

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)**CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA - I**

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts
of Works, Services and Goods worth Rs 50 million or more

▶ NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT	<u>National Highway Authority</u>				
▶ FEDERAL/PROVINCIAL GOVT/OTHERS	<u>Federal Govt (Autonomous Body)</u>				
TITLE OF CONTRACT	PROCUREMENT OF CIVIL WORKS FOR POST FLOOD NATIONAL HIGHWAY REHABILITATION PROJECT (PNHRP)				
▶	Package 5: Rehabilitation of National Highways D.I.Khan-Sarai Gambilla-Daraban (N-55)				
	Lot # 1: D.I. Khan - Sarai Gambilla Km 932+000 to Km 1032+000 (20 Km)				
▶ TENDER NUMBER	<u>ADB/NCB/N-55:</u>				
▶ BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTRACT	<u>Earthwork, Sub Base & Base Courses , Surfacing and Pavement, Culverts, Drainage, Erosion and Protection Works, Ancillary Works and General Items.</u>				
▶ TENDER VALUE	<u>Rs.922,082,737/-</u>				
▶ ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil works only)	<u>Rs.922,082,737/-</u>				
▶ ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	<u>12 Months</u>				
▶ WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ ADVERTISEMENT					
(i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
(ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and date)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <u>Daily News 29-12-2016.</u>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ TENDER OPENED ON (Date and Time)	<u>31st January, 2017 at 1130 hours</u>				
▶ NATURE OF PURCHASE	<u>Procurement of works</u>				
▶ EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (if any)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD	<u>Six (06) Nos.</u>				
▶ WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <u>Qualification criteria included in BD document</u>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>		
▶ WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED:- (Tick one)					
a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
- Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e. Emergency, Direct Contracting, Negotiated Tendering, etc.					
- WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY	<u>NHA Executive Board & Concurrence from Asian Development Bank (ADB)</u>				
▶ WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING	<u>N/A</u>				
▶ NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	<u>Two (02) Nos.</u>				
▶ WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <u>For this Project ADB's Standard bidding document is being used under which Integrity pact is not included. However, the content is covered under ITB 3.1 and ITB 3.2 of the bidding document and clause GC 15.6 and PCC 15.6 (copy Attached)</u>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA - II

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods worth Rs 50 million or more

▶ No. OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Two (02) Nos.				
▶ NAME & ADDRESS OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	<u>M/s Nauman Construction Company – IKAN Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd- M/s Highrise (Pvt) Ltd Joint Venture (NCC-IKAN-HRPL JV).</u>				
▶ RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT <small>(I.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATED BID)</small>	Lowest evaluated bidder				
▶ NEED ANALYSIS <small>(Why the procurement was necessary?)</small>	The road alongwith bridges were damaged due to flood. Rehabilitation of road and bridges were necessary to bring it in to its original conditions and user friendly.				
▶ IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE REASONS <small>(Briefly describe)</small>	Nil				
▶ WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">√</td> <td style="width: 25%;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">x</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	√	No	x
Yes	√	No	x		
▶ DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING <small>(Attach a copy of agreement)</small>	15th February, 2018				
▶ CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs.660,148,626/-				
▶ WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS <small>(Attach a copy of evaluation report)</small>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">x</td> <td style="width: 25%;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">√</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	x	No	√
Yes	x	No	√		
▶ ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED <small>(If Yes, result thereof)</small>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">x</td> <td style="width: 25%;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">√</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	x	No	√
Yes	x	No	√		
▶ ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/DOCUMENTS <small>(If yes give details)</small>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">x</td> <td style="width: 25%;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">√</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	x	No	√
Yes	x	No	√		
▶ DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA <small>(If yes give details)</small>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">x</td> <td style="width: 25%;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">√</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	x	No	√
Yes	x	No	√		
▶ SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY <small>(Give Brief Description)</small>	Nil				

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)**CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA - I**

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts
of Works, Services and Goods worth Rs 50 million or more

