



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(545)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/10

Islamabad, 07 January, 2022

## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction  
Supervision of Gilgit-Shandoor Road Length: 216 KM**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(SAMI-UR-REHMAN)  
General Manager (P&CA)

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision of Gilgit-Shandoor Road Length: 216 KM
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(545)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS458102E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	21 <sup>st</sup> September 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	21 <sup>st</sup> September 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering General Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Electra Consultants and M/s Apex Consulting Engineering	804	Technically Qualified
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. , M/s Creative Engineering Consultant and M/s Khyber Design Engineers	800	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s A.A Associates, M/s Techno-Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd, and M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services	745	Technically Qualified
4) M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech.	719	Technically Qualified
5) M/s ABM Engineers in JV with M/s ESS-I-AAR and M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.	717	Technically Qualified



# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
6) M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. In JV with M/s Engineering Consultancy Services Punjab (Pvt.) Ltd. , M/s Ease-Pak Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Karakorum Engineers	<b>Non-Responsive:</b> PEC Certificate of M/s Karakorum is not submitted	

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 

**General Manager (P&CA)**  
National Highway Authority  
Islamabad

Official Stamp: .....

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology/ Innovativeness	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
<b>Design Review Team:</b>					
a) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	15				
b) Pavement Specialist	10				
c) Senior Structural Engineer	10				
<b>Construction Supervision Team:</b>					
d) Chief Resident Engineer	55				
e) Resident Engineer - I,II&III	3 x 40				
f) Material Engineer - I,II&III	3 x 15				
g) Contract Specialist	30				
h) ARE (Highways) Engineer - I,II&III	3 x 20				
i) ARE Structure/Bridge Engineer - I,II&III	3 x 20				
j) Environmental Engineer	10				
k) Chief Quantity Surveyor	10				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average -- 80-89% Average -- 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



## PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for Design Review and 65% for Construction Supervision		Status with the Firm** 10% for Design Review and 05% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)  (A+B+C)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
<b>Design Review Team:</b>								
a) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer								
b) Pavement Specialist								
c) Senior Structural Engineer								
<b>Construction Supervision Team:</b>								
d) Chief Resident Engineer								
e) Resident Engineer - I,II&III								
f) Material Engineer - I,II&III								
g) Contract Specialist								
h) ARE (Highways) Engineer - I, II & III								
i) ARE Structure/Bridge Engineer - I, II & III								
j) Environmental Engineer								
k) Chief Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69%

Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

\* For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to Clause 5.6 of TOR.

\*\* 6 month's older employee - 100%;  
Less than 6 months or associates- 0% (For more than six months, salary account certificate from employer duly attested by respective bank shall be attached with the proposal.)

