BREAKERS

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Quoted/Read- Out Price at Bid Opening	Corrected Bid Price after discounts & corrections	Evaluated Bid Price	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 And Percentage Ranking
1.	M/s Pinggao Group Company Ltd, China	CNY 39,425, 382	CNY 39,425,382	PKR 1,096,025,619.6	Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder 100%
2.	M/s Hitachi Energy Sweden AB High Voltage Products Circuit Breakers Sweden	Non Responsive The bidder was declared Non-Responsive due to the following reasons: The bidder has submitted major technical & commercial deviations along with the bid in the form of comments/deviations to technical specification, General conditions of contract (GCC) and Special Conditions of Contract (SCC), against the tender requirement and specifications. Also the Bidder did not withdraw the same unconditionally through the post bid clarification In view of above discussion, pursuant to clause 31.2 & 31.4 of Section-1 of the bidding document, the bid of M/s Hitachi Energy Sweden AB is observed Non-responsive Moreover, it is pertinent to mention here that the quoted price of M/s Hitachi Energy Sweden AB is 39% higher than that of lowest responsive bidder.			
	Lowest Evaluated Respons	ive Bidder		M/s Pinggao Group at Award I CNY 39,4	Price (CIF)

Lowest Evaluated Bidder

LOT-I	M/s Pinggao Group Company Ltd, China		
LOT-II	M/s Pinggao Group Company Ltd, China		

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: NIL

Note: The above evaluation results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge, however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail.