PPRA

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

|) | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | PAKISTAN PETROLEUM LIMITED | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|) | FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER | <u>-</u> | | | | | |
| 1 | TITLE OF CONTRACT | 4200021827 -2D seismic survey Kalat Block | | | | | |
| | TENDER NUMBER | SMMC/SC/009/09 | | | | | |
| | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | 2D seismic data acquisition and onsite processing services for Kalat Block | | | | | |
| | NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?) | To evaluate prospects of production of Oil and Gas production at Kalat Block | | | | | |
| | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | N/A | | | | | |
| | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) | N/A | | | | | |
| | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 12 Months | | | | | |
|) | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12-Oct-09 | | | | | |
|) | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD | Fourteen(14) | | | | | |
| 2) | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | Two(2) | | | | | |
|) | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | None | | | | | |
| .) | BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) | NA | | | | | |
| j) | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | BGP Pakistan International, H. No. 289, St. 55, F-10/4, Islamabad. | | | | | |
| 5) | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement) | 7-Jun-11 | | | | | |
|) | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | USD 5,450,000 | | | | | |
| 3) | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) | 1st | | | | | |
|)) | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | | | | | | |
| a) | SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | | | | | | |
| b) | SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | | | | | | |
| c) | TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | | | | | | |
| d) | TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | | | | | | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. | | | | | | |
| | EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS: N/A | | | | | | |

| 20) | APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT | PPL'S WORKS | EVALUATION | COMMITTEE | |
|-----|--|-------------|----------------|------------------------|----------|
| 21) | WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| 22) | ADVERTISEMENT : | | | | |
| | i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies) (If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number) | YES | | NO | √ |
| | ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) | YES | ✓ JANG / DA | NO AWN (14 July 09) | |
| 23) | NATURE OF CONTRACT | LOCAL | | INT. | ✓ |
| 24) | WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| 25) | WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| 26) | WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? | YES | N/A | NO | N/A |
| 27) | WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| 28) | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER? | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| 29) | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| 30) | WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy) | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| 31) | WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? | YES | ✓ | NO | |
| 32) | WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) | YES | ✓ | NO | |

| 33) | WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF | YES | | NO | \checkmark | | |
|-----|--|--|----------|-----|--------------|--|--|
| | PPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons) | N/A | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 34) | ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED | YES | | | | | |
| | (If yes, result thereof) | | | | | | |
| | | NO ✓ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 35) | ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN | YES | | NO | ✓ | | |
| | THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS | | | | | | |
| | (If yes, give details) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 36) | WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? | YES | √ | NO | | | |
| | (If yes, give reasons) | Yes, on request of bidders / contractors | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 37) | DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA | YES | YES | | | | |
| | (If yes, give details) | NO | NO 🗸 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 38) | WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE | VE0 | √ | NO | | | |
| | SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? | YES | • | NO | | | |
| 10) | WAG A WIGHT MADE DV ANN OFFIGED OFFIGIAL OF THE | | | т т | | | |
| 39) | WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN | YES | | NO | ✓ | | |
| | CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO | | | | | | |
| | BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF | | | | | | |
| | ABROAD: (If yes, enclose a copy) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 40) | WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION | YES | ✓ | NO | | | |
| | ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT | | | | | | |
| | (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 41) | SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY | YES | | | | | |
| | (If yes, give Brief Description) | NO | NO 🗸 | | | | |
| | Signature & Official Stamp of | | | | | | |
| | gignature & Omeiar giálliþ Ul | | | | | | |