EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority					
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & detailed design for construction of road from Baiker to Marri top Dera Bugti (51 km) approx.					
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(643)					
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS548111E					
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	8 th October, 2024 at 1130 hours local time					
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	8th October, 2024 at 1200 hours local time					
8.	No of Bids Received:	Three (03) Proposals were received					
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I					
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below					

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s ZK Enterprises (Sub-Consultant)	803	Technically Qualified
M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s CAMEOS Architects & Consultants and in association with M/s ICE Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	761	Technically Qualified
M/s AA Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali & Associates and in association with M/s Unique Associates (Sub-Consultant)	733	Technically Qualified

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature:..

General Manager (P&CA)

Official Stamp National Highway Authority

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

Cover of Par

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & detailed design for construction of road from Baiker to Marri Top Dera Bugti (51 km) approx. Page 1 of 1

National Highway Authority



Annex-I Criteria FOR

Technical Evaluation

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY & DETAILED DESIGN FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM BAIKER TO MARRI TOP DERA BUGTI (51 KM) APPROX.

January, 2025

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Fin	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score	
1. Firms Experience						
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25					
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75			-		
2. Approach and Methodology	250					
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	<u>70</u>					
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)					
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)					
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	. (20)					
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	<u>50</u>					
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)					
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)					
2-c. Methodology	80					
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)					
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)					
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)					
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	<u>10</u>					
2-e. Work Program	<u>20</u>					
2-f. Staffing Schedule	<u>20</u>					
.3. Key Personnel	450					
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25					
i. Team Leader/ Sr. Highway Engineer	100					
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer	90					
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer	70					
iv. Junior Structure Engineer	40					
v. Junior Highway Engineer	50					
vi. Transport Economist	40					
vii. Quantity Surveyor	35	-				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75					
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting						
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)						
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50					
TOT						

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%, Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION //AREA OF. EXPERTISE	Name 1	Academic a Qualifi Weightan	nd General a cation as a second	Project Exper Weighta	related ience ge 60%	Status with	the Firm***	OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
i. Team Leader/ Sr. Highway Engineer								
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer								
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer								
iv. Junior Structure Engineer								
v. Junior Highway Engineer								
vi. Transport Economist								
'vii. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

Regular Employee or more than six (06) months with firms - 100%; Part time/ First time for this assignment-0%

