Evaluation report (As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1) Name of Procuring Agency:

Ministry of Human Rights, Islamabad

2) Method of Procurement:

Open Competitive Bidding

3) Title of Procurement:

Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure Supply of I.T Equipment, Machinery & Furniture

Tender Inquiry Number:

PID(I)/2888/21

5) PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):

TS463931E

Date & Time of Closing:

19-11-2021 at 02:00 PM

7) Date & Time of Opening:

19-11-2021 at 02:30 PM

8) No. of Bids Received:

15 (Fifteen No. of Bidders)

9) Criteria for Bid Evaluation:

Terms & Conditions mentioned in the Bidding Document

10) Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Comparative Statement as under

		LOT # 1:	W. T. 1994			
Name of	Technical o	& Financial Eval	uation for Qu	alification	of Bidder	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic
Bidder	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
EGS (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	65	30	95	1,120,852	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix) Accepted being 1st lowest/ most advantageous evaluated bid
AYK (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	17	87	1,977,128	2 nd lowest evaluated responsive bid
Wateen Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	15.95	85.95	2,107,744	3rd lowest evaluated responsive bid
Techaccess Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	13.02	81.02	2,581,607	4th lowest evaluated responsive bid
National Engineers	Qualified	63.5	16.18	79.68	2,078,160	5th lowest evaluated responsive bid
Megaplus IT Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	9.14	72.14	3,674,944	6th lowest evaluated responsive bid
Netpaq (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	61	9.68	70.68	3,470,504	7th lowest evaluated responsive bid
Intech Systems (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	60	9.48	69.48	3,544,000	8th lowest evaluated responsive bid

A* Total technical marks are 70. Passing score at technical stage is 50.

B** The formula for determining the financial scores of all proposals are calculated as following.

Financial Marks= (lowest price/price of the proposal under consideration) *0.30*100

C*** Proposal are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B)

The weights given to the Technical(T) and Financial(F) proposal are T=70% and F=30%

Most Advantageous Bidder in Lot # 1: EGS (Pvt.) Ltd

1-16.5

(Ishtiaq Hussain) Director (HRIMS) Member/Secretary

Carl Zaman Deputy Director (B&C) Member

(Asid/Hussain Shah) Section Officer (General)

Awais Abbasi IT Expert (Helpline) Member

Director General Development)

Chairman

SI mho 11/22.

a 38069

		LOT # 2: Pas				
Name of Bidders	Technical & F	inancial Evalua	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic for Rejection / Acceptance as per			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
AYK (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	28.42	98.42	2,484,576	Rejected: Bid rejected because of higher than estimated prices
Megaplus IT Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd	Disqualified	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
EGS (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	65	30	95	2,354,410	Rejected: Bid rejected because of higher than estimated prices
Netpaq (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	61	23.74	84.74	2,974,176	Rejected: Bid rejected because of higher than estimated prices

B** The formula for determining the financial scores of all proposals are calculated as following.

• Financial Marks= (lowest price/price of the proposal under consideration) *0.30*100

C*** Proposal are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B)

• The weights given to the Technical(T) and Financial(F) proposal are T=70% and F=30%

Bids of all bidders may be rejected based on higher than estimated cost

(Ishtiaq Hussain) Director (HRIMS) Member/ Secretary

Gul Zaman
Deputy Director (B&C)
Member

(Asid Hussain Shah) Section Officer (General) Menthers

Awais Abbasi IT Expert (Helpline) Member

Abdul Sattar Director General (Development) Chairman

Name of Bidder	Technical & Fi	nancial E	valuation fo	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic for Rejection / Acceptance as per		
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech Marks	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
Wateen Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	29.97	99.97	1,334,088	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix) Accepted being 1st lowest/ most advantageous evaluated bid
National Engineers	Qualified	63.5	30	93.5	1,333,101	2 nd lowest evaluated responsive bid
Megaplus IT Solution (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	23.33	86.33	1,714,000	3rd lowest evaluated responsive bid
Techaccess Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	17.85	85.85	2,239,747	4 th lowest evaluated responsive bid
Information Systems Associates Ltd	Qualified	70	15.64	85.64	2,556,380	5th lowest evaluated responsive bid
AS SoftLayer Technologies	Qualified	65	9.43	74.43	4,240,000	6 th lowest evaluated responsive bid

B** The formula for determining the financial scores of all proposals are calculated as following.

Financial Marks= (lowest price/price of the proposal under consideration) *0.30*100

C*** Proposal are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B)

The weights given to the Technical(T) and Financial(F) proposal are T=70% and F=30%

Most Advantageous Bidder in Lot # 3: Wateen Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd

(Ishtiaq Hussain) Director (HRIMS)

جين البياد

Member/ Secretary

Gul Zaman Deputy Director (B&C)

Member

(Asid Hussain Shah) Section Officer (General)

Awais Abbasi IT Expert (Helpline) Member

Abdul Sattar Director General (Development) Chairman

		LOT # 4:	Data Rac	k		
Name of Bidders	Technical &	Financial Evalu	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basi			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
Megaplus IT Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	30	93	698,452	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix) Accepted being 1st lowest/ most advantageous evaluated bid
AS Softlayer Technologies	Qualified	65	19.40	84.40	1,080,000	2 nd lowest evaluated responsive bid
InTech Systems (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	60	13.26	73.26	1,580,000	3rd lowest evaluated responsive bid

B** The formula for determining the financial scores of all proposals are calculated as following.

