ATTACHMENT – I

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all public Contracts of works, Services & Goods worth Rs. 50 Million or more.

Name of the Organization / Deptt.

WAPDA Mangla Hydel Power Station.

Federal / Provincial Govt.

Federal (Semi Govt.)

> Title of Contract

Supply, Installation, Testing & Commissioning of equipment for modernization / refurbishment of existing Excitation System of Unit No. 9 & 10 of Mangla Power Station.

Work Order Number

733 / RE / MPS / MR-1368(A) / 820 – 31 dated 15–05– 2013

Brief description of Work Order

Supply, Installation, Testing & Commissioning of equipment for modernization / refurbishment of existing Excitation System of Unit No. 9 & 10 of Mangla Power Station.

Work Order value

EURO 4,43,800/-

Engineer's Estimate (for civil works only). N.A.

Estimated completion period

PART-A

Supply of foreign material within 15-weeks (105-days) from the date of opening of Letter of Credit (upto the date of shipment).

PART-B

- After receipt of material at Warehouse Power Station Mangla, shutdown of Units (one by one), will be arranged by WAPDA in coordination with the contractor while keeping in view the power system requirements.
- ii) Installation, Testing & Commissioning of equipment + training of WAPDA staff at site within 3-weeks (21-days) from the date of shutdown of Units (one by one) as per approved / agreed schedule for execution of work.

>	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes
>	Advertisement:	A second
	(i) PPRA Website: (Federal agencies) (iii) Newspapers (if yes give names of newspapers & dates)	N.A (Direct Contracting with OEM with the approval of competent authority in accordance with PPRA Rule 42 (c))
>	Tender opened on (date & time)	N.A
>	Nature of purchase	International
>	Extension in due date (if any)	N.A
>	Number of Tender documents sold (Attached list of Buyers)	N.A
>	Whether qualification criteria was included in bidding / tender documents (if yes enclose a copy)	N.A
>	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in the bidding / tender documents (if yes enclose a copy)	N.A
A	Which method of procurement was use	ed (tick one)
	 a. Single stage – one envelope pro b. Single stage – two envelope pro c. Two stage bidding procedure d. Two stage – two envelope bidding 	cedure N.A
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e. emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc.) who is the approving authority.	Direct contracting with OEM in accordance with PPRA Rule 42(c), due to non-availability of essential spare parts through ICB. Approving authority is Member(Power) WAPDA
		WAPDA
A	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding.	Yes
A	Number of bids received	N.A
A	Whether the successful bidder was lowest bidder	N.A
>	Whether integrity pact was signed	Yes
		is a second of the second of t

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA) CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-II

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all public Contracts of works,

Services & Goods worth Rs. 50 Million or more.

Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids.

On Proforma Invoice directly from original manufacturer.

Name & address of the successful bidder

M/s. CKD.ELEKTROTECHNIKA a.s. Czech Republic (C/o M/s. Zeta Praha, Czech Republic)

Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report.
 (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd evaluated bid)

N.A.

Need analysis (why the procurement was necessary). Unit No. 9 & 10 of Mangla Power Station were commissioned during 1993-94. With the passage of time, the frequency of faults on existing Excitation system of Power Generating Units 9 & 10 has been increased. Now problems are being experienced due to non-availability of spares resulting outage of Units for longer duration due to problems in repair of the existing electronic cards. Efforts are made to procure these spares through ICB but no bidder quoted the required item. Due to exigency of work, direct contracting with original manufacturer as per direction of worthy Chairman WAPDA was initiated with the approval of worthy Member (Power) WAPDA in accordance with PPRA rule 42(c).

In case extension was made in response time, what were the reasons (briefly describe)

N.A.

- Whether names of the bidders and their prices N.A. were read out at the time of opening of bids.
- Date of Contract signing (Attach copy of Contract)

Work Order issued on 15-05-2013 (Copy attached)

Contract award price EURO 4,43,800/-Whether copy of evaluation report given N.A. to all bidder (Attach copy of bid evaluation report) Any complaints received N.A. (if yes result thereof) Any deviation from specifications given in No the tender notice / documents. (if yes give details) Deviation from qualification criteria No (if yes give details) Special conditions, if any (give brief description) No