PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY(PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD PROFORMA-I

To Be Filled And Uploaded on PPRA Website In Respect of All Public Contracts of Works, Services and Goods Worth Fifty Million or More

| 1) | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | PAKISTAN PETROLEUM LIMITED | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2) | FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER | Other | | | | |
| 3) | TITLE OF CONTRACT | Construction of access road & well location for 1st exploratory well Sirani X-1 (4200029266) | | | | |
| 4) | TENDER NUMBER | Tender no. SMMC/SC/183/12 | | | | |
| 5) | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Construction of access road & well location for Sirani | | | | |
| 6) | NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?) | Essential services are required for drilling operations | | | | |
| 7) | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | Rs. 69.2 million | | | | |
| 8) | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) | Rs. 69.2 million | | | | |
| 9) | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 18 Months | | | | |
| 10) | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 05 July, 2013 | | | | |
| 11) | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) | Sixteen(16) | | | | |
| 12) | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | Four(4) | | | | |
| 13) | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | Four(4) | | | | |
| 14) | BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) | 30 August,2013 | | | | |
| 15) | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | M/s. Reliance Consultancy & Engineering Works (Pvt.) Ltd. 18, Askari Villas, E-Street, off Khayaban-e-Tauheed, DHA Phase V, Karachi | | | | |
| 16) | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement) | Letter of Award (LOA - 30 August, 2013) | | | | |
| 17) | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | Rs. 68,523,000/- | | | | |
| 18) | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT | 1. Ms. Reliance Consultancy & Engineering | | | | |
| | (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) | | | | | |
| 19) | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | | | | | |
| a) | SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | | | | | |
| b) | SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | | | | | |
| c) | TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | | | | | |
| q) | TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | | | | | |
| | | HOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS: | | | | |

| 20) | | APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT | PPL'S WORKS EVALUATION COMMITTEE | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|----------------------------------|---|----------|----------|--|
| 21) | | WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| 22) | | ADVERTISEMENT : | | 1 | | | |
| | i) | PPRA Website (Federal Agencies) (If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number) | YES | Tender No. SMMC/SC/183/12 on 27 June, 2012 (TS159632E) | | | |
| | | | NO | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | ii) | News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) | YES | Tender No. SMMC/SC/183/12 on 27 June, 2012 (The Dawn & Daily Jang) | | | |
| | | | NO | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 23) | | NATURE OF CONTRACT | LOCAL | ✓ | INT. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 24) | | WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| 25) | | WHETHER DID EVALUATION ORITEDIA | | | T | т 1 | |
| 25) | | WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 26) | | WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? | YES | N/A | NO | | |
| | | | | | | _ | |
| 27) | | WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 28) | | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER? | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| 29) | | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? | | | | <u> </u> | |
| ->, | | | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 30) | | WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| 31) | | WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 32) | | WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) | YES | ✓ | NO | | |
| | | | | 1 | <u> </u> | | |

| | | _ | _ | | |
|-----|---|--|--|----|---|
| 33) | WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF PPRA / OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons) | YES | | | |
| | | NO | | ✓ | |
| | | | | | _ |
| 34) | ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof) | YES | | | |
| | | NO | | ✓ | |
| | | | | | |
| 35) | ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details) | YES | | | |
| | | NO | ✓ | | |
| | | | • | | _ |
| 36) | WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) | YES | | | |
| | | NO | ✓ | | |
| | | | | | |
| 37) | DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give details) | YES | | | |
| | | NO | ✓ | | |
| | | | | | |
| 38) | WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | |
| 39) | WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD: | YES | | NO | ✓ |
| | | | -1 | | |
| | | | | | |
| 40) | WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)? | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| | | (MOBILIZATION ADVANCE MADE ON SUBMISSION OF ADVANCE PAYMENT GUARANTEE) | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 41) | SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description) | YES | debarred for one year. M/s. Reliance Consultancy and | | |
| | | Engineering works being the next lowest vendor and awar the contract.) NO | | | |
| | | INO | | | |