Financial Marks= (lowest price/price of the proposal under consideration) *0.30*100

C*** Proposal are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B)

• The weights given to the Technical(T) and Financial(F) proposal are T=70% and F=30%

Most Advantageous Bidder in Lot # 4: Megaplus IT Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd

المالة المالة

(Ishtiaq Hussain) Director (HRIMS) Member/Secretary Gul Zaman

Deputy Director (B&C) Member

(Asid Hussain Shah) Section Officer (General)

Member

Awais Abbasi IT Expert (Helpline) Member

> Abdul Sattar Director General (Development)

		LOT#5	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Name of Bidders	Technical & I	inancial Evalua				
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
Nauman Business Corporation	Qualified	64	30	94	1,146,600	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix) Accepted being 1st lowest/ most advantageous evaluated bid
AS SoftLayer Technologies	Qualified	65	28.66	93.66	1,200,000	2 nd lowest evaluated responsive bid

B** The formula for determining the financial scores of all proposals are calculated as following.

• Financial Marks= (lowest price/price of the proposal under consideration) *0.30*100

C*** Proposal are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B)

The weights given to the Technical(T) and Financial(F) proposal are T=70% and F=30%

Most Advantageous Bidder in Lot # 5: Nauman Business Corporation

(Ishtiaq Hussain) Director (HRIMS)

Member/ Secretary

Oul Zaman Deputy Director (B&C)

Member

(Asid Hussain Shah) Section Officer (General)

Member

Awais Abbasi IT Expert (Helpline) Member

Abdul Sattar Director General (Development)

		LOT # 6:				
Name of Bidders	Technical & F	inancial Evalua	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
AES Technologies	Qualified	60	30	90	973,440	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix) Accepted being 1st lowest/ most advantageous evaluated bid
EGS (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	65	21.71	86.71	1,344,579	2 nd lowest evaluated responsive bid
Protective Systems (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	29.20	82.20	1,000,000	3rd lowest evaluated responsive bid
Makkays	Qualified	58	19.46	77.46	1,499,940	4th lowest evaluated responsive bid

B** The formula for determining the financial scores of all proposals are calculated as following.

• Financial Marks= (lowest price/price of the proposal under consideration) *0.30*100

C*** Proposal are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B)

• The weights given to the Technical(T) and Financial(F) proposal are T=70% and F=30%

Most Advantageous Bidder in Lot # 6: AES Technologies

(Ishtiaq Hussain) Director (HRIMS)

Member/ Secretary

Gul Zaman

Deputy Director (B&C) Member (Asid Hussain Shah)

Section Officer (General)

Awais Abbasi IT Expert (Helpline) Member

Abdul Saviar Director General (Development)

		LOT # 7: C	CTV Syst	em		
Name of	Technical & Fina	ancial Evalua	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidders	Qualified/ Not Qualified	h Marke hit		Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
AYK (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	30	100	350,756	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix) Accepted being 1st lowest/ most advantageous evaluated bid
Nauman Business Corporation	Qualified	63	14.29	77.29	736,164	2 nd lowest evaluated responsive bid
Netpaq (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	61	12.23	73.23	860,000	3rd lowest evaluated responsive bid

B** The formula for determining the financial scores of all proposals are calculated as following.

Financial Marks= (lowest price/price of the proposal under consideration) *0.30*100

C*** Proposal are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B)

• The weights given to the Technical(T) and Financial(F) proposal are T=70% and F=30%

Most Advantageous Bidder in Lot #7: AYK (Pvt.) Ltd

(Ishtiaq Hussain)

Director (HRIMS) Member/ Secretary Deputy Director (B&C)

Member

(Asid Hussain Shah) Section Officer (General)

Member

Awais Abbasi IT Expert (Helpline) Member

Abdul Sattar Director General (Development)

	LC	T#8: Video				
Name of Bidders	Technical &	Financial Evalu	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic for Rejection / Acceptance as per			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Not (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
AYK (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	28.90	98.90	954,720	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix) Accepted being 1st lowest/ most advantageous evaluated bid
Megaplus IT Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	28.72	91.72	960,960	2 nd lowest evaluated responsive bid
Nasco Traders	Qualified	61	30	91	920,000	3rd lowest evaluated responsive bid

B** The formula for determining the financial scores of all proposals are calculated as following.

Financial Marks= (lowest price/price of the proposal under consideration) *0.30*100

C*** Proposal are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B)

The weights given to the Technical(T) and Financial(F) proposal are T=70% and F=30%

Most Advantageous Bidder in Lot #8: AYK (Pvt.) Ltd

4000

(Ishtiaq Hussain) Director (HRIMS) Member/ Secretary Gul Zaman

Deputy Director (B&C) Member (Asid Hussain Shah) Section Officer (General)

Awais Abbasi IT Expert (Helpline) Member

Abdul Sattar Director General (Development)