PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY(PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

| 1) | | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | PAKISTAN PETROLEUM LIMITED | | | |
|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2) | | FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER | FEDERAL | | | |
| 3) | | TITLE OF CONTRACT | Construction of access road and well location for Adhi-19 (T/K) including maintenance and water supply during drilling period and site restoration after completion of drilling activities. (4200025237) | | | |
| 4) | | TENDER NUMBER | SMMC/SC/221/11 | | | |
| 5) | | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Construction of access road & well location for 1st exploratory well Adhi-19 | | | |
| 6) | | NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?) | Drilling/Exploratory well required as energy crisis in the Country | | | |
| 7) | | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | Rs.57 million | | | |
| 8) | | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) | Rs.57 million | | | |
| 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | Overall Contract period 18 Months | | | |
| 10) | | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | | | | |
| 11) | | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) | twenty two(25) pre-qualification documents issued | | | |
| 12) | | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | Four(4) (Commercial) | | | |
| 13) | | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | Three (3)(Commmercial) | | | |
| 14) | | BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) | YES | | | |
| 15) | | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | M/s. Noor Associates 93-D/4, F.B. Area, Karachi | | | |
| 16) | | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement) | LoA issued on 11 May,2012, Contract under signing | | | |
| 17) | | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | Rs.55,653,415.00 | | | |
| 18) | | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT | M/s. Noor Associates | | | |
| | | (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 19) | | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | | | | |
| 15) | , | | | | | |
| | a) | SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | | | | |
| | b) | SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | | | | |
| | c) | TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | In stage one, prequalification was arranged and stage two financial bids were invited from prequalified contractors. | | | |
| | d) | TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | | | | |

| 20) | APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT | PPL'S WORKS | PPL'S WORKS EVALUATION COMMITTEE | | | | |
|-----|---|-------------|--|--------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 21) | WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 22) | ADVERTISEMENT : | | | | | | |
| i) | i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies) (If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number) | YES | Tender No. SMMC/SC/221/11 on 05 Oct , 2011(TS140187E) | | | | |
| | | NO | | | | | |
| ii | News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) | | Tender No. | SMMC/SC/221/ | 11 on 05 Oct, 2011 | | |
| | | YES | (The Dawn & Daily Jang) | | | | |
| | | INO | | | | | |
| 23) | NATURE OF CONTRACT | LOCAL | ✓ | INT. | | | |
| 24) | WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 25) | WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 26) | WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WA OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? | AS YES | N/A | NO | | | |
| 27) | WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 28) | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 29) | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALI COMPLIANT? | LY | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 20) | WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy) | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| 30) | | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 31) | WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTE PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? | | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 32) | WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |

| 33) | WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF PPRA / OTHER APPLICABLE RULES | YES | | | | |
|-----|--|------|---|----|---|--|
| | (If yes, give reasons) | NO | | ✓ | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 34) | ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof) | YES | | | | |
| | | NO | ✓ | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 35) | ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details) | YES | | | | |
| | | NO | ✓ | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 36) | WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) | YES | ✓ (On request of potential bidders and to maximize vendor base for competitive bidding) | | | |
| | | NO | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 37) | DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give details) | YES | | | | |
| | | NO | ✓ | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 38) | WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 39) | WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS | YES | | NO | ✓ | |
| | TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD: | | • | | | |
| 40) | WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)? | \/50 | | No | | |
| | | YES | | NO | • | |
| | | | NO ADVANCE PAYMENT WAS MADE. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 41) | SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description) | YES | | | | |
| | | NO | | ✓ | | |
| | | | | | | |