## PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY(PPRA)

## CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

1)		NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Pakistan Petroleum Limited					
2)		FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER	Other					
3)	3) TITLE OF CONTRACT		Cased-Hole Wireline Logging Services for Drilling/ Workover and Production Wells on Call-out Basis					
4)	1) TENBERTYONIBER			LTA/PT/12644/2020 (12644) (PPRA's tender aber (TS423280E)				
5)		BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Cased-Hole Wireline Logging Services for Drilling/Workover and Production Wells at all PPL operat-ed Field/ Blocks on Call-out Basis for the period of Two Years further extendable for One Year. (Main) in Cat-2 & 3 & Table wise lowest in O, T, U, V.					
6)		TENDER VALUE	NA					
7)		ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	N/A					
8)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD Three (03) Years			ears				
9)		WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	YES	<b>✓</b>	NO			
10)		ADVERTISEMENT:						
	i)	PPRA Website (Federal Agencies) (If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number)	YES	✓	NO			
			21-May-2020 & PPRA's tender number TS423280E					
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	YES	✓ *	NO	-		
		÷	21-May-2020 (Daily Jang, Dawn)					
11)		TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	08 July, 2020 at 1530 hrs					
12)		NATURE OF PURCHASE	LOCAL	✓	INT.	-		
13)		EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (If any)	YES	-	NO	<b>✓</b>		
14)		NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of Buyers)	Seventeen (17)					
15)		WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)	YES	. 🗸	NO	-		
		7 1-0.		(copy attached)				
16)		WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)	YES	<b>✓</b>	NO	-		
			(copy attached)					

WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED: - (Tick one)  a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE  d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE  - PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)  N/A  WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY  PPL'S Procurement Committee  18) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES / NO -  WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?  (If yes, enclose a copy)	i						
a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE  d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE  - PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)  N/A  WHO IS THE APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY  WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  21) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?	-; 2 :-						
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE  d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE  - PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)  N/A  WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY  PPL'S Procurement Committee  18) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  N/A  19) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES NO -	17)						
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE  d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE  - PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)  N/A  - WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY  WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  N/A  19) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES / NO -		a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE				
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE  - PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)  N/A  - WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY  WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES / NO -		b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	y ke			✓
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)  N/A  - WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY  WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?		c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	×		3	-
N/A  - WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY  WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  N/A  YES  - NO - N/A  19) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES  - NO - N/A  NO -  190 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)		d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		_		-
- WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY  WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  N/A  PPL's Procurement Committee  YES - NO -  N/A  Five (05)  WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?		-					
18) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  19) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES - NO - N/A  Five (05)		N/A					
WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  N/A  19) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES - NO		-	WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY	PPL's Procur	ement Commi	ittee	
WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  N/A  19) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES - NO							
OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  N/A  19) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  21) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?	18)		WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN	YES	-	NO	-
Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  21) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?				N/A✓			
Five (05)  20) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?  21) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?							
EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES  NO  -  21) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?	19)		NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	Five (05)			
EVALUATED BIDDER?  YES  NO  -  21) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?	20)						
VEC   VIC				YES	✓	NO	-
VEC   VIC	213		WHIPTHED INTECDITY DACT WAS SIGNED?				
	21)		Send at the send of the send o	YES	✓	NO	-

## PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY(PPRA)

## **CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-II**

<u>To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of</u>
Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

1)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Five (05)				
·2)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s. Well Logging Energy Technology, Plaza, G-11 Markaz, Office # 6, 2nd floor, Al-Rehman, Islamabad, Pakistan.				
3)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)	Lowest Evaluated Bidder (Main) in Cat-2 & 3 & Table wise lowest in O, T, U, V.				
4)	NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary)	Cased-Hole Wireline Logging Services for Drilling/ Workover and Production Wells for smooth running of our operations.				
5)	IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (Briefly describe)	YES	_	NO	<b>✓</b>	
		N/A				
6)	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	YES	✓	NO	= s	
7)	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement)	Work Contract No. 4600002239 Dated: 30/11/2020				
8)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	TOTAL US\$ 3,000,000.00/-				
9)	WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	YES	✓	NO		
				-		
10)	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	YES	÷	NO	✓	
11)	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)	YES	1-	NO	✓	
12)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give details)	YES	-	NO	✓	
13)	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	YES	-	NO	✓	
	(SET 90/8)					