▶ NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT	<u>National Highway Authority</u>				
▶ FEDERAL/PROVINCIAL GOVT/OTHERS	<u>Federal Govt (Autonomous Body)</u>				
TITLE OF CONTRACT	PROCUREMENT OF CIVIL WORKS FOR POST FLOOD NATIONAL HIGHWAY REHABILITATION PROJECT (PNHRP) Package 5: Rehabilitation of National Highways D.I.Khan- Sarai Gambilla-Daraban (N-55) Lot-2: Sarai Gambilla – Peshawar Km 1032+000 to 1228+000 (19 Km)				
▶ TENDER NUMBER	<u>ADB/NCB/N-55:</u>				
▶ BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTRACT	<u>Earthwork, Sub Base & Base Courses , Surfacing and Pavement, Culverts, Drainage, Erosion and Protection Works, Ancillary Works and General Items.</u>				
▶ TENDER VALUE	<u>Rs.816,922,820/-</u>				
▶ ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil works only)	<u>Rs.816,922,820/-</u>				
▶ ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	<u>12 Months</u>				
▶ WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ ADVERTISEMENT					
(i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
(ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and date)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <u>Daily News 29-12-2016.</u>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ TENDER OPENED ON (Date and Time)	<u>31st January, 2017 at 1130 hours</u>				
▶ NATURE OF PURCHASE	<u>Procurement of works</u>				
▶ EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (if any)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD	<u>Six (06) Nos.</u>				
▶ WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <u>Qualification criteria included in BD document</u>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED:- (Tick one)					
a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
- Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e. Emergency, Direct Contracting, Negotiated Tendering, etc.					
- WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY	<u>NHA Executive Board & Concurrence from Asian Development Bank (ADB)</u>				
▶ WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING	<u>N/A</u>				
▶ NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	<u>Three (03) Nos.</u>				
▶ WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
▶ WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <u>For this Project ADB's Standard bidding document is being used under which Integrity pact is not included. However, the content is covered under ITB 3.1 and ITB 3.2 of the bidding document and clause GC 15.6 and PCC 15.6 (copy Attached)</u>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA - II

To be filled and uploaded on PPRA Website in respect of all Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods worth Rs 50 million or more

▶ No. OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Three (03) Nos.

▶ NAME & ADDRESS OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER

M/s Nauman Construction Company – IKAN Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd- M/s Highrise (Pvt) Ltd Joint Venture (NCC-IKAN-HRPL JV).

▶ RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(I.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATED BID)

Lowest evaluated bidder

▶ NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?)

The road alongwith bridges were damaged due to flood. Rehabilitation of road and bridges were necessary to bring it in to its original conditions and user friendly.

▶ IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE REASONS (Briefly describe)

Nil

▶ WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

▶ DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement)

15th February, 2018

▶ CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

Rs.583,558,605/-

▶ WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

BIDDERS (Attach a copy of evaluation report)

Uploaded on PPRA & NHA Websites.
(Copy attached)

▶ ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If Yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

▶ ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/DOCUMENTS (If yes give details)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

▶ DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes give details)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

▶ SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (Give Brief Description)

Nil

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	PROCUREMENT OF CIVIL WORKS FOR POST FLOOD NATIONAL HIGHWAY REHABILITATION PROJECT (PNHRP) PACKAGE-5: REHABILITATION OF NATIONAL HIGHWAYS D.I. KHAN – SARAI GAMBILA – DARABAN (N-55) Lot-1: D.I.Khan – Sarai Gambila Km 932+000 to 1032+000 (20 Km) Lot-2: Sarai Gambila – Peshawar Km 1032+000 to 1228+000 (19 Km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	2(481)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/17/
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	-
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	31 st January, 2017 at 1100 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	31 st January, 2017 at 1130 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Lot-1: Two (02) Bids were received Lot-2: Three (03) Bids were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)		Financial (if applicable)		Evaluated Cost Rs)		Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Lot-1	Lot-2	Lot-1	Lot-2	Lot-1	Lot-2	
M/s Hakas (Pvt) Ltd- AKK Construction Company Joint Venture (Hakas-AKK JV)	Dis-qualified	Dis-qualified	-	-	-	-	The bidder failed to meet the requirement of construction experience in key activities and discrepancy in audited accounts for Lot-1 while in Lot-2 the bidder was disqualified because of discrepancy in audited accounts.
M/s Nauman Construction Company – IKAN Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd- M/s Highrise (Pvt) Ltd Joint Venture (NCC-IKAN-HRPL JV)	Qualified	Qualified	Price Bid opened	Price Bid opened	660,148,626	583,558,605	1 st in both Lots
M/s Karcon (Pvt) Ltd- Abdul Hakim Khan & Sons (Pvt) Ltd Joint Venture (Karcon- Abdul Hakim Khan & Sons JV)	-	Dis-qualified	-	Price Bid not opened	-	-	The bidder failed to meet the requirement of construction experience in key activities


