

**EVALUATION REPORT****(As Per Rule 35 of PPRA Rules,2004)**

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	<b>National Transport Research Centre(NTRC)</b>
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelop Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	<b>NTRC Permanent Traffic Count Program (Phase-IV) on National Highways and Motorways (M-2, M-3, M-4, M-9 and E-35)</b>
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	No. NTRC-15(15)/2017-Phase-IV
5.	PPRA Ref. No.(TSE):	TS388248E (24.04.2019)
6.	Date &Time of Bid Closing:	28 <sup>th</sup> May,2019 11:00 AM
7.	Date &Time of Bid Opening:	20 <sup>th</sup> January, 2020 11:30 AM
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (4) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at <b>Annex-I</b>
10.	DetailsofBid(s)Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation /SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PPRules,2004.
	Technical ( if applicable )	Financial ( if applicable )	Total		
1. M/s TASKK Enterprises, Islamabad	580.16	200	780.16	9,598,000/-	1 <sup>st</sup> Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2. M/s Zeeruk International NESPAK, Islamabad	640	121.034	761.034	15,860,000/-	2 <sup>ND</sup>
3. M/s Finite Engineering, Islamabad	605.92	147.66	753.58	13,000,120/-	3 <sup>RD</sup>
4. M/s Al Hussaini & M/s Moasar, Id	Couldn't Qualify				

**Top Ranked Bidder:** M/s TASKK Enterprises, Islamabad.

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: .....

Official Stamp:

Muhammad Sayyar  
.....  
National Transport Centre (NTRC)  
Ministry of Communication  
Islamabad

