### PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

## CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA – I (As Per Rule 47 of PP Rules, 2004)

To Be Filled and Uploaded on PPRA Website in Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT.CONTROLLER GENERAL OF ACCOUNTS FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. FEDERAL > TITLE OF CONTRACT: PURCHASE OF COMPUTER STATIONERY > TENDER NUMBER TS489377E BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT. PURCHASE OF COMPUTER AND PHOTOCOPIER TONERS > TENDER VALUE. RS.748,000/-. ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil Works only) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD. ONE YEAR > WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? No > ADVERTISEMENT: PPRA Website. TS489377E, DATED 02.09.2022 (Federal Agencies) YES (If (i) yes give date and PPRA's tender number) (ii) News Papers NO Yes / No (If yes give names of newspapers and dates) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME). 20.09.2022 (11.30am) NATURE OF PURCHASE. LOCAL EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (If any). Yes / No

2 cga 8/2m. /

# PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

# CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA – II (As Per Rule 47 of PP Rules, 2004)

#### To Be Filled and Uploaded on PPRA Website in Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services & Goods Worth Fifty Million Rupees or <u>More</u>

NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS ONE (01)

NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER.

#### M/s Office Automation Group,

Address: Suite # 01, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, Rata Mansion Plaza, Fazel-e-Haq Road, Blue Area, Islamabad

RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATED BID). <u>Most advantageous</u>

NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?) \_\_\_\_\_

IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (Briefly describe) \_\_\_\_\_\_

A	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE
	TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Yes
A	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING. 01.11.2022 (Attach a copy of agreement)
A	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE. Rs.802,500/-
A	WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERSNo (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
A	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED No (If yes result thereof)
$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/DOCUMENTSYes / No (If yes give details)
A	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIAYes / No (If yes give details)
A	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF Any (Give Brief Description)

[F.No.2/1/2008PPRA-RA.III]

2

- WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS. <u>As per specifications</u> Yes / No (If yes enclose a copy).
- WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS. As per specifications Yes / No (If yes enclose a copy).
- WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED: (Tick one)

a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	SINGLE
b)	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE.	
C)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE.	_
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE.	

- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.)
- WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY CONTROLLER GENERAL OF ACCOUNTS

> WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING.

- NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED. 03
- > WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER. Most advantages
- WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED.