ATTACHEMENT-I (See Regulations 2)

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA) CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

1 Name of dialogitzation deput Federal 2 Federal Construction work 4 Tender Number TS488428E dt 31-08-2022 5 Brief Description of Contract Construction Work 6 Tender Value Rs. 50.579 Million 7 Engineer's Estimate Rs. 31.876 Million 8 Estimated Completion Period Twelve (12) Months 9 Whether the procurement was included in Annual procurement plan Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used. <th>1</th> <th>Name of the organization/Deptt</th> <th>HQ DW & CE (PAF) Chaklala</th>	1	Name of the organization/Deptt	HQ DW & CE (PAF) Chaklala		
3 Title of contracts Construction work 4 Tender Number TS488428E dt 31-08-2022 5 Brief Description of Contract Construction Work 6 Tender Value Rs. 50.579 Million 7 Engineer's Estimate Rs. 31.876 Million 8 Estimated Completion Period Twelve (12) Months 9 Whether the procurement plan Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (TC 488428E dt 31-08-22) 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) O7 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used:- Yes (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure No (b) Single stage - Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (b) Two stage- Two Envelope Procedure		Name of the organization/Deptt			
4 Tender Number TS488428E dt 31-08-2022 5 Brief Description of Contract Construction Work 6 Tender Value Rs. 50.579 Million 7 Engineer's Estimate Rs. 31.876 Million 8 Estimated Completion Period Twelve (12) Months 9 Whether the procurement plan Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (TS 488428E dt 31-08-22) (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 22-08-2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (fany) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used:- Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted wit					
5 Brief Description of Contract Construction Work 6 Tender Value Rs. 50.579 Million 7 Engineer's Estimate Rs. 31.876 Million 8 Estimated Completion Period Twelve (12) Months 9 Whether the procurement was included in Annual procurement plan Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 (1200 Hrs) 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether gualification criteria was included in bidding/render documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used: Yes (a) Single stage - one Envelope procedure No (b) Single stage - Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18					
6 Tender Value Rs. 50.579 Million 7 Engineer's Estimate Rs. 31.876 Million 8 Estimated Completion Period Twelve (12) Months 9 Whether the procurement was included in Annual procurement plan Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether gualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used:- (a) Single stage - one Envelope Yes (b) Single stage - Two Envelope No Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No No (d) Two stage - Two envelope Bidding procedure No No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emerge					
7 Engineer's Estimate Rs. 31.876 Million 8 Estimated Completion Period Twelve (12) Months 9 Whether the procurement was included in Annual procurement plan Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (TS 488428E dt 31-08-22) (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes (a) Single stage - one Envelope procedure No (b) Single stage - Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procuremen					
8 Estimated Completion Period Twelve (12) Months 9 Whether the procurement was included in Annual procurement plan Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) O7 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used:- Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes 18 Single stage - one Envelope procedure Yes 19 Who stage Bidding Procedure No 10 Two stage Bidding Procedure No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 10 Who is the approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not app					
9 Whether the procurement plan Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes 10 Advertisement: Yes 11 (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) OT Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether gualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used Yes 18 Single stage - one Envelope procedure Yes 19 Which Method of Procurement was used No 10 (a) Single stage - Two Envelope procedure No 19 Vince stage Bidding Procedure No 10 Two stage Bidding Procedure No 110 Two stage specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF)					
in Annual procurement plan 10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (TS 488428E dt 31-08-22) (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether gualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used:- Yes (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage - Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)					
10 Advertisement: Yes (a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (TS 488428E dt 31-08-22) (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) O7 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether gualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes (a) Single stage - ne Envelope Yes procedure No No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22	9		Yes		
(a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies) PPRA was informed vide letter dt 22-08-2022 (b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes (a) Single stage - one Envelope procedure No (b) Single stage - Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)	10		Yes		
(b) News Papers Daily ASAS (Urdu) and The Express, Islamabad dt 23-08-2022 11 Tender opened on (Date & time) 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used:- Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes (a) Single stage - one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage - Two Envelope No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approval of competitive bidding Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competitive bidding Six (06) 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowes		(a) PPRA website (Federal Agencies)	22-08-2022		
Islamabad dt 23-08-202211Tender opened on (Date & time)07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs)12Nature of PurchaseConstruction of Hangar (Site-III)13Extension in due date (if any)No14Number of Tender documents soldSix (06) (copy attached)15Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documentsYes16Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documentsYes17Which Method of Procurement was used:-Yes(a)Single stage- one Envelope procedureYes(b)Single stage - Two Envelope procedureNo(c)Two stage Bidding ProcedureNo(d)Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedureNo18Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc)No19Who is the approving authorityDirector of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF)20Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive biddingSix (06)21Number of Bids receivedSix (06)22Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest BidderSix (96)					
11 Tender opened on (Date & time) 07 Sep 2022 (1200 Hrs) 12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage - Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)		(b) News Papers			
12 Nature of Purchase Construction of Hangar (Site-III) 13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was used:- Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage - Two Envelope No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 19 Who is the approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)					
13 Extension in due date (if any) No 14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes (a) Single stage - one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage - Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage-Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 19 Who is the approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding No tapplicable 20 Whether approval of competent bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)					
14 Number of Tender documents sold Six (06) (copy attached) 15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- Yes (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)					
15 Whether qualification criteria was included in Bidding/Tender documents Yes 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure (e) emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Yes	-				
included in Bidding/Tender documents 16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)					
16 Whether Bid evaluation Criteria was included in bidding/tender documents Yes 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)	15		Yes		
included in bidding/tender documents 17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- (a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Six (06) 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)					
17 Which Method of Procurement was used:- (a) Single stage - one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes	16		Yes		
used:- (a) Single stage - one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage - Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)					
(a) Single stage- one Envelope procedure Yes (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)	17				
(a) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure No (b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage-Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)			N		
(b) Single stage – Two Envelope Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage-Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes			Yes		
Procedure No (c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage-Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes					
(c) Two stage Bidding Procedure No (d) Two stage-Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder Six (06)			Νο		
(d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding procedure No 18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes		(c) Two stage Bidding Procedure	No		
18 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) No 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes		(d) Two stage- Two envelope Bidding	No		
procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) 19 Who is the approving authority 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding 21 Number of Bids received 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder	18		No		
reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc) 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes					
contracting, negotiated tendering etc) 19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes		 Managements and a strategy of the second s second second se			
19 Who is the approving authority Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF) 20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes		(
20 Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding Not applicable 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes	19		Director of Works & Chief Engineer (PAF)		
authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding 21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes					
21 Number of Bids received Six (06) 22 Whether the successful Bidder was Lowest Bidder yes	20	authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive			
22 Whether the successful Bidder was yes Lowest Bidder			0: (00)		
Lowest Bidder					
	22		yes		
	23		yes		

