

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

**CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA – I**

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

1. NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT; Karachi Infrastructure Development Company Limited (KIDCL).

➤ FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. Ministry of Communications, Government of Pakistan

2. TITLE OF CONTRACT : (Feasibility & Technical Study and Preliminary Design for Integrated Intelligent Transport System (IITS) for the Karachi Mass Transit Plan (all MRT/BRT lines under JICA KTIP study)

3. TENDER NUMBER: PPRA Ref: No. TS256885E

4. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF: (FEASIBILITY & TECHNICAL STUDY AND PRELIMINARY DESIGN FOR INTEGRATED INTELLIGENT TRANSPORT SYSTEM (IITS) FOR THE KARACHI MASS TRANSIT PLAN ( ALL MRT/BRT LINES UNDER JICA KTIP STUDY)

TENDER VALUE: Approved Provision is Rs. 150,000,000/- against the overall approved Consultancy Provision of Rs. 689,657,229/- Flag A

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: Flag B

➤ ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD: 4-6 Months Design

➤ WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? The Procurement is as per the PC-I approved by CDWP and under explicit approval of BOD Yes / No YES

➤ ADVERTISEMENT :

(i) PPRA Website Yes/No: Yes, (Federal Agencies) Yes/ No: YES (If yes give date and PPRA's tender number) 28.10.2015; TS256885E

60m 28/10-15

(ii) News Papers Yes / No Yes: (If yes give names of newspapers and dates)  
Dawn, Daily Jang, and Daily Ausaf (28<sup>th</sup> & 29<sup>th</sup> October, 2015)

➤ TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME); 30<sup>th</sup> November 2015 at 1200 Hours

➤ NATURE OF PURCHASE: Local / International : Local

➤ EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (If any): Yes / No: Yes

➤ NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: Bid Document was available on payment to Client (KIDCL) Office, Five (05) bidders purchased the Bid Documents and Two (02) Bidders / JVs preferred to submit the Technical and Financial Proposals, Quality and Cost Based Selection, under Procurement of Consultancy Services Regulations 2010, under Public Procurement Rules 2004 (Attach list of Buyers Flag C)

➤ WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS: Yes /No: Yes  
(If yes enclose a copy). Attached at Flag D

➤ WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS: Yes / No Yes  
(If yes enclose a copy). Attached at Flag D

➤ WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED: - (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE. (QCBC)

c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE. \_\_\_\_\_

d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE. \_\_\_\_\_


- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC.) No

WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY: BOARD OF DIRECTORS, KIDCL  
CHAIRMAN BOD KIDCL /SECRETARY COMMUNICATIONS GOVERNMENT  
OF PAKISTAN, ON BEHALF OF BOD IN 6<sup>TH</sup> BOD MEETING KIDCL

- WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING.

N/A

- NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: Two (02)
- WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER: Yes / No  
**Yes**
- WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED: Yes / No: **Yes Flag E**

  
**Bilal Ahmed Memon**  
General Manager (F&A)/ CFO - KIDCL *m/10*

**PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)**

**CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA – II**

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services & Goods Worth Fifty Million Rupees or More

- NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS :(List attached at) **Flag F**

5. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL **M/s. BLIC GMBH Germany (Lead Firm), EA Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd, and M/s. Data Communication and Control (Pvt.) Ltd (JV)**

**Address: AL-9, 15<sup>th</sup> Lane, Kh-e-Hilal, Phase-VII, DHA, Karachi.**

6.

- RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATED BID): **Flag G**
- NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?) **As per the PC-I approved by CDWP and under the explicit approval of BOD**
- IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (Briefly describe) Bid Submission Date, for **Contract Package # KAR/BRTS/IITS 01** was extended at the request of bidders (ref Addendum 2 para 11 attached). **Flag H**

➤ WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Yes / No: Yes **Flag I**

➤ DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING ; 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 (Attach a copy of agreement) Flag J

7. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE; Rs. 129,624,548/- (Pak Rupees One Hundred Twenty Nine Million Six Hundred Twenty Four Thousand Five Hundred and Forty Eight Only)

➤ WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS Yes / No: **Yes** (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) **Flag K**

➤ ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED Yes / No(If yes result thereof): **No**

➤ ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/DOCUMENTS Yes / No (If yes give details): **NO**

➤ DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA Yes / No(If yes give details); **NO**

➤ SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF Any (Give Brief Description); **NO**

  
**Bilal Ahmed Memon**  
General Manager (F&A)/ CFO - KIDCL 10

---

[F.No.2/1/2008PPRA-RA.III]