

**PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY  
AUTHORITY (PPRA)**

**CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA – I**

**To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All  
Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million  
or More**

➤ NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT.	Cabinet Division
➤ FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT.	Federal
➤ TITLE OF CONTRACT	Procurement of 30,000 tents
➤ TENDER NUMBER	3/3/2011-ERC(R)
➤ BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	The Procurement of 30,000 tents was necessary to replenish the approved inventory/stock of Cabinet Division to meet any eventuality domestically and internationally.
➤ TENDER VALUE	Rs.351 million
➤ ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil Works only)	N.A
➤ ESTIMATED COMPLETION/SULLPLY PERIOD	One Month
➤ WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	Cabinet Division procures to replenish the inventory/stock as and when required
➤ ADVERTISEMENT :	
(i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies) (If yes give date and PPRA's tender number)	(i)Yes PPRA Website 16-01-2012 PID(I)300/11
(ii) News Papers (If yes give names of newspapers and dates)	(ii)Yes Daily Dawn 14-01-2012 Daily Express 14-01-2012
➤ TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	(i) 30-01-2012 at 11:30 am (Technical Proposal) (ii)22-03-2012 at 11:30 am (Financial Proposal)
➤ NATURE OF PURCHASE	Local
➤ EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (If any)	No

<p>➤ NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of Buyers)</p>	<p>The prospective bidders were asked to obtain the tender documents from the office of Cabinet Division or download from the website of the cabinet Division without any cost.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. M/s Pearl Associates Islamabad</li> <li>ii. M/s Al Umair &amp; Co Islamabad</li> <li>iii. M/s Mahroz Textile Industries, Kasur</li> <li>iv. M/s Saud International</li> </ul>
<p>➤ WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS (If yes enclose a copy).</p>	<p>Yes Copy attached as Annex-I</p>
<p>➤ WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS (If yes enclose a copy).</p>	<p>Yes Copy attached as Annex-II</p>
<p>➤ WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED: - (Tick one)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE</li> <li>b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE</li> <li>c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE</li> <li>d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE</li> </ul>	<p>b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE</p>
<p>➤ PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)</p>	<p>N.A</p>
<p>➤ WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY</p>	<p>Cabinet Secretary</p>
<p>➤ WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING</p>	<p>N.A</p>
<p>➤ NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED</p>	<p>04</p>
<p>➤ WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>➤ WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED</p>	<p>Yes</p>

**PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY**  
**AUTHORITY (PPRA)**

**CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA – II**

**To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All**  
**Public Contracts of Works, Services & Goods Worth Fifty Million**  
**Rupees or More**

➤ NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Four (04)
➤ NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s Al Umair & Co, Islamabad
➤ RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> EVALUATED BID).	1 <sup>st</sup>
➤ NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?)	The Procurement of 30,000 tents was necessary to replenish the approved inventory/stock of Cabinet Division to meet any eventuality domestically and internationally.
➤ IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (Briefly describe)	No
➤ WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Yes
➤ DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement)	11-04-2012 (copy attached)
➤ CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs.351 million
➤ WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	No. However evaluation of bid was announced to the bidders who were present in the meeting of the Purchase Committee.(copy enclosed)

➤ ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes result thereof)

Yes. The following firms complained that their bid was not entertained on the day of opening.

1. M/s Suleman & Brothers
2. M/s Danish Traders
3. M/s Mahroz Textile Industries
4. M/s Shahab Textile

The complaint was duly processed and submitted to Secretary. The firms were late as such. Therefore no action was required.

Whereas Excellent Associates (Pvt) Ltd filed a writ petition on similar ground in the Islamabad High Court and the Honourable Court granted stay in his favour. The Honourable Islamabad High Court in its second hearing rejected the stay order and main case due to infructuous in nature.

➤ ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/DOCUMENTS  
(If yes give details)

No

➤ DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes give details)

N.A

➤ SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF Any  
(Give Brief Description)

No