



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(587)/DIR (P&CA)/NHA/2022/ 56

Islamabad, 25th January, 2023

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):**
Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Construction of Jamal Din Interchange on Sukkur-Multan Motorway (M-5)

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO)
DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Final Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- General Manager (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Construction of Jamal Din Interchange on Sukkur-Multan Motorway (M-5)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(587)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS492961E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	10 th November, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	10 th November, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	642	180	822	8,715,380	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s ZTECH Tech. Engineering Solutions (Sub-Consultant)	613	200	813	7,860,610	2 nd
3) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s ZK Enterprises (Sub Consultant)	650	162	812	9,685,468	3 rd
4) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in association with M/s National	646	133	779	11,830,612	4 th

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES) (Sub- Consultant)					
5) M/s PAVRON in JV with M/s BK Consultant (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Engineering & Technical Associates (Sub-Consultant)	602	163	765	9,673,312	5 th
6) M/s Asif Ali & Associates in JV with M/s A.A Associates & and in association with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)	590	135	725	11,606,884	6 th

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 8,715,380/-.

Signature:.....

MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO
DIRECTOR (P&CA)
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

Official Stamp:.....

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Final Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study &
Detailed Design for Construction of
Jamal Din Interchange on Sukkur-Multan
Motorway (M-5)**

January, 2023

A handwritten signature or mark is located in the bottom left corner of the page. It consists of several fluid, overlapping strokes.

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	125				
ii. Structural Engineer	100				
iii. Pavement Engineer	75				
iv. Transport Economist	75				
v. Quantity Surveyor	50				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,
Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer								
ii. Structural Engineer								
iii. Pavement Engineer								
iv. Transport Economist								
v. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

