PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY(PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

| 1) | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | PAKISTAN PETROLEUM LIMITED | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2) | FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER | - | | | |
| 3) | TITLE OF CONTRACT | 4600000490 - Mud Engineering Services and Mud Chemicals on consignment basis for all PPL operated areas for next three years as backup service provider | | | |
| 4) | TENDER NUMBER | SMMC/SC/005/12 | | | |
| 5) | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Provision of Mud Engineering Services and Mud Chemicals on consignment basis for all PPL's drilling operations at various locations during the Contract period. | | | |
| 6) | NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?) | Essential Drilling related services | | | |
| 7) | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | N/A | | | |
| 8) | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) | N/A | | | |
| 9) | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 36 Months | | | |
| 10) | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | Technical (8 March, 2012) and Financial (30 July, 2012) | | | |
| 11) | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD | Six (06) | | | |
| 12) | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | Five (05) | | | |
| 13) | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | Five (05) | | | |
| 14) | BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) | Technical (25 July, 2012) and Financial (9 June, 2012) | | | |
| 15) | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | M/s. Scomi Oil Tools Limited Plot No. 212, East Service Road, Industrial Area, I-10/3, Islamabad, Pakistan. | | | |
| 16) | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement) | LOA (17 September, 2012) | | | |
| 17) | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | USD 6.46 Million | | | |
| 18) | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION | 1. M/s. Halliburton Worldwide Limited (Main Contractor) | | | |
| | REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) | 2. M/s. Scomi Oil Tools (Backup Contractor) | | | |
| | | 3. M/s. MI Swaco | | | |
| 19) | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | | | | |
| a) | SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | | | | |
| b | SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <u> </u> | | | |
| c) | TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | | | | |
| d) | TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | | | | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METH | HOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. | | | |
| | EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / | NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS: | | | |
| | | N/A | | | |

| 20) | APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT | PPL'S WORKS EVALUATION COMMITTEE | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| 21) | WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 22) | ADVERTISEMENT : | | | | | | |
| | i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies) | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 22) 23) 24) 25) 26) 27) 28) | (If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number) | TS146361E (11 January, 2012) | | | | | |
| | ii) News Papers | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| | (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) | | Dawn and Jand (11 January, 2012) | | | | |
| 23) | NATURE OF CONTRACT | LOCAL | ✓ | INT. | | | |
| 24) | WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | N/A | NO | | | |
| 25) | WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 26) | WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS | YES | | NO | | | |
| | OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? | N/A | | | | | |
| 27) | WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 28) | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 29) | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 30) | WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 31) | WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| 32) | WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| 33) | WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF | YES | | NO | √ | | | | |
|-------------|--|---|----------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | PPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 34) | ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED | YES | | | | | | | |
| | (If yes, result thereof) | NO | ✓ | | | | | | |
| 35) | ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN | | T | Ī | | | | | |
| | THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details) | YES | | NO | √ | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | | | |
| 36) | WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? | YES | ✓ | NO | | | | | |
| | (If yes, give reasons) | EXTENSION IN REPONSE TIME ON REQUEST OF POTENTIAL BIDDER(S) TO INCREASE CONTRACTOR BASE FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING | | | | | | | |
| 37) | DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA | YES | T | | | | | | |
| | (If yes, give details) | NO | ✓ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 38) | WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE | | | | | | | | |
| | SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? | YES | | NO | | | | | |
| 39) | WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE | | <u> </u> | 1 | | | | | |
| | PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN | YES | | NO | ✓ | | | | |
| | CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO | | | | | | | | |
| | BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF | | | | | | | | |
| | ABROAD: (If yes, enclose a copy) | | | | | | | | |
| 40) | WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION | V50 | | l ,,, | I | | | | |
| - / | ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT | YES | | NO | | | | | |
| | (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)? | N/A | | | | | | | |
| 4 1) | SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY | YES | | | | | | | |
| | (If yes, give Brief Description) | NO | | √ | | | | | |