National Highway Authority

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA - I

► NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods worth Rs 50 million or more

•	FEDERAL/PROVINCIAL GOVT/OTHERS	Federal Govt (Autonomous Body)						
	TITLE OF CONTRACT	PROCUREMENT OF CIVIL WORKS FOR POST FLOOD NATIONAL HIGHWAY REHABILITATION PROJECT (PNHRP)						
•		PACKAGE-6: REHABILITATION OF NATIONAL HIGHWAYS & BRIDGES FAZILPUR - D.I.KHAN (N-55) Lot-2: Taunsa – Ramak (Km 806+000 to 862+000) (3.0 Km incl. 3 New Bridges)						
>	TENDER NUMBER	ADB/NCB/N-55:						
>	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTRACT	Earthwork, Sub Base & Base , Surfacing & Pavement, Bridges & Culverts, Drainage, Erosion and Protection Works, Ancillary Works, General Items.						
>	TENDER VALUE	Rs.407,398,750/-						
•	ENGINEER's ESTIMATE (for civil works only)	Rs.407,398,750/-						
>	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTR	RACT) 12 Months						
•	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN	ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?						
		Yes x No √						
•	ADVERTISEMENT (i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)							
		Yes √ No x						
	(ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and date)	Yes						
>	TENDER OPENED ON (Date and Time)	21st February 2017at 1130 hours						
>	NATURE OF PURCHASE	Procurement of works						
•	EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (if any)	Yes x No √						
•	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD	Five (05) Nos.						
•	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS	Yes √ No x Qualification criteria included in BD document						
•	WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS	Yes √ No x						
•	INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED:- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	(Tick one)						
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE							
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	x						
	d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEE							
	 Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e. Emergency, Direct Contracting, Negotiated Tenering, etc. 							
	- WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY	NHA Executive Board & Concurrence from Asian Development Bank (ADB)						
>	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAT OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING							
•	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	Three (03) Nos.						
>	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER	Yes √ No x						
•	WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED	Yes x No V						
		For this Project ADB's Standard bidding document is being used under which Integrity pact is not included.						
		However, the content is covered under ITB 3.1 and						
		ITB 3.2 of the bidding document and clause GC 15.6						
		and SC 15.6 (copy Attached)						

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA - II

	To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts							
	of Works, Services and Goods worth Rs 50	million or n	nore					
>	No. OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Three (03)						
>	NAME & ADDRESS OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s Nauman Construction Company – IKAN Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd- M/s Highrise (Pvt) Ltd Joint Venture (NCC-IKAN-HRPL JV)						
>	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (I.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATED BID)	Lowest evaluated bidder						
>	NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?)	The road alongwith bridges were damaged due to flood. Rehabilitation of road and bridges were necessary to bring it in to its original conditions and user friendly.						
>	IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE	Nil						
	REASONS (Briefly describe)							
>	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Yes	1	No	X			
•	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement)	30th November, 2017						
•	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs. 342,163,635/-						
•	WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL	Yes	√.	No	х			
•	BIDDERS (Attach a copy of evaluation report)	Uploaded on PPRA & NHA Websites. (Copy attached)						
>	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If Yes, result thereof)	Yes	√	No	X			
	A Grievance was received from 2nd lowest bidder which was thoroughly reviewed by Grievance Redressal Committee and declared that it is not justified.							
•	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/DOCUMENTS (If yes give details)	Yes	x	No	√			
•	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes give details)	Yes	х	No	\ \ \			
•	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (Give Brief Description)	Nil						