

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY
AUTHORITY(PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public
Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty
Million or More

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	PAKISTAN PETROLEUM LIMITED
2)	FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER	FEDERAL
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT	Construction of access road, well and camp area at well Adam-1 workover in Hala Field (4200026768)
4)	TENDER NUMBER	Tender No. SMMC/SC/183/12
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Construction of access road, well and camp area at well Adam-1 workover in Hala Field
6)	NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?)	Essential services are required for drilling operations
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs.30 million
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	Rs.30 million
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	60 days
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	Technical (13 September, 2012) and Financial (22 October, 2012)
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	sixteen(16) pre-qualification documents issued
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	Eight (8)
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Three (3) for both Technical and Financial bid opening
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)	Technical (20 October, 2012) and Financial (14 November, 2012)
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s. Reliance Consultancy and Engineering Works 18, Askari Villas, E-Street, off Khayaban-e-Tauheed, DHA Phase V, Karachi
16)	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement)	Letter of Award (LOA - 24 November, 2012)
17)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs.57,002,489/-
18)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)	1. M/s. Reliance Consultancy and Engineering Works (Pvt.) Ltd 2. M/s. Indus Construction Company 3. M/s. BST Services
19)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)	
a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	In stage one, prequalification was arranged and stage two financial bids were invited from prequalified contractors. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

N/A

20) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

PPL'S WORKS EVALUATION COMMITTEE

21) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

22) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)
(If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number)

YES	Tender No. SMMC/SC/183/12 on 27 June, 2012 (TS159632E)		
NO			

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

YES	Tender No. SMMC/SC/183/12 on 27 June, 2012 (The Dawn & Daily Jang)		
NO			

23) NATURE OF CONTRACT

LOCAL	✓	INT.	
-------	---	------	--

24) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

25) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

26) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

YES	N/A	NO	
-----	-----	----	--

27) WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

29) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

30) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

31) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

32) WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

33) WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF PPRA / OTHER APPLICABLE RULES
(If yes, give reasons)

YES	
NO	✓

34) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

YES	
NO	✓

35) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

YES	
NO	✓

36) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

YES	✓ (On request of potential bidders and to maximize vendor base for competitive bidding)
NO	

37) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

YES		NO	✓

38) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

39) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:

YES		NO	✓
-----	--	----	---

40) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

YES	✓	NO	
MOBILIZATION ADVANCE ISSUED AGAINST SUBMISSION OF ADVANCE PAYMENT GUARANTEE FROM SCHEDULE BANK			

41) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

YES	
NO	✓