**\*Standard Bidding Documents(SBD).**

2 ntrc 271. R

027329

5.2	The evaluation of technical proposal shall be based on following criteria:																																																		
	<table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="500 108 786 140">Description / Items</th> <th data-bbox="1338 108 1435 140">Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="418 149 850 181">i. Qualification of the Firm</td> <td data-bbox="1354 149 1419 181">100</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="500 190 938 222">a) General Experience (25)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="500 231 1110 263">b) Specific Experience of the Firm (75)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="418 272 873 303">ii. Approach &amp; Methodology</td> <td data-bbox="1354 272 1419 303">350</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 312 1068 344">Understanding of Objectives (50)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 353 1023 385">Quality of Methodology (200)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 394 1149 426">Innovativeness/Comments on TOR(40)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 435 873 467">Work Program (20)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 476 915 508">Staffing Schedule (20)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 517 1221 594">Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness in proposal presentation (20)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="418 603 1149 635">iii. Qualification and Experience of the Key Staff</td> <td data-bbox="1354 603 1419 635">450</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="418 644 906 675">iv. Past performance of the firm</td> <td data-bbox="1354 644 1419 675">100</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="948 716 1127 748" style="text-align: right;">Total Points:</td> <td data-bbox="1354 716 1419 748">1000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 802 1029 834" style="text-align: center;"><b>Minimum qualifying technical score:</b></td> <td data-bbox="1354 802 1419 834" style="text-align: right;"><b>700</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="399 843 1451 920">The points earmarked for evaluation sub-criteria (iii) for suitability of Key Staff are:-</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="256 920 386 1242"></td> <td data-bbox="394 920 1523 1242"> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="500 925 786 957">Description / Items</th> <th data-bbox="1305 925 1468 957">Points (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 966 1201 1084">i. Academic and General Qualifications (Minimum M.Sc in Traffic Engg.+ Additional 10% Marks in case of Ph.D)</td> <td data-bbox="1370 966 1403 997">30</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 1093 1227 1124">ii. Professional experience related to Project/Research</td> <td data-bbox="1370 1093 1403 1124">60</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 1134 1188 1211">iii. Status with the firm (Permanent &amp; duration with Firm as per LOI Clause 3.1.4 (c))</td> <td data-bbox="1370 1134 1403 1165">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="922 1206 1101 1238" style="text-align: right;">Total Points:</td> <td data-bbox="1354 1206 1419 1238">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="256 1251 386 1388">5.3.1</td> <td data-bbox="394 1251 1523 1388">The date, time, and address of the financial proposal opening are: After evaluation and approval of technical proposals <b>(TO BE INFORMED LATER).</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="256 1397 386 1510">5.3.3</td> <td data-bbox="394 1397 1523 1510">The weights given to the Technical and Financial Proposals are: <b>Technical: 80%</b> <b>Financial: 20%</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="256 1519 386 1909">6.3</td> <td data-bbox="394 1519 1523 1909">Add following at the end of this Para: The final person-months of each expert are subject to adjustment at the stage of contract negotiation in line with demonstrated approaches methodology and need bases.</td> </tr> </tbody></table>	Description / Items	Points	i. Qualification of the Firm	100	a) General Experience (25)		b) Specific Experience of the Firm (75)		ii. Approach & Methodology	350	Understanding of Objectives (50)		Quality of Methodology (200)		Innovativeness/Comments on TOR(40)		Work Program (20)		Staffing Schedule (20)		Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness in proposal presentation (20)		iii. Qualification and Experience of the Key Staff	450	iv. Past performance of the firm	100	Total Points:	1000	<b>Minimum qualifying technical score:</b>	<b>700</b>	The points earmarked for evaluation sub-criteria (iii) for suitability of Key Staff are:-			<table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="500 925 786 957">Description / Items</th> <th data-bbox="1305 925 1468 957">Points (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 966 1201 1084">i. Academic and General Qualifications (Minimum M.Sc in Traffic Engg.+ Additional 10% Marks in case of Ph.D)</td> <td data-bbox="1370 966 1403 997">30</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 1093 1227 1124">ii. Professional experience related to Project/Research</td> <td data-bbox="1370 1093 1403 1124">60</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 1134 1188 1211">iii. Status with the firm (Permanent &amp; duration with Firm as per LOI Clause 3.1.4 (c))</td> <td data-bbox="1370 1134 1403 1165">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="922 1206 1101 1238" style="text-align: right;">Total Points:</td> <td data-bbox="1354 1206 1419 1238">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Description / Items	Points (%)	i. Academic and General Qualifications (Minimum M.Sc in Traffic Engg.+ Additional 10% Marks in case of Ph.D)	30	ii. Professional experience related to Project/Research	60	iii. Status with the firm (Permanent & duration with Firm as per LOI Clause 3.1.4 (c))	10	Total Points:	100	5.3.1	The date, time, and address of the financial proposal opening are: After evaluation and approval of technical proposals <b>(TO BE INFORMED LATER).</b>	5.3.3	The weights given to the Technical and Financial Proposals are: <b>Technical: 80%</b> <b>Financial: 20%</b>	6.3	Add following at the end of this Para: The final person-months of each expert are subject to adjustment at the stage of contract negotiation in line with demonstrated approaches methodology and need bases.
Description / Items	Points																																																		
i. Qualification of the Firm	100																																																		
a) General Experience (25)																																																			
b) Specific Experience of the Firm (75)																																																			
ii. Approach & Methodology	350																																																		
Understanding of Objectives (50)																																																			
Quality of Methodology (200)																																																			
Innovativeness/Comments on TOR(40)																																																			
Work Program (20)																																																			
Staffing Schedule (20)																																																			
Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness in proposal presentation (20)																																																			
iii. Qualification and Experience of the Key Staff	450																																																		
iv. Past performance of the firm	100																																																		
Total Points:	1000																																																		
<b>Minimum qualifying technical score:</b>	<b>700</b>																																																		
The points earmarked for evaluation sub-criteria (iii) for suitability of Key Staff are:-																																																			
	<table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="500 925 786 957">Description / Items</th> <th data-bbox="1305 925 1468 957">Points (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 966 1201 1084">i. Academic and General Qualifications (Minimum M.Sc in Traffic Engg.+ Additional 10% Marks in case of Ph.D)</td> <td data-bbox="1370 966 1403 997">30</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 1093 1227 1124">ii. Professional experience related to Project/Research</td> <td data-bbox="1370 1093 1403 1124">60</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="399 1134 1188 1211">iii. Status with the firm (Permanent &amp; duration with Firm as per LOI Clause 3.1.4 (c))</td> <td data-bbox="1370 1134 1403 1165">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="922 1206 1101 1238" style="text-align: right;">Total Points:</td> <td data-bbox="1354 1206 1419 1238">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Description / Items	Points (%)	i. Academic and General Qualifications (Minimum M.Sc in Traffic Engg.+ Additional 10% Marks in case of Ph.D)	30	ii. Professional experience related to Project/Research	60	iii. Status with the firm (Permanent & duration with Firm as per LOI Clause 3.1.4 (c))	10	Total Points:	100																																								
Description / Items	Points (%)																																																		
i. Academic and General Qualifications (Minimum M.Sc in Traffic Engg.+ Additional 10% Marks in case of Ph.D)	30																																																		
ii. Professional experience related to Project/Research	60																																																		
iii. Status with the firm (Permanent & duration with Firm as per LOI Clause 3.1.4 (c))	10																																																		
Total Points:	100																																																		
5.3.1	The date, time, and address of the financial proposal opening are: After evaluation and approval of technical proposals <b>(TO BE INFORMED LATER).</b>																																																		
5.3.3	The weights given to the Technical and Financial Proposals are: <b>Technical: 80%</b> <b>Financial: 20%</b>																																																		
6.3	Add following at the end of this Para: The final person-months of each expert are subject to adjustment at the stage of contract negotiation in line with demonstrated approaches methodology and need bases.																																																		