



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(548)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/23

Islamabad, 20 January, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction
supervision for Rehabilitation & Upgradation of 54.80 KM Long
Awaran-Jhaljao**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(SAMI-UR-REHMAN)
General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director Services (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.



EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction supervision for Rehabilitation & Upgradation of 54.80 KM Long Awaran-Jhaljao
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(548)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS460444E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	25 th October 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	25 th October 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below


Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s NESPAK in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s ZAK Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	788	Technically Qualified
2) M/s A.A Associates in JV with Asif Ali Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Unique Associates and M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services	770	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering General Consultant (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Cameos Consultant and M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.	758	Technically Qualified
4) M/s Republic Engineering Corporation (Pvt.) Ltd. In JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Origin Consulting Engineers	750	Technically Qualified

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
5) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd.	674	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%).PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
6) M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Gulf Engineering Consulting Services	Sign & Stamp on the Technical Proposals are scanned. Reference:1.8(d) of the data sheet of the RFP.	Non- Responsive PPRA Rule 36(b) (viii)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 

General Manager (P&CA)
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

Official Stamp:

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Design Review and
Construction supervision for Rehabilitation
& Upgradation of 54.80 KM Long
Awaran-Jhaljao**


January, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
Design Review Team:					
a) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	25				
b) Pavement Specialist	20				
c) Senior Structure Engineer	20				
Construction Supervision Team:					
d) Chief Resident Engineer	70				
e) Resident Engineer	60				
f) Material Engineer	40				
g) Contract Specialist	40				
h) Assistant Resident Engineer (Highways)-I & II	2 x 30				
i) Assistant Resident Engineer (Structure/ Bridge)-I & II	2 x 30				
j) Chief Quantity Surveyor	15				
k) Quantity Surveyor	15				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Relevant Experience* (60%)		Status with the Firm** 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
Design Review Team:								
a)	Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer							
b)	Pavement Specialist							
c)	Senior Structure Engineer							
Construction Supervision Team:								
d)	Chief Resident Engineer							
e)	Resident Engineer							
f)	Material Engineer							
g)	Contract Specialist							
h)	Assistant Resident Engineer (Highways)-I & II							
i)	Assistant Resident Engineer (Structures/ Bridges) -I & II							
j)	Chief Quantity Surveyor							
k)	Quantity Surveyor							

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good – 90-99%

Above Average – 80-89%

Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69%

Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to Clause 5.6 of TOR.

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;

Less than 6 months or associates- 0% (For more than six months, salary account certificate from employer duly attested by respective bank shall be attached with the proposal.)

