#### EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency
- 2. Method of Procurement
- 3. Title of Procurement
- 4. Tender Inquiry No.
- 5. PPRA Ref No.
- 6. Date & Time of Bid Closing
- 7. Date & Time of Bid Opening
- 8. No of Bids Received

### National Transmission and Despatch Co. Ltd (NTDCL)

## International Competitive Bidding (ICB)

PROCUREMENT OF TESTING EQUIPMENT FOR CE (TSG) NORTH & CE (TSG) SOUTH NTDC, UNDER NTDC'S OWN RESOURCES.

#### NOR-91R2-2021

#### TS448852E

28/05/2021 at 11:00 A.M.

Technical: 28/05/2021 11:30 A.M.

Item No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
No. of Bids	04	05	05	05	06	04	03

Item No.	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
No. of Bids	03	03	03	03	0.0	05	01

Item No.	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
No. of Bids	00	04	04	04	04	04	02

22	23
01	03
	22 01

9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation

<u>Evaluation and Comparison of Bid as per</u> <u>Section-I "Instructions to Bidders" of Bidding</u> Documents

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation

Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

Page 1 of 13

lortde 200 21- por per et 36326

#### Item No. 01: Universal Relay Testing Set:

Name of the Bidders	Marks Technical (IfFinancial (If Applicable) Applicable)		Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks	
			recument Dyunutron results		
M/s Prescon Engineering (Pvt) Ltd., Lahore.	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive	
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive	
			Non-Responsive		
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	The bidder has not provided Power of Attorney as per tend document. In this regard, a post bid clarification was soug but the bidder failed to provide the same. Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive as per Clause IB.11 Section II the bidding document.		
			Non-Responsive		
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	The financial resources available with the firm the required financial resources for Item 01. I is considered non-responsive against this iter	lence, the firm	

#### Item No. 02: SFRA Test Set:

Name of the Bidders	Marks		Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	rechifical Evaluation Results	
M/s Prescon Engineering (Pvt) Ltd., Lahore.	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive Manufacturer authorization for their offered M/s M was not provided by the bidder as required as per C IB.17 of Section II of the bidding document. So the bid not considered as responsive.	

			Non-Responsive
M/s. HMZ Trading Co. Lahore.	NIL	NIL	The bidder did not provide any required document to ascertain contractual, manufacturing & operational experience of offered test sets as per tender document in the bid. The same was asked via post bid clarification by the evaluator but no reply has been received. Therefore, the bid is incomplete and is rejected as per Clause IB 3A of the bidding document
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No. 01

## <u>Item No. 03: Transformer Ohmmeter Test Set (Winding Resistance Test Set for 500kv</u> <u>Transformers:</u>

Name of the Bidders			Marks Technical Evaluation Results	
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No. 01</li> </ul>	
			Non-Responsive	
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	The firm did not provide performance certificates ascertain operational experience criteria as per Clause IB a) 3. of tender document. Hence, the firm is considered n responsive against these items.	
			Non-Responsive	
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	The model WRT-10 offered by the firm is non-compl since OC test voltage does not meet required criteria as specification.	
			Non-Responsive	e
M/s. IBEKO Power AB DV Power Sweden	NIL	NIL	The bidder has provided audit reports in Swedish Langu in the bid. A post bid clarification was invited from the bid to provide audit reports in English. In their reply, the bid could not provide English audit reports. Therefore, the bidder is substantially non-responsive as p Clause IB.10 Section I of the bidding document	

Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

Page 3 of 13

## Item No. 04: Transformer Turn Ratio (TTR) Test Set

Name of the Bidders	Ма	rks	Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks	
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	reclinical Evaluation Results		
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive	
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive	
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	• Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No. 01		
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive The firm five years' manufacturing experience criteria performance criteria as per Clause IB 3A a) 2. & 3. of t bidding document could not be ascertained from t documents attached in the bid & in response to post b clarifications.		
M/s. IBEKO Power AB DV Power Sweden	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned a</li> </ul>	against item No. 3.	

