



PAKISTAN WATER & POWER DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Telephone: (042) 99202717
Fax: (042) 99202722
Email: gmhydroplanning@gmail.com
directordesignhp@gmail.com

General Manager (Hydro) Planning
WAPDA, Sunny View Estate,
Kashmir Road, Lahore

No. GM(H)P/D(D)/CRPC/43/2021/4578-79 Date 23-09-2021

Director General,
Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
1st Floor FBC Building near
State Bank of Pakistan,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **CHASHMA RIGHT BANK CANAL (LIFT-CUM-GRAVITY) PROJECT
UPLOADING OF CONTRACT AWARD OF VALUE ABOVE RUPEES
FIFTY MILLION (50 MILLION) ON PPRA'S WEBSITE**

ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONTRACT AWARD (PPRA RULE-47)

In accordance with PPRA Rule-47, kindly find attached the duly filled Contract Award Proforma I & II along with requisite documents pertaining to the procurement of Consultancy Services for Review of Feasibility Study, Updation of Detailed Engineering Design, Preparation of Bidding Documents & PC-I for Chashma Right Bank Canal (Lift cum Gravity) Project for uploading on PPRA website, please.

DA/As above

(Nazakat Hussain)
Chief Engineer -I (Hydro) Planning

Copy to:

APS to General Manager (Hydro) Planning WAPDA, Sunny View Estate, Lahore.

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA – I

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

- NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT: **WAPDA**
- FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT: **Federal Govt.**
- TITLE OF CONTRACT: **Review of Feasibility Study, Updation of Detailed Engineering Design, Bidding Documents and PC-I of Chashma Right Bank Canal (Lift-Cum-Gravity) Project**
- TENDER NUMBER: **PRD(L)/WAPDA/215(2020-21)**
- BREIF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT:
 - Review of Feasibility Study
 - Detailed Engineering Design
 - Bidding Documents
 - PC-I Proforma
- TENDER VALUE: **PKR 148,416,454/- (PKR. One Hundred Forty Eight Million Four Hundred Sixteen Thousand Four Hundred Fifty Four only) including taxes**
- ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: **PKR 249,142,050/- (PKR. Two Hundred Forty Nine Million One Hundred Forty Two Thousand & Fifty only)**
- ESTIMATED COMPLETION TIME: **09 Months**
- WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
NO
- ADVERTISEMENT: -
 - i. PPRA Website:
(Federal Agencies) **YES**
(TS435046E)
 - ii. News Papers: **YES**
 - a. Daily "The News" dated November 01, 2020
 - b. Daily "Nawa-e-Waqt" dated November 01, 2020

- TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): December 24, 2020 at 11:00 am
(Technical Proposal opening)
- TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): March 01, 2021 at 11:30 am
(Financial Proposal opening)
- NATURE OF PURCHASE: **National**
- EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (If Any): **Yes (17.12.2020 to 24.12.2020)**
- NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: **Nine (09)**
(List of buyers is attached as F/A)
- WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS. **Yes (attached as F/B)**
- WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS. **Yes (attached as F/B)**
- WHICH OF THE PROCUREMENT METHOD WAS USED:-
a) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY: **WAPDA AUTHORITY**
- WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING. **Not Applicable**
- NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: **Nine (09)**
- WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER: **Yes**
- WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED: **Yes**

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA – II

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

- NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS: **Nine (09)**
- NAME AND ADDRESS OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER:
 - Name: JV of M/s National Engineering Services Pakistan - NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd (Lead Firm) & M/s Associated Consulting Engineers - ACE (Pvt.) Ltd (JV partner), M/s SILT (JV partner) & M/s ECPAK (JV partner).
 - Address: **NESPAK House, 1-C, Block N, Model Town Extension, Lahore**
- RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT: **1st**
- NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?):

The procurement of the Consultants was essential to carryout Review of Feasibility Study, Updation of Detailed Engineering Design, Preparation of Bidding Documents and PC-I of Chashma Right Bank Canal (Lift cum Gravity) Project
- IN CASE OF EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (Briefly Describe): -

The study implementation duration was cut short from 12 to 09 months as per Steering Committee meeting held on 14.12.2020, under the Chairmanship of Minister for Defence. Accordingly, extension in bid submission was granted from 17.12.2020 to 24.12.2020.
- WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS: **Yes**
- DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING: **June 28, 2021**
(Copy of Agreement attached as F/C)
- CONTRACT AWARD PRICE: **PKR 148,416,454/-** (PKR. One Hundred Forty Eight Million Four Hundred Sixteen Thousand Four Hundred Fifty Four only) including taxes.

- WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS: **YES**
Evaluation Report was uploaded on PPRA website on the Proforma defined under Rule 35 of PPRA Regulations. **(F/D)**
- ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED: **No**
- ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER DOCUMENTS: **No**
- DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA: **No**
- SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (Give Brief Description): **No**

Chashma Right Bank Canal (Lift cum Gravity) Project

Sr. No.	Firms/Joint Ventures
1.	M/s China Water Resources Beifang investigation Design Research (Co.) /BIDR (Lead Firm) In JV with: i. M/s Mirza Associates Engineering Services (Pvt.) Limited, ii. M/s ILF Consulting Engineers
2.	M/s National Engineering Services Pakistan (NESPAK) (Pvt.) Ltd. (Lead Firm) In JV with: i. M/s Associated Consulting Engineers – ACE Limited, ii. M/s SILT Consultants (P) Ltd., iii. M/s Euroconsult Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. - ECPAK
3.	M/s BARQAAB Consulting Services (Pvt.) Limited (Lead Firm) In JV with: i. M/s Al-Kasib Group of Engineering Services, ii. M/s BAK Consulting Engineers, iii. M/s Electra Consultants, iv. M/s DOLSAR Engineering Inc. Co. (Turkey)
4.	M/s National Development Consultants (NDC) Pvt. Ltd. (Lead Firm) In JV with: i. M/s EASE PAK Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd., ii. M/s Techno Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd., iii. M/s Rehman Habib Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., In Association with i. M/s Kasib Associates, Islamabad, ii. M/s Techno Legal Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., Lahore iii. M/s Multiconsult, UK
5.	M/s SU PEK Engineering and Consultancy (Lead Firm) In JV with: i. M/s Optimal Proje Yonetimi ve inseat San. Tic. Ltd. Sti., ii. M/s LEVANT Partnership
6.	M/s MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd – MMP (Lead Firm) In JV with: i. M/s Pakistan Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd – PES, ii. M/s Changjang Survey Planning Design and Research Co. Ltd – CSPDR, with Sub Consultants, i. M/s Professional Engineering Consultants Services (Pvt.) Limited-PECS, ii. M/s Asian Advisory Services (Pvt.) Limited- AAS
7.	M/s FIDA HUSSAIN CHAUDHARY – FHC Consulting Engineers (Lead Firm) In JV with: i. M/s Suis Prohe Muhendislik Musavirlik Ltd. STI
8.	M/s G3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (Lead Firm) In JV with: i. M/s Umar Munshi Associates, ii. M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.
9.	M/s Yellow River Engineering Consulting Co., Ltd. (Single Entity)

ITC Clause Reference																			
21.1 (for FTP)	<p>Criteria, sub-criteria, and point system for the evaluation of the Full Technical Proposals:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Points</p> <p>(i) Specific experience of the Consultant (as a firm) relevant to the Assignment: [15]</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Feasibility Study and Detailed Engineering Design of Canals / Drains & related Projects as following:</p> <table style="margin-left: 80px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-right: 20px;">a) Feasibility Study</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b) Detailed Engineering Design</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10</td> </tr> </table> <p>Specific / similar projects handled in the last 20 years.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 80px; border-collapse: collapse; width: 50%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;">No. of Project(s)</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">%age Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">50</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">70</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">3 or more</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(ii) Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference (TORs): [20]</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-right: 20px;">a) Technical approach and methodology</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b) Work Plan</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c) Organization and staffing</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>{Notes to Consultant: the Client will assess whether the proposed methodology is clear, responds to the TORs, work plan is realistic and implementable; overall team composition is balanced and has an appropriate skills mix; and the work plan has right input of Experts}</i></p>	a) Feasibility Study	5	b) Detailed Engineering Design	10	No. of Project(s)	%age Marks	1	50	2	70	3 or more	100	a) Technical approach and methodology	10	b) Work Plan	5	c) Organization and staffing	5
a) Feasibility Study	5																		
b) Detailed Engineering Design	10																		
No. of Project(s)	%age Marks																		
1	50																		
2	70																		
3 or more	100																		
a) Technical approach and methodology	10																		
b) Work Plan	5																		
c) Organization and staffing	5																		