Lowest Evaluated Bidder:

M/s Nauman Construction Company – IKAN Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd- Highrise (Pvt) Ltd Joint Venture (NCC-IKAN-HRPL JV) in Lot-1.

M/s Nauman Construction Company – IKAN Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd- Highrise (Pvt) Ltd Joint Venture (NCC-IKAN-HRPL JV)

11. **Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The project is being financed by Asian Development Bank (ADB); therefore the procurement was carried out in line with ADB's procurement guidelines. The bidding was done on Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure.

Signature:

..... 

General Manager (P&CA)
National Freeway Authority
Islamabad

Official Stamp:

***Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

Section 3 - Evaluation and Qualification Criteria - Without Prequalification -

This Section contains all the criteria that the Employer shall use to evaluate bids and qualify Bidders. In accordance with ITB 32 and ITB 38, no other methods, criteria and factors shall be used. The Bidder shall provide all the information requested in the forms included in Section 4 (Bidding Forms).

Table of Criteria

1.	Evaluation.....	3-2
1.1	Adequacy of Technical Proposal.....	3-2
1.2	Completion Time.....	3-2
1.3	Technical Alternatives.....	3-2
1.4	Quantifiable Nonconformities and Omissions.....	3-2
1.6	Domestic Preference.....	3-2
1.6	Multiple Contracts.....	3-3
2.	Qualification.....	3-4
2.1	Eligibility.....	3-4
2.1.1	Nationality.....	3-4
2.1.2	Conflict of Interest.....	3-4
2.1.3	ADB Eligibility.....	3-4
2.1.4	Government-Owned Entity.....	3-4
2.1.5	United Nations Eligibility.....	3-4
2.1.6	Registration with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC).....	3-4
2.2	Pending Litigation and Arbitration.....	3-5
2.2.1	Pending Litigation and Arbitration.....	3-5
2.3	Financial Situation.....	3-6
2.3.1	Historical Financial Performance.....	3-6
2.3.2	Average Annual Construction Turnover.....	3-6
2.3.3	Financial Resources.....	3-7
2.4	Construction Experience.....	3-8
2.4.1	Contracts of Similar Size and Nature.....	3-8
2.4.2	Construction Experience in Key Activities.....	3-9



1. Evaluation

In addition to the criteria listed in ITB 36.2 (a) -- (e), other relevant factors are as follows:

1.1 Adequacy of Technical Proposal

Evaluation of the Bidder's Technical Proposal will include an assessment of the Bidder's technical capacity to mobilize key equipment and personnel for the contract consistent with its proposal regarding work methods, scheduling, and material sourcing in sufficient detail and fully in accordance with the requirements stipulated in Section 6 (Employer's Requirements).

Non-compliance with equipment and personnel requirements described in Section 6 (Employer's Requirements) shall not normally be a ground for bid rejection and such non-compliance will be subject to clarification during bid evaluation and rectification prior to contract award.

1.2 Completion Time

An alternative Completion Time, if permitted under ITB 13.2, will be evaluated as follows:

Not Applicable.