## Item No. 05: Circuit Breaker Contact Resistance Test Set

Name of the Bidders	Marks		Remar	
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Technical Evaluation Results	
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive The model Miko 2.3 offered by the firm is non-complian operating temperature is not as per requirement.	
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No. 0</li> </ul>	
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against item No. 3</li> </ul>	

Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

Page 4 of 13

-			Non-Responsive
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	The model Prime 600 offered by the firm is non-compliant since the maximum load capacity could not be ascertained from technical brochure of Prime 600.
M/s. IBEKO Power			Non-Responsive
AB DV Power Sweden	NIL	NIL	• Reasons are same as mentioned against item No. 3.
			Non-Responsive
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	• Reasons are same as mentioned against item No. 2.

## Item No. 06: Circuit Breaker Timing Test Set:

Name of the Bidders	Ма	rks	Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks	
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)			
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive	
M/c Moggor Limited			Non-Responsive		
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	Reasons are same as mentioned	d against Item No. 01	
			Non-Responsive		
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	The firm's five years manufacturing experience criteria three years operational experience criteria could no ascertained from the documents provided in the bid ar post bid reply too as per Clauses IB 3A a) 2 & 3. of the bid document.		
M/s. IBEKO Power AB DV Power Sweden	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive Reasons are same as mentioned against item No. 3.		

## Item No. 07: Capacitance and Dissipation Factor (C&DF) 12kV Test Set:

Name of the Bidders	Ма	rks	Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		
1/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive	

## Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

Page 5 of 13

			The model CPC 80 + TD12 is non-compliant to technical specification since Capacitance measurement range do not meet required criteria as mentioned in specifications.
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No. 01</li> </ul>
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive The model CAPO 12 offered by the firm is non-compliant since output power is under required rating as per specification.
	NIL	Moreover, the financial resources available with the firm are less than the required financial resources for Item 7. Hence, the firm is considered non-responsive against this item.	

#### Item No. 08: Oil Dielectric Strength (DES) Measurement Test Set (SARWAR ITTEHAD MEGGAR AYK)

Marks		Technical Furtheritan Decelt	Remarks
Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Technical Evaluation Results	
NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>D. Digendered</li> </ul>	
	Technical (If Applicable) NIL NIL	Technical (If Applicable)Financial (If Applicable)NILNILNILNILNILNIL	Technical (If Applicable)     Financial (If Applicable)     Technical Evaluation Results       NIL     NIL     Responsive and recommended for price bid opening       NIL     NIL     Responsive and recommended for price bid opening       NIL     NIL     Responsive and recommended for price bid opening

# <u>Item No. 09: Clamp-on Meters (Upto Mill ampere Measurement Make Kyoritsu/Fluke/Equivalent approved):</u>

Name of the Bidders	Marks			Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Technical Evaluation Results	
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive	

#### Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

			The model F65 is non-compliant to technical specification since Operating Temperature Range is not as per required specifications.
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No. 01</li> </ul>
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against item No. 2.</li> </ul>

## Item No. 10: Multimeter Make Kyoritsu/Fluke/Equivalent:

Name of the Bidders	Ма	rks	Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive The firm's five years manufacturing experience criteria per Clause IB 3A a) 2. of the bidding document performance criteria as per Clause IB 3A a) 3. of the bidd document could not be ascertained from the docume provided by the bidder.	
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned</li> </ul>	
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive The model KEW 1021 R is non-compliant since the resista and AC/DC voltage measurement ranges are not as per requirements.	

## Item No. 11: Phase Sequence Indicator approved

	Marks		Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Technica 2	
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive

## Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

Page 7 of 13

M/s Megger Limited			Non-Responsive
United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	• Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No. 01

#### Item No. 12: CT Polarity Indicator/Tester

None of the participants submitted bid against the item.

#### Item No. 13: Secondary Relay Test Set

Name of the Bidders	Ma	rks Technical Evaluation Results		Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	recifical Evaluation Results	
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No</li> </ul>	
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive Model RFD-200 S3 offered by the firm is non- compliant as it does not offer USB/Ethernet Communication	
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against item N</li> </ul>	

#### Item no. 14: DC Earth Fault Detector/DC Supply Detection Test Set

Name of the Bidders	Marks			Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Technical Evaluation Results Re	
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	• Reasons are same as mentioned a	gainst Item No. 01

### Item no. 15: Temperature Gauge Calibrator Test Set:

None of the participants submitted bid against the item.

#### Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

### Item No. 16: Megger/Insulation Resistance Test Set:

Name of the Bidders	Marks		Technical Evaluation Results Remarks	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	reclifical Evaluation Results	
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against item No.</li> </ul>	
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala			<b>Non-Responsive</b> The firm did not provide operational certificate of offer equipment as per Clause IB 3A a) 3. of the bidding docume	
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned against item No. 2</li> </ul>	
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive     Reasons are same as mentioned against Item No. 01	

#### Item No. 17: Dew Point (SF6) Test Set

Name of the Bidders	Marks		Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	reclinical Evaluation Results	
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
			Non-Responsive	
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NĬL	NIL	The firm's five years manufacturing experience criteria per Clause IB 3A a) 2. of the bidding document performance criteria as per Clause IB 3A a) 3. of the bidd document could not be ascertained from the docume provided by the bidder in the bid and in response to post clarification as well.	
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned a</li> </ul>	against Item No. 01
			Non-Responsive	
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	Model iSF6-1100 offered by the firm is non-compliant sin the operating temperature range is not as per specification	

#### Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

Page 9 of 13

			Non-Responsive
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	The firm did not provide operational certificate of offered test set, thus did not meet performance criteria as per Clause IB 3A a) 3. of the bidding document.

#### Item no. 18: Purity (SF6) Test Set

Name of the Bidders	Ma	urks	Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	reclinical Evaluation Results	
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive The model FI-8000 SF6 is non-co specification since its accuracy is not a	
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned a</li> </ul>	against item No. 3.

## Item No. 19: Primary Injection Test Set (2000A) (ISA SARWAR ITTEHAD MEGGAR AYK)

Name of the Bidders	Ма	irks	Tooknigel Evolution Docult	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Technical Evaluation Results	
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price bid opening	Responsive
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	• Reasons are same as mentioned	against Item No. 01
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive Model PC-U1 offered by the firm is no operating temperature range is not as	

#### Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

## Item No. 20: Earth Resistance (Megger) Test Set (SARWAR MEGGAR AYK)

Name of the Bidders	Ма	rks	Financial (If Applicable)	I.
	Technical (If Applicable)			
M/s. Unicom Corporation, Lahore.	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned a</li> </ul>	against item No. 2.
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned a</li> </ul>	against Item No. 01
M/s. Continental Trading Corp. Lahore	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive	
Trading Corp. Danore			Reasons are same as mentioned     Non-Responsive	
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	The model C.A 6471's compliance to to could not be ascertained as technic Hence the item is not acceptable.	echnical specifications al data not provided

## Item No. 21: Battery Capacity Test Set

Name of the Bidders	Ма	rks	Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom		NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned a</li> </ul>	against Item No. 01
M/s. IBEKO Power AB DV Power Sweden	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned a</li> </ul>	against item No. 3.

## Item no. 22: Battery Impedance Test Set

Name of the Bidders	Ма	rks	Technical Evaluation Results	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Non-Responsive	
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	• Reasons are same as mentioned ag	gainst Item No. 01

## Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

Page 11 of 13

#### Item No. 23: VLF Test Set

emarks

Name of the Bidders		Remarks Technical Evaluation Results		
eV <sup>arec</sup>	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	reclinical Evaluation Results	
M/s Megger Limited United Kingdom	NIL	NIL	<ul> <li>Non-Responsive</li> <li>Reasons are same as mentioned a</li> </ul>	gainst Item No. 01
M/s. MN Enterprises Lahore	NIL	NIL	<b>Non-Responsive</b> The firm's five years manufacturing e per Clause IB 3A a) 2. of the bi performance criteria of offered test set a 3. of the bidding document could not be documents provided by the bidder.	dding document & as per Clause IB 3A a)
M/s AYK Pvt. Ltd., Gujranwala	NIL	NIL	<b>Non-Responsive</b> The firm's five years manufacturing end per Clause IB 3A a) 2. of the bid performance criteria of offered test set a 3. of the bidding document could not be documents provided by the bidder.	dding document & as per Clause IB 3A a)

#### 11. Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder

Item No.
11, 17 & 18
4
1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 11, 13 & 19.
1, 2
4, 8, 13, 18 19
1,2

12. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share

Signature\_\_\_\_\_

Official Stamp CHIEF ENGINEER (MP&M) NTDC

Note: The above evaluation results are based on detail Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge, however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail.

## Bid Evaluation Report- NOR-9IR-2019

Page 13 of 13