

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY
AUTHORITY (PPRA)
CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public
Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Name of the organization/Deptt | HQ DW & CE (PAF) Chaklala |
| 2 | Federal / provincial Govt | Federal |
| 3 | Title of contracts | Construction work |
| 4 | Tender Number | TS488428E dt 31-08-2022 |
| 5 | Brief Description of Contract | Construction Work |
| 6 | Tender Value | Rs. 50.579 Million |
| 7 | Engineer's Estimate | Rs. 31.876 Million |
| 8 | Estimated Completion Period | Twelve (12) Months |
| 9 | Whether the procurement was included in Annual procurement plan | Yes |
| 10 | Advertisement: | Yes |
| | (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) | PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (TS 488428E dt 31-08-22) |
| | (b) News Papers | Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 |
| 11 | Tender opened on (Date & time) | 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) |
| 12 | Nature of Purchase | Construction of Hangar (Site-II) |
| 13 | Extension in due date (if any) | No |
| 14 | Number of Tender documents sold | Six (06) (copy attached) |
| 15 | Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents | Yes |
| 16 | Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents | Yes |
| 17 | Which Method of Procurement was used:- | |
| | (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure | Yes |
| | (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure | No |
| | (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure | No |
| | (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure | No |
| 18 | Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) | No |
| 19 | Who is the approving authority | Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) |
| 20 | Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding | Not applicable |
| 21 | Number of Bids received | Six (06) |
| 22 | Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder | yes |
| 23 | Whether integrity pact was signed | yes |

7 mes 29922

✓

4221

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY
AUTHORITY (PPRA)
CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-II

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public
Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids | Six (06) |
| 2 | Name and address of the successful bidder | M/S Pristine Construction Iqbal Building, Shahrake Karakurm Sarai Saleh Tehsil & Disst Haripur |
| 3 | Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i.e 1 st , 2 nd ,3 rd evaluated bid) | Lowest bidder |
| 4 | Need analysis (why the procurement was necessary?) | Due to shortage of Construction work |
| 5 | In case extension was made in response time, what were the reasons (briefly describe) | No |
| 6 | Whether names of the bidders and their prices was read out at the time of opening of bids | Yes |
| 7 | Date of contract signing | 07 Sep 2022 (copy attached) |
| 8 | Contract award price | Rs. 50,579,240/- |
| 9 | Whether copy of evaluation report given to all bidders | Yes |
| 10 | Any complaints received | No |
| 11 | Any Deviation from qualification given in the tender notice/documents | No |
| 12 | Deviation from Qualification Criteria | No |
| 13 | Special conditions, if any | No |

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

PPRA Advertisement No. TS488428E Dated 31-08-2022

Name of Work Provision of Certain Facilities at PAF Base (N)

Amount of Work Rs. 69.464 (Million)

Date of Advertisement in Newspaper 23-08-2022

Engineer Estimate Lump Sum Rs. 31,876,352/- & Provision
Lump Sump Rs. 2,764,712/-

No of Bidders 06 (Six)

Comparative Statement of Tender

| Ser | Name of Tenderer | Cost Quoted by the Contractor |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. | M/S Chahat Construction Co of Rawalpindi | Rs. 52,173,058/- |
| 2. | M/S Chaudhary & Sons of Islamabad | Rs. 51,694,912/- |
| 3. | M/S Pristine Construction of Haripur | Rs. 50,579,240/- |
| 4. | M/S ZAB Associates of Jhang | Rs. 51,376,149/- |
| 5. | M/S Chenab Engineering & Builders of Multan | Rs. 52,969,966/- |
| 6. | M/S A&Q Builders of Khanewal | Rs. 52,491,821/- |

Name of Lowest Bidder: M/S Pristine Construction of Haripur

Percentage : 50% Above (without Sch 'B') on MES SOR 2021

Result: Contract is being awarded to lowest Bidder i.e **M/S Pristine Const of Haripur**

Justification: Work is being awarded to lowest bidder who has already been prequalified.