11 mes 2992. [41]7

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA) CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-II

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

1	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	Six (06)
2	Name and address of the successful bidder	M/S Pristine Construction Iqbal Building, Shahrahe Karakurm Sarai Saleh Tehsil & Disst Haripur
3	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i.e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluated bid)	Lowest bidder
4	Need analysis (why the procurement was necessary?)	Due to shortage of Construction work
5	In case extension was made in response time, what were the reasons (briefly describe)	No
6	Whether names of the bidders and their prices was read out at the time of opening of bids	Yes
7	Date of contract signing	07 Sep 2022 (copy attached)
8	Contract award price	Rs. 50,579,240/-
9	Whether copy of evaluation report given to all bidders	Yes
10	Any complaints received	No
11	Any Deviation from qualification given in the tender notice/documents	Νο
12	Deviation from Qualification Criteria	No
13	Special conditions, if any	No

EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

PPRA Advertisement No. TS488428E	Dated	31-08-2022	
Name of Work	Provision of Certain Facilities at PAF Base (N)		
Amount of Work	Rs. 69.464 (Million)		
Date of Advertisement in Newspaper	23-08-2022		
Engineer Estimate	Lump SumRs. 31,876,352/- & Provision Lump Sump Rs. 2,764,712/-		
No of Bidders	06 (Six)		

Comparative Statement of Tender

Ser	Name of Tenderer	Cost Quoted by the Contractor
1.	M/S Chahat Construction Co of Rawalpindi	Rs. 51,216,767/-
2.	M/S Tashfeen Abbasi & Brothers of Rawalpindi	Rs. 51,535,531/-
3.	M/S Pristine Construction of Haripur	Rs. 50,579,240/-
4.	M/S Tahir Hussain & Co of Islamabad	Rs. 52,013,676/-
5.	M/S Chenab Engineering & Builders of Multan	Rs. 52,322,439/-
6.	M/S Resilient Const (Pvt) Ltd of Islamabad	Rs. 52,810,585/-

Name of Lowest Bidder: M/S Pristine Construction of Haripur

Percentage : 50% Above (without Sch 'B') on MES SOR 2021

- Result: Contract is being awarded to lowest Bidder i.e M/S Pristine Const of Haripur
- Justification: Work is being awarded to lowest bidder who has already been prequalified.