



**PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION PROFORMA – I**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE**

1	Name of the Organization/Deptt.	Benazir Income Support Programme
2	Federal/Provincial Govt.	Federal Government
3	Title of Contract	Third Party Operation Review Nationwide Rollout of National Socio Economic Registry Update
4	Tender Number	1-30/Proc./BISP/OR Firm/2017/NSER
5	Brief Description of Contract	
<p>Launched in July 2008 by the Government of Pakistan, the Benazir Income Support Program (BISP) is the first comprehensive Social Protection (SP) program covering the entire country. The main objective of BISP is to eradicate poverty among disadvantaged families by providing them with appropriate support through conditional and unconditional programs. The objective of unconditional programs is to improve the purchasing power of beneficiaries while, through conditional programs, the schooling of children aged 5 to 12 years is supported, in addition to providing entrepreneurial skills training and grants to young men and women to gain employment.</p> <p>BISP developed a PMT-based Poverty Scorecard (PSC) and conducted the first national survey between 2010 and 2011, in which comprehensive information on the economic and social status of approximately 27 million households was collected. BISP used this registry to design pro-poor programs. These programs aim to enhance beneficiaries' access to a wide range of social and productive services to improve their wellbeing.</p> <p>The demographics of households considerably change overtime. Therefore, often socio-economic registries have errors at three levels, i.e. design, implementation and time and aging factors. These errors directly affect the measure of the accuracy of the registries. In view of this, socio-economic registers are updated using different approaches, and "re-survey" and "recertification" are the most frequent among them. The resurvey is carried out after a five-year interval to provide basis for decisions. Resurvey helps to provide latest information on the socioeconomic profile of households to accurately estimate the current state of poverty and to minimize targeting (i.e. inclusion and exclusion) errors.</p> <p>To carry out survey the entire country is divided into eight geographical clusters. Decision to create these clusters was based on contiguity of the districts, mobility among the districts, and aggregate population of the districts. In order to ensure quality check through "Process Evaluation" and "Spot-check", a Third Party Operation Review (OR) Firm was also engaged.</p>		
6	Tender value (Cost estimates)	Rs. 948,097,990/-
7	Engineer's estimate (for civil works only)	Not applicable
8	Estimated completion period	Two Hundred Seventy Nine (279) Business Days
9	Advertisement:	Yes
9.1	PPRA Website (Federal Agencies) [Yes / No]	Yes
	(if yes give date and PPRA's Tender Number)	TS347377E (07.03.2018)

1 bisp 25/11. per

per 1989

9.2	Newspapers [Yes / No]	Yes						
	(If yes give names of Newspapers and Dates)	Published in following leading National Dailies on 07.03.2018						
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr.#</th> <th>English</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Daily Dawn</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Daily Jang</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sr.#	English	1	Daily Dawn	2	Daily Jang
Sr.#	English							
1	Daily Dawn							
2	Daily Jang							
10	Receipt of Expressions of Interest (date & time)	22.03.2018 by 1500 hours						
11	Number of Firms submitted responses (Attach list)	Twenty Two (22) as per list attached at Annex - I						
12	Number of Shortlisted firms whom RFP was issued	Four (04)						
13	Number of Technical Proposals Received	One (01)						
14	Technical Proposals opened on (date & time)	15.05.2018 by 1430 hours						
15	Number of Technically Qualified Firms	One (01)						
16	Financial Proposals opened on (date & time)	21.05.2018 by 1000 hours						
17	Nature of purchase local / international	Local						
18	Extension in due date (if any) [Yes / No]	No						
19	Whether qualification criteria was included in bidding/tender documents [Yes / No]	Yes (both in EOI Notice & RFP Document)						
	(if yes enclose a copy)	Annex - II						
20	<b>Which method of procurement was used: [Tick One]</b>							
20.1	Single stage – one envelope procedure							
20.2	Single stage - two envelope procedure. (QCBS)	√						
20.3	Two stage bidding procedure							
20.4	Two stage – two envelope bidding procedure							
21	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted with brief reasons (i.e emergency, direct contracting, negotiated tendering etc.)	Not applicable						
22	Who is the approving authority	Secretary, BISP						
23	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding	Not applicable						
24	Whether the successful bidder was Highest Ranked [Yes / No]	Yes						
25	Whether the successful Bidder was Technically Compliant [Yes / No]	Yes						
26	Whether integrity pact was signed [Yes / No]	Yes						



**PPRA**

**PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION PROFORMA – II**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE**

1	Number of Bidders present at the time of Opening of Financial Proposals	One (01)								
2	Name and Address of the Successful (Highest Ranked) Bidder	<p><b>M/s Innovative Development Strategies Pvt. Ltd., Islamabad</b></p> <p>Office: House No. 25, Street No. 35, G-14/4, , Islamabad</p>								
3	Ranking of Successful (qualified) Bidders in Combined Evaluation Report (i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> Evaluated Proposal)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Firms Name</th> <th>Final Score</th> <th>Ranking</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>M/s Innovative Development Strategies (Pvt.) Ltd., Islamabad.</td> <td>84.19</td> <td>1<sup>s</sup></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Firms Name	Final Score	Ranking	M/s Innovative Development Strategies (Pvt.) Ltd., Islamabad.	84.19	1 <sup>s</sup>		
Firms Name	Final Score	Ranking								
M/s Innovative Development Strategies (Pvt.) Ltd., Islamabad.	84.19	1 <sup>s</sup>								
5	Need Analysis (Why the procurement was necessary?)	<p>Hiring of Third Party Operation Review (OR) Firm was necessary to carry out "process evaluation" and "spot-check" and provision of quality inputs in a timely manner. The OR firm was required to provide professional services to accomplish the following objectives:</p> <p><b>(1) Process Evaluation of the Activities of Survey Firms</b> Adopt and implement effective and contextually relevant process evaluation strategies to identify and instantly report non-compliance issues in the survey activities being implemented, and to recommend timely measures for course correction to facilitate maximization of survey results related to coverage and data quality in the localities (settlements), revenue villages and circles, and ultimately in the blocks.</p> <p><b>(2) Spot Checks to Measure Coverage and Data Quality in Enumeration Blocks</b> Adopt and implement effective spot checks strategies using well-defined, Population Proportionate to the Size (PPS), multi-stage and stratified sampling techniques to produce results that are reliable enough to be generalized to the survey blocks in terms of coverage and quality of data.</p>								
6	In case, Extension was made in Response Time, what were the reasons? (Briefly describe)	Not applicable								
7	Whether names of the Bidders, their Technical Scores & Financial Costs were read out at time of Financial Opening? [Yes / No]	Yes								