PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA - I

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts
of Works, Services and Goods worth Rs 50 million or more

•	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT	National Highway Authority		
•	FEDERAL/PROVINCIAL GOVT/OTHERS	Federal Govt (Autonomous Body)		
•	TITLE OF CONTRACT	PROCUREMENT OF CIVIL WORKS FOR POST FLOOD NATIONAL HIGHWAY REHABILITATION PROJECT (PNHRP) REHABILITATION OF ROAD, N-50 KUCHLAK-ZHOB-		
		D.I.KHAN		
		Package-8: Km 395+353 to Km 426+000 (30.65 Km)		
	TENDER NUMBER BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTRACT	ADB/ICB-N-50 Earthwork, Road Works (Sub Base & Base Courses),		
	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTRACT	Structures (Culverts and Retaining Walls), Structures		
		(Bridges), Drainage and errosion Control Works,		
		Ancillary Works, General Items, Provisional Sums.		
•	TENDER VALUE	Rs. 3,082,676,948/-		
	ENGINEER's ESTIMATE (for civil works only)	Rs. 3,082,676,948/-		
	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTR	<u> </u>		
	·	PLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 2 Years		
•	▶ WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?			
		Yes x No √		
•	ADVERTISEMENT			
	(i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)	Yes √ No x		
	(ii) News Papers	Yes √ No x		
	(If yes, give names of newspapers and date)	Daily News and Daily Express 22-11-2016		
	TENDER OPENED ON (Date and Time)	5th January, 2017 at 1130 hours		
>	NATURE OF PURCHASE	Procurement of works		
•	EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (if any)	Yes x No √		
•	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD	Two (02) Nos.		
>	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS	Yes		
	WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED:-	Yes √ No x (Tick one) X		
	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	X		
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	$\overline{}$		
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	x		
	d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDUREx Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e. Emergency, Direct Contracting, Negotiated Tenering, etc.			
	- WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY	NHA Executive Board & Concurrence from Asian Development Bank (ADB)		
>	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAT OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING			
>	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	Five (05) Nos.		
•	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER	Yes √ No x		
•	WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED .	Yes x No √ For this Project ADB's Standard bidding document is being used under which Integrity pact is not included. However, the content is covered under ITB 3.1 and ITB 3.2 of the bidding document and clause GC 15.6 and PCC 15.6 (copy Attached)		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA - II

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods worth Rs 50 million or more

		Ct (0C) No.
•	No. OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Five (05) Nos.
>	NAME & ADDRESS OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s Sultan Mahmood Co. (SMC), D-134, Ground Floor, Block No.4, K.D.A Scheme No.5, Kahkishan, Karachi.
•	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (I.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATED BID)	Lowest evaluated bidder
•	NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?)	The road alongwith bridges were damaged due to flood. Rehabilitation of road and bridges were necessary to bring it in to its original conditions and user friendly.
•	IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE REASONS (Briefly describe)	Nil
•	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Yes √ No x
>	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement)	29th December, 2017
•	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs. 2,835,544,771/-
•	WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL	Yes x No √
	BIDDERS (Attach a copy of evaluation report)	Uploaded on PPRA & NHA Websites. (Copy attached)
•	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If Yes, result thereof)	Yes √ No x
	A grivence was received from M/s ACEG-ZEPL JV which was throughly revi	iewed by Grivance Redressal Committee
	which concluded that it is without merit and hence dismissed.	
>	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER	Yes x No √
	NOTICE/DOCUMENTS (If yes give details)	
•	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes give details)	Yes x No √
>	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (Give Brief Description)	Nil