



**PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY  
AUTHORITY(PPRA)**

**CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I**

**To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of  
Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More**

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	PAKISTAN PETROLEUM LIMITED		
2)	FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER	Other		
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT	Maintenance & Support services of Aspen HYSYS Software 56 Tokens for 05 years		
4)	TENDER NUMBER	NA		
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Maintenance & Support Services was required for Aspen HYSYS Software 56 Tokens for a period of 05 years		
6)	TENDER VALUE	NA		
7)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	N/A		
8)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD	23-Nov-23		
9)	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO
10)	ADVERTISEMENT :			
	i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies) (If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number)	YES		NO
		NA		
	ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	YES		NO
		NA		
11)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	Technical: 14.01.2019 at 1530hrs Commercial: 06.02.2019 at 1530hrs		
12)	NATURE OF PURCHASE	LOCAL		INT. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13)	EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (If any)	YES		NO
		N/A		

**PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY  
AUTHORITY(PPRA)**

**CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-II**

**To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of  
Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More**

1)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	None								
2)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s Aspen Technilogy Inc Aspen Technology, Inc. 20 Crosby Drive Bedford, MA 01730, Bedford, Massachusetts								
3)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)	NA								
4)	NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary)	PPL has acquired HYSYS software in 1996 to cater the requirement of Process Engineering department. Its maintenance is required each year, therefore the case was processed for five years to get better rates from vendor								
5)	IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (Briefly describe)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">NO</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">NA</td> </tr> </table>	YES		NO		NA			
YES		NO								
NA										
6)	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">NO</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">NA</td> </tr> </table>	YES		NO		NA			
YES		NO								
NA										
7)	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement)	4200051577 Dated 22 March 2019								
8)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	USD 510,052/-								
9)	WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">NO</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">NA</td> </tr> </table>	YES		NO		NA			
YES		NO								
NA										
10)	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">NO</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">✓</td> </tr> </table>	YES		NO	✓				
YES		NO	✓							
11)	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">NO</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">✓</td> </tr> </table>	YES		NO	✓				
YES		NO	✓							
12)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give details)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">NO</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">✓</td> </tr> </table>	YES		NO	✓				
YES		NO	✓							
13)	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">YES</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">NO</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">✓</td> </tr> </table>	YES		NO	✓				
YES		NO	✓							

Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer

14) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD  
(Attach list of Buyers)

One (01)  
(1) M/s. Aspen Technilogy Inc.

15) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES		NO	
N/A			

16) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES		NO	
N/A			

17) WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)

Direct bid was invited from OEM of Aspen Hysys Software i.e. M/s Aspen Technilogy Inc

- WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY

PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

18) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

YES	✓	NO	

19) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED

One (01)  
(1) M/s. Aspen Technilogy Inc.

20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?

YES		NO	
NA			

21) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--