1.3 Technical Alternatives

Technical alternatives, if permitted under ITB 13.4, will be evaluated as follows:

Not Applicable.

1.4 Quantifiable Nonconformities and Omissions

Subject to ITB 14.2 and ITB 36.2, the evaluated cost of quantifiable nonconformities including omissions, is determined as follows:

"Pursuant to ITB 31.3, the cost of all quantifiable nonmaterial nonconformities shall be evaluated, including omissions in Daywork where competitively priced but excluding omission of prices in the Bill of Quantities. The Employer will make its own assessment of the cost of any nonmaterial nonconformities and omissions for the purpose of ensuring fair comparison of Bids."

1.5 Domestic Preference

If a margin of preference is provided for under ITB 35.1, the following procedure shall apply:
Not Applicable.



1.6 Multiple Contracts

Works are grouped in multiple lots and pursuant to ITB 36.4, the Employer shall evaluate and compare Bids on the basis of a lot, or a combination of lots, or as a total of lots in order to arrive at the least-cost combination for the Employer by taking into account discounts offered by Bidders in case of award of multiple lots.

Lot # 1: D.I. Khan – Saral Gambila Km 932+000 to 1032+000 (20 Km)
Lot # 2: Saral Gambila – Peshawar Km 1032+000 to 1228+000 (19 Km)

If a Bidder submits several successful (lowest evaluated substantially responsive) bids, the evaluation will also include an assessment of the Bidder's capacity to meet the following aggregated requirements as presented in the bid:

- Average annual construction turnover,
- Financial resources,
- Equipment to be allocated, and
- Personnel to be fielded.



2. Qualification

It is the legal entity or entities comprising the Bidder, and not the Bidder's parent companies, subsidiaries, or affiliates, that must satisfy the qualification criteria described below.

2.1 Eligibility

Criteria Requirement	Compliance Requirements				Documents Submission Requirements
	Single Entity	Joint Venture			
		All Partners Combined	Each Partner	One Partner	

2.1.1 Nationality

Nationality in accordance with ITB Subclause 4.2.	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	not applicable	Forms ELI - 1; ELI - 2 with attachments
---	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------	---

2.1.2 Conflict of Interest

No conflicts of interest in accordance with ITB Subclause 4.3.	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	not applicable	Letter of Technical Bid
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------	-------------------------

2.1.3 ADB Eligibility

Not having been declared ineligible by ADB, as described in ITB Subclause 4.4.	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	not applicable	Letter of Technical Bid
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------	-------------------------

2.1.4 Government-Owned Entity

Bidder required to meet conditions of ITB Subclause 4.5.	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	not applicable	Forms ELI - 1; ELI - 2 with attachments
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------	---

2.1.5 United Nations Eligibility

Not having been excluded by an act of compliance with a UN Security Council resolution in accordance with ITB Subclause 4.7.	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	not applicable	Letter of Technical Bid
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------	-------------------------

2.1.6 Registration with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC)

National Bidder must be registered with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC) and shall have a valid registration Certificate in following category (or above) with Specialization in CE-01 & CE-02. Lot - 1 Category C-1 & above Lot - 2 Category C-1 & above	must meet requirement	not applicable	must meet requirement as per their working JV share	must meet requirement	Forms ELI - 1; ELI - 2 with attachments
---	-----------------------	----------------	---	-----------------------	---



2.2 Pending Litigation

Pending Litigation criterion shall apply.

2.2.1 Pending Litigation and Arbitration

Criteria Requirement	Compliance Requirements			Documents Submission Requirements	
	Single Entity	Joint Venture			
		All Partners Combined	Each Partner	One Partner	
All pending litigation and arbitration, if any, shall be treated as resolved against the Bidder and so shall in total not represent more than fifty percent (50%) of the Bidder's net worth calculated as the difference between total assets and total liabilities.	must meet requirement by itself or as partner to past or existing Joint Venture	not applicable	must meet requirement by itself or as partner to past or existing Joint Venture	not applicable	Form LIT - 1



2.3 Financial Situation

2.3.1 Historical Financial Performance

Criteria Requirement	Compliance Requirements			Documents Submission Requirements	
	Single Entity	Joint Venture			
		All Partners Combined	Each Partner		One Partner
Submission of audited financial statements or, if not required by the law of the Bidder's country, other financial statements acceptable to the Employer, for the years 2013, 2014, 2015 or latest to demonstrate the current soundness of the Bidder's financial position. As a minimum, the Bidder's net worth for the last year calculated as the difference between total assets and total liabilities should be positive.	must meet requirement	not applicable	must meet requirement	not applicable	Form FIN - 1 with attachments

2.3.2 Average Annual Construction Turnover

Criteria Requirement	Compliance Requirements			Documents Submission Requirements	
	Single Entity	Joint Venture			
		All Partners Combined	Each Partner		One Partner
Minimum average annual construction turnover are calculated as total certified payments received for contracts in progress or completed, within the last three (03) years. for Lot - 1 Rs. 1,800 Million for Lot - 2 Rs. 1,800 Million	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	must meet 25% of the requirement	must meet 40% of the requirement	Form FIN - 2



2.3.3 Financial Resources

If the bid evaluation process and the decision for the award of the Contract takes more than one (1) year from the date of bid submission, Bidders shall be asked to resubmit their current contract commitments and latest information on financial resources supported by latest audited accounts / audited financial statements, or if not required by the law of the Bidder's country, other financial statements acceptable to the Employer, and the Bidders' financial capacity shall be reassessed on this basis.

Criteria Requirement	Compliance Requirements				Documents Submission Requirements
	Single Entity	Joint Venture			
		All Partners Combined	Each Partner	One Partner	
The Bidder must demonstrate that it has the financial resources to meet:					
(a) its current contract commitments, as defined in FIN-4 (Total Financial Requirements for Current Contract Commitments), plus	must meet requirement	not applicable	must meet requirement for its own contractual commitments	not applicable	Form FIN - 4
(b) the requirements for the Subject Contract(s) of the following lots: Lot - 1 Rs. 230 Million Lot - 2 Rs. 200 Million	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	must meet 25% of the requirement	must meet 40% of the requirement	Form FIN - 3 and Form FIN - 4



2.4 Construction Experience

2.4.1 Contracts of Similar Size and Nature

Criteria	Compliance Requirements			Documents	
	Single Entity	Joint Venture			
Requirement		All Partners Combined	Each Partner	One Partner	Submission Requirements
<p>Participation in at least one contract that has been successfully or substantially completed within the last seven (07) years and that is similar to the proposed works, where the value of the Bidder's participation exceeds amounts mentioned below. The similarity of the Bidder's participation shall be based on the physical size, nature of works, complexity, methods, technology or other characteristics as described in Section 6, Employer's Requirements.</p> <p>for Lot - 1 Rs. 720 Million for Lot - 2 Rs. 650 Million</p>	must meet requirement	not applicable	not applicable	must meet requirement	Form EXP - 1



2.4.2 Construction Experience In Key Activities

(May be complied with by Specialist Subcontractors. Employer shall require evidence of subcontracting agreement from the Bidder. Specialist Subcontractor is a specialist enterprise engaged for highly specialized processes which cannot be provided by the main Contractor.)

Criteria Requirement	Compliance Requirements				Documents Submission Requirements
	Single Entity	Joint Venture			
		All Partners Combined	Each Partner	One Partner	
For the above or other contracts executed during the period stipulated in 2.4.1 above, a minimum construction experience in the following key activities:	must meet requirement	must meet requirement	not applicable	not applicable	Form EXP - 2
for Lot - 1					
Earth Work 40,000 Cu.m/Year					
Sub-Base / Base Course 68,000 Cu.m/Year					
Asphaltic Concrete Pavement 28,000 Cu.m/Year					
for Lot - 2					
Earth Work 55,000 Cu.m/Year					
Sub-Base / Base Course 64,000 Cu.m/Year					
Asphaltic Concrete Pavement 25,000 Cu.m/Year					

