

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY
AUTHORITY(PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public
Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty
Million or More

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	PAKISTAN PETROLEUM LIMITED
2)	FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER	-
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT	4600000491 - Hiring of land drilling rigs (1500 HP and 2000 HP) on as and when required basis for drilling / work over of wells at all Company operated areas as backup service provider
4)	TENDER NUMBER	SMMC/SC/151/11
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Hiring of land drilling rigs (1500 HP and 2000 HP) on as and when required basis for drilling / work over of wells at all Company operated areas as backup service provider
6)	NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?)	To have arrangement in place for provision of land drilling rigs in case main contractor is unable to provide the required services
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	N/A
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	N/A
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	36 Months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	29-Jun-11
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD	THIRTY (30)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	THREE (03) But one bid was rejected as they did not submit Bid Bond alongwith their bid
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	Technical: THREE (03)
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)	N/A - As main contract had been awarded (See Details against Sno. 33 below)
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s. Sea and Land Drilling Contractors, Inc. House No. 40, Main Margalla Road, Sector F-8/3, Islamabad, Pakistan.
16)	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement)	Under Signing
17)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	USD 31.15 Million
18)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)	1. M/s. CNPC Chuanqing Drilling Company (Main - CCDC) 2. M/s. Sea and Land Drilling Company (Backup - SLDC)
19)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)	
a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

N/A

20) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

PPL'S WORKS EVALUATION COMMITTEE

21) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

22) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)

(If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number)

YES	✓	NO	
TS134195E (13 June, 2011)			

ii) News Papers

(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

YES	✓	NO	
JANG / DAWN (13 June, 2011)			

23) NATURE OF CONTRACT

LOCAL	✓	INT.	
-------	---	------	--

24) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

25) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

26) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

YES		NO	
N/A			

27) WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

29) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

30) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

31) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

32) WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

33) WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF
PPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons)

YES		NO	
Two bidders (M/s. CCDc and M/s. SLDC) were found technically responsive, however one bidder (M/s. SLDC) was disqualified for non-compliance to terms and conditions of the Contract. However, after award of main contract the M/s. SLDC was considered for backup arrangement only to be called in case of main contractor's inability to provide the required services.			

34) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

YES			
NO		✓	

35) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN
THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

YES		NO	✓

36) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

YES	✓	NO	
EXTENSION IN REPOSE TIME ON REQUEST OF POTENTIAL BIDDER(S) TO INCREASE CONTRACTOR BASE FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING			

37) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

YES			
NO		✓	

38) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE
SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

39) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE
PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN
CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF
ABROAD: (If yes, enclose a copy)

YES		NO	✓
-----	--	----	---

40) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION
ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT
(BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

YES		NO	
N/A			

41) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

YES			
NO		✓	