ITC Clause Reference																																																										
	<p>(iii) Key Experts' qualifications and competence for the Assignment: <i>{Notes to Consultant: each position number corresponds to the same for the Key Experts in Form TECH-6 to be prepared by the Consultant}</i></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr. #</th> <th>Key Experts</th> <th>Weightage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Project Manager / Team Leader</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Expert (Geotechnical) (Foreign)</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Expert (Mechanical) (Foreign)</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Expert (Electrical) (Foreign)</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Expert (Hydraulic Design)</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Expert (Structure Design)</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>Expert (Irrigation Design)</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td>Expert (Environment)</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td>Expert (Resettlement)</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">Total Points:</td> <td>65</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: right;">Total points for criterion (iii): [65]</p> <p><i>Required qualification and experience of Key Experts are provided in Term of Reference (TOR).</i></p> <p>The number of points to be assigned to each of the above positions shall be determined considering the following three sub-criteria and relevant percentage weights:</p> <table> <tbody> <tr> <td>1) General qualifications (Masters and PhD):</td> <td style="text-align: right;">[20%]</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Basic requirements of education:</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><i>15%</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Higher than required education:</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><i>5%</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2) Adequacy for the Assignment (General Experience, Experience in sector / similar assignments / position):</td> <td style="text-align: right;">[75%]</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Overall General experience:</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><i>15%</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Specific experience:</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><i>40%</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Specific experience of working in specific position:</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><i>20%</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3) Status with the firm:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">[05%]</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Full points for permanent otherwise NO points.</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><i>5%</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total weight:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">[100%]</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total points for the three criteria:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Sr. #	Key Experts	Weightage	1	Project Manager / Team Leader	10	2	Expert (Geotechnical) (Foreign)	8	3	Expert (Mechanical) (Foreign)	8	4	Expert (Electrical) (Foreign)	7	5	Expert (Hydraulic Design)	7	6	Expert (Structure Design)	7	7	Expert (Irrigation Design)	6	8	Expert (Environment)	6	9	Expert (Resettlement)	6		Total Points:	65	1) General qualifications (Masters and PhD):	[20%]	<i>Basic requirements of education:</i>	<i>15%</i>	<i>Higher than required education:</i>	<i>5%</i>	2) Adequacy for the Assignment (General Experience, Experience in sector / similar assignments / position):	[75%]	<i>Overall General experience:</i>	<i>15%</i>	<i>Specific experience:</i>	<i>40%</i>	<i>Specific experience of working in specific position:</i>	<i>20%</i>	3) Status with the firm:	[05%]	<i>Full points for permanent otherwise NO points.</i>	<i>5%</i>	Total weight:	[100%]	Total points for the three criteria:	100
Sr. #	Key Experts	Weightage																																																								
1	Project Manager / Team Leader	10																																																								
2	Expert (Geotechnical) (Foreign)	8																																																								
3	Expert (Mechanical) (Foreign)	8																																																								
4	Expert (Electrical) (Foreign)	7																																																								
5	Expert (Hydraulic Design)	7																																																								
6	Expert (Structure Design)	7																																																								
7	Expert (Irrigation Design)	6																																																								
8	Expert (Environment)	6																																																								
9	Expert (Resettlement)	6																																																								
	Total Points:	65																																																								
1) General qualifications (Masters and PhD):	[20%]																																																									
<i>Basic requirements of education:</i>	<i>15%</i>																																																									
<i>Higher than required education:</i>	<i>5%</i>																																																									
2) Adequacy for the Assignment (General Experience, Experience in sector / similar assignments / position):	[75%]																																																									
<i>Overall General experience:</i>	<i>15%</i>																																																									
<i>Specific experience:</i>	<i>40%</i>																																																									
<i>Specific experience of working in specific position:</i>	<i>20%</i>																																																									
3) Status with the firm:	[05%]																																																									
<i>Full points for permanent otherwise NO points.</i>	<i>5%</i>																																																									
Total weight:	[100%]																																																									
Total points for the three criteria:	100																																																									

