FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Hiring of Structural Expert for Design and Design Review of Bridges and Allied Structures on NHA Network
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS550682E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	18th November, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	18th November, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Three (03) Proposals were received
100/1000		Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

_		Marks	5		Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/		
Name of Bidder		Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)		Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of Pl Rules, 2004.	
i)	M/s CECON (Civil Engineers and Consultants)	736.8	200	936.8	104,610,304	1st	
ii)	M/s EN & EM Assosciates	Disqualified (Failed to obtain minimum passing score prescribed in RFP)	Financial Proposal Not Opened				
iii)	M/s Finite Engineering	Disqualified (Failed to obtain minimum passing score prescribed in RFP)	Financial Proposal Not Opened				

^{*}EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Most Advantageous Consultant:

M/s CECON (Civil Engineers and Consultants)

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract will be awarded to M/s CECON(Civil Engineers and Consultants) at evaluated/rebated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 104,610,304/- which includes Remuneration, Reimbursable Expenses and all applicable Federal, Provisional and Local taxes including GST.

Signature:	\bigcirc	:	
Official Stamp	·		

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

是一个人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是有一个人的人的人,但是一个人的人的人,也不是一个人的人的人,也是一个人的人的人的人,也是一个人的人的人,也是一个人的人的人		Firm 1		Firm 2	
VALUATION CRITERIA	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Scon
Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
Specific Experience related to participate	200		9		
Approach and Methodology	70				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project (i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(i) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(ii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)	V			
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50	1			
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	70				
2-c. Methodology (i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(i) Proposed solutions for this Project	(20)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology (iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(20)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	<u>10</u>				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in Tox					-
			-	-	-
3. Key Personnel (Structural Expert)	550	-	_	-	-
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25	-	-	-	_
Academic Qualification	100		_	_	_
General Experience	100			_	-
Bridge Design Experience	125		_	_	_
Bridge Rehabilitation Experience	200		-	-	-
4 Performance Certification from clients	100		-	-	-
A flidayit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25		_		-
6 Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50		_	_	-
6. Fresent Commitments (current engagement)	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

DESIGN AND DESIGN REVIEW OF BRIDGES AND ALLIED STRUCTURES ON NHA NETWORK. Page 17 of 67



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic Qualification		General Experience		Bridge Design Experience		Bridge Rehabilitation Experience	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentag e Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)
i. Structural Expert									

Academic Qualification: (100)

Ph.D in Structural Engineering-100%, M.Sc in Structural Engineering. with additional trainings/courses relevant to assignment-90%; M.Sc in Structural Engineering. 80%

General Experience: (100)

Minimum 20 years' experience in Bridge Design (80 marks);

04 marks per year experience of Bridge Design exceeding the said 20 years' experience (up to maximum of 20 marks).

Bridge Design Experience: (125)

The individual would be evaluated on the basis of number of bridges designed, and vetted. The scoring criteria is as under:

_		Number	of Bridges	
Experience	< 100	100 - 200	200 - 300	> 300
Design of New Bridges	25	50	75	100
Vetting of Bridge Design	10	15	20	25

Bridge Rehabilitation Experience: (200)

The individual would be evaluated on the basis of number of bridges rehabilitated. The scoring criteria is as under:

	Number of Bridges						
Experience	< 100	100 - 200	200 - 300	> 300			
Rehabilitation of Old Bridges	50	100	150	200			

The Consultant will provide duly certified (signed and stamped) list of projects alongwith following information:

- Name of Project
- Name of Structure
- Type of Structure
- Length of Structure
- Location Information
- Client Name

DESIGN AND DESIGN REVIEW OF BRIDGES AND ALLIED STRUCTURES ON NHA NETWORK. Page 18 of 67