**PAKISTAN
WATER AND POWER DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY**



CONTRACT FOR ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY SERVICES

FOR

**REVIEW OF FEASIBILITY STUDY, UPDATION OF
DETAILED ENGINEERING DESIGN, PREPARATION OF
BIDDING DOCUMENTS & PC-I**

OF

**CHASHMA RIGHT BANK CANAL
(LIFT-CUM-GRAVITY) PROJECT**

between

**WATER AND POWER DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
(WAPDA)**

and

A Joint Venture of:



M/s National Engineering Services (Pakistan) (Pvt.) Limited
(NESPAK) - Pakistan (**Lead Firm**)



M/s Associated Consulting Engineers – ACE Limited, 1-C/2,
M.M. Alam Road, Gulberg-111, Lahore, Pakistan (**JV Partner**)

M/S SILT Consultants (P) Limited, Ratopul, Goushala,
Kathmandu, Nepal (**JV Partner**)



M/s Euro Consult Pakistan (Pvt.) Limited, 130-F, Johar Town,
Lahore, Pakistan (**JV Partner**)

June 2021

Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink.

I. FORM OF CONTRACT
TIME BASED

This CONTRACT (hereinafter called the "Contract") is made on the ^{28th} day of June of 2021, between, on the one hand, Pakistan Water and Power Development Authority (WAPDA) (hereinafter called the "Client" which expression shall include the successors, legal representatives and permitted assigns) and, on the other hand, a **Joint Venture** consisting of the following entities, each member of which will be jointly and severally liable to the Client for all the Consultant's obligations under this Contract, namely, M/s National Engineering Services of Pakistan (Pvt) Ltd (NESPAK) (Lead Firm); M/s Associated Consulting Engineers – ACE Limited (JV Partner); M/s SILT Consultants (P) Limited (JV Partner); M/s Euro Consult Pakistan (Pvt.) Limited (JV Partner); (hereinafter collectively called the "Consultants" which expression shall include the successors, legal representatives and permitted assigns).

WHERE AS

- (a) the Client has requested the Consultants to provide certain consulting services as defined in the General Conditions of Contract attached to this Contract (hereinafter called the "Services"); and
- (b) the Consultants, having represented to the Client that they have the required professional skills, and personnel and technical resources, have agreed to provide the Services on the terms and conditions set forth in this Contract;

NOW THEREFORE the Parties hereby agree as follows:

1.1 The following documents attached hereto shall be deemed to form an integral part of this Contract:

- (a) the General Conditions of Contract;
- (b) the Special Conditions of Contract;
- (c) the following Appendices:

Appendix A: Description of Services

Appendix B: Reporting Requirements

Appendix C: Key Personnel

Appendix D: Breakdown of Contract Price in Foreign Currency

Appendix E: Breakdown of Contract Price in Local Currency

Appendix F: Services & Facilities to be Provided by the Client

Appendix G: Medical Certificate (Not Applicable)

Appendix H: Hours of work for Key Personnel.

Appendix I: Form of Bank Guarantee for Advance Payment.

Appendix J: Integrity Pact

U3 2x [Signature] [Signature]

- 1.2 The mutual rights and obligations of the Client and the Consultants shall be as set forth in the Contract, in particular:
- (a) the Consultants shall carry out the Services in accordance with the provisions of the Contract; and
 - (b) the Client shall make payments to the Consultants in accordance with the provisions of the Contract.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Contract to be signed in their respective names in two identical counterparts, each of which shall be deemed as the original, as of the day, month and year first above written.

For and on behalf of

**Pakistan Water and Power
Development Authority (WAPDA)**

Witness - WAPDA

Signatures Muhammad Abid
Name MUHAMMAD ABID SN.
Title: G.M. HYDRO PLANNING
(Seal)

Signatures Nazakat Hussain
Name Nazakat Hussain
Title: Chief Engineer Hydro planning

For and on behalf of
JV of the Consultants

**1. National Engineering Services
of Pakistan (Pvt) Ltd (NESPAK)**

Witness - NESPAK

Signatures Mansoor Ali
Name MANSOOR ALI
Title: GM/Head of Hydro Planning
(Seal)

Signatures Syed Kashif Rabb
Name Syed Kashif Rabb
Title: Pr. Engineer

**2. Associated Consulting Engineers
ACE Limited**

Witness - ACE

Signatures Munawar Ali
Name MUNAWAR ALI
Regional Head (North)
Associated Consulting Engineers
ACE Limited, Lahore.
(Seal)

Signatures Ghulam Aarif Khan
Name Ghulam Aarif Khan
Title: Deputy Regional Head
ACE(N)

27

ON Behalf of

3. SILT Consultants (P) Limited, Nepal

Witness - SILT

Signatures *Manoor Ali*

Signatures *Syed Kashif Rabb*

Name MANOOR ALI

Name Syed Kashif Rabb

Title: GM/Head of Office

Title: Pr. Engineer

(Seal)



4. Euro Consult Pakistan (Pvt.) Limited

Witness - Euro Consult

Signatures *Rameez Farooq*

Signatures *Tariq Shahzad*

Name Rameez Farooq

Name Tariq Shahzad

Title: COO

Title: Manager (BD)

(Seal)



Rt *Far* *Sh*

Annexure-I

Evaluation Report
(As Per PPRA Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency	Pakistan Water and Power Development Authority - (WAPDA)
2	Method of Procurement	International Competitive Bidding (Single Stage- Two Envelope Procedure)
3	Title of Procurement	Consultancy Services for Review of Feasibility Study, Updation of Detailed Engineering Design, Bidding Documents and PC-I of Chashma Right Bank Canal (Lift-Cum-Gravity) Project
4	Tender Inquiry No.	PRD(L)/WAPDA/215(2020-21)
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)	-
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	1100 Hours on 24.12.2020
7	No. of Bids Received	09
8	Date & Time of Financial Bid Opening	1100 Hours on 01.03.2021
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Criteria for Bid Evaluation is attached as Annexure-II .
10	Details for Bid(s) Evaluation	As mentioned below.

Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost (EC)*	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/Basic for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)		
M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd.	83.800	100	PKR. 131,753,219	Highest/Top Ranked Proposal (PP Rule 3B (ii) (c-iii) & 9(4) of 2010)
M/s BARQAAB (Pvt.) Ltd.	83.380	90.36	PKR. 145,806,821	2 nd Ranked
M/s BIDR	Non-Responsive	Unopened	-	PP Rule 9(3) of 2010 & PP Rule 36 (b) (v) of 2004
M/s NDC (Pvt.) Ltd.	70.31	Unopened	-	
M/s SU PEK Engineering and Consultancy	Proposal Rejected	Unopened	-	
M/s MM Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd.	Proposal Rejected	Unopened	-	
M/s FHC Consulting Engineers	56.06	Unopened	-	
M/s G3 Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	69.89	Unopened	-	
M/s Yellow River Engineering Consulting Co., Ltd.	Proposal Rejected	Unopened	-	


*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e., Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum and Indirect Taxes cost.

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD)

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s NESPAK (Lead Firm) with M/s ACE, M/s SILT and M/s ECPAK in JV

1. **Any other additional/ supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with Public Procurement Rules and Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposal Ratio. The Contract is being awarded to Top Ranked JV.

Signature:



Official Stamp:

General Manager
Hydro Planning
Wapda Sunny